PREET BHARARA

United States Attorney

By: LI YU

CRISTINE IRVIN PHILLIPS

Assistant United States Attorneys

86 Chambers Street, 3rd Fl.

New York, New York 10007

Tel: (212) 637-2734/2696

Fax: (212) 637-2686/2702

Li.Yu@usdoj.gov

Cristine.Phillips@usdoj.gov

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

BUY-A-HOME, LLC; METROPOLITAN HOUSING, LLC; GRAMERCY FUNDING GROUP LTD; MITCHELL COHEN; CAMBRIDGE HOME CAPITAL, LLC; SETH HYMAN: LAPIDUS: KRAMER: CRAIG SETH JACQUELINE DERRELL; CAMBRIDGE FUNDING GROUP, LTD.; JAMES J. GOLDBERG, d/b/a JJG REAL ESTATE APPRAISAL SERVICES; BUCKLEY CONSULTING GROUP INC. f/k/a**PREMIER** APPRAISAL SERVICES; WILLIAM BUCKLEY: and ROBERT MICHELINE d/b/a P&M APPRAISALS,

AMENDED COMPLAINT

JURY TRIAL REQUESTED

Defendants.

-----X

Plaintiff, the United States of America, by its attorney, Preet Bharara, United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York, alleges upon information and belief as follows:

INTRODUCTION

- 1. This is a civil action by the United States against residential property sellers, mortgage lenders, and appraisers who participated in a series of mortgage fraud schemes to orchestrate at least seventeen flip sales of homes, located in Bronx, Westchester, and other counties in the New York area, at inflated prices and to buyers who could not afford such homes. To obtain mortgage financing for those fraudulent flip sales, defendants created false documents and inflated appraisals and submitted these false records to the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD") and to subsidiaries of two financial institutions. This action seeks civil penalties under the Financial Institutions Reform, Recovery and Enforcement Act, 12 U.S.C. § 1833a ("FIRREA"); treble damages and civil penalties under the False Claims Act ("FCA"), 31 U.S.C. §§ 3729-33; and injunctive relief under the Fraud Injunction Statute, 18 U.S.C. § 1345.
- 2. Defendants' frauds operated by abusing the positions of trust that HUD direct endorser lenders, such as defendant Cambridge Home Capital, LLC ("Cambridge"), and HUD Roster Appraisers, such as defendant James J. Goldberg, occupied within HUD's mortgage insurance program. It was the obligation of those lenders and appraisers to ensure that HUD would only insure mortgage loans that met HUD requirements. Specifically, direct endorsers had the responsibility to ascertain that a mortgage loan to be insured by HUD in fact met the requirements for HUD insurance. Similarly, it was the duty of a HUD-approved Roster Appraiser to refrain from "hitting the numbers" pre-determined by a seller or a lender. However, Cambridge and the appraiser defendants abused their positions of trust —

instead of meeting their obligations to HUD, they conspired with flip sellers to secure HUD-insured loans by creating and submitting fraudulent documents, inflated appraisals, and false certifications.

- 3. Defendants' mortgage fraud scheme typically proceeded in four steps. First, defendant Mitchell Cohen, the mastermind behind the schemes, bought up properties for resale, using three entities he controlled defendants Buy a Home, LLC ("Buy-a-Home"), Gramercy Funding Ltd. ("Gramercy"), and Metropolitan Housing, LLC ("Metropolitan"). But, instead of paying for renovations that would enhance the value of these properties, Cohen directed sales efforts at inexperienced home-buyers, convincing them to buy the properties from him at inflated prices frequently 60% or more above what Cohen had paid just two or three months prior.
- 4. Cohen relied on three means to induce the buyers to accept his inflated prices. First, Cohen misled buyers into underestimating the true costs of home ownership. For example, to sell a home on Newark Avenue in Staten Island, Cohen and Buy-a-Home told buyers that they could offset the monthly mortgage payments with \$800 in rental income, when, in fact, that home was a single-family unit and did not have an apartment for rent. See infra at ¶¶ 118-120. Similarly, to influence inexperienced home-buyers to purchase a home on Nicholas Avenue in Staten Island, Cohen directed Buy-a-Home sales agents to understate the monthly cost of owning that property by \$800. See infra at ¶¶ 189–191. Second, to induce buyers to purchase his properties, Cohen also paid off their personal debts or promised to make mortgage payments on their behalf. For example, in connection with selling a home on 116th Street in Queens, Buy-a-Home provided \$6,500 to Cambridge to pay

off the buyers' pre-existing debts to creditors such as Cingular and Capital One. See infra at ¶¶ 98–103. Further, in connection with selling a home on Newark Avenue, Cohen promised the buyers that he would make their mortgage payments for the first five months. See infra at ¶¶ 313–314. Third, Cohen invariably induced buyers to purchase his properties at inflated prices by arranging to pay almost all of the down payment and closing costs. In other words, buyers typically contributed nothing, or a nominal amount, to purchase properties priced at more than \$300,000 or \$400,000. See, e.g., infra at ¶¶ 230–231, 438–450.

- 5. As step two in defendants' frauds, *i.e.*, after Cohen had duped inexperienced buyers into agreeing to purchase a home from him at an inflated price, Cambridge, a HUD-approved direct endorser, arranged financing for buyers to consummate the fraudulent flip sale. As a HUD direct endorser, Cambridge was delegated the authority to endorse mortgage loans for HUD insurance, and it had the corresponding duty to verify whether loans met HUD requirements. *See infra* at ¶¶ 39–58. Cambridge, however, had a corrupt agreement with Cohen that it would abuse its direct endorser status and obtain HUD insurance for the mortgage loans to finance Cohen's flip sales irrespective of whether they met HUD requirements.
- 6. In furtherance of defendants' fraudulent schemes, Cambridge created false records to make buyers appear more credit-worthy than they were and to hide Cohen's payoffs of the buyers' personal debts from HUD and from financial institutions. Specifically, to produce the appearance of greater credit-worthiness, Cambridge created false records to inflate buyers' incomes or to understate their

debts. For example, to facilitate the flip sale of a home on Beach 46th Street in Far Rockaway, Cambridge falsified the occupation of one buyer – from being a "security guard" to being a "head chef" at restaurants – and falsely inflated her monthly income by 50%. See infra at ¶¶ 176–177. Similarly, to create the mirage that the buyers of a property on York Avenue in Staten Island had discharged a significant portion of their personal liabilities, Cambridge conspired with Cohen to pay off such debts using funds from Cohen, while claiming that the funds had come from the buyers' daughter. See infra at ¶¶ 152–156. Further, to hide the fact that Cohen was paying off the buyers' personal debts, Cambridge arranged for the preparation of false and misleading documents. For example, Jacqueline Derrell, the Director of Operations at Cambridge, falsely stated in a record that the buyers for a property on 116th Street in Queens had brought \$6,500 in cash to the closing to pay off their debts, whereas, in fact, Cohen had provided Cambridge with \$6,500 to pay off such debts. See infra at ¶¶ 98–103. Further, Cambridge invariably arranged for Cohen's payoffs of buyers' debts to be omitted from the loan closing documents, even though HUD rules required any such payment to be documented as an inducement to purchase. See, e.g., infra at ¶¶ 152–156, 222-226, 269–273.

7. Third, Defendants' fraud also required participation by appraisers. To obtain HUD insurance, Cambridge and Cohen had to procure appraisal reports that "hit the numbers," *i.e.*, fraudulently valued homes at or above the inflated prices set by Cohen. Here, three appraisers – defendants Goldberg, William Buckley, and Robert Micheline (collectively, the "Appraiser Defendants") – filled that role. To ensure that they would continue to receive appraisal business from Cohen and

Cambridge, the Appraiser Defendants conspired with Cohen and Cambridge to issue fraudulent appraisals that "hit the numbers." For example, when Cambridge demanded that Goldberg raise the rental income estimate for a home on Newark Avenue to an obviously unjustifiable level, Goldberg simply ignored the obligation to be independent and acceded to Cambridge's demand. See infra at ¶¶ 336-337. In another instance, to "hit the number" for Cambridge pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Micheline falsely reported that a home on 116th Street in Queens required no major repairs, when, in fact, that property needed the replacement of a kitchen, repairs to the roof, and refurbishment of a bathroom. See infra at ¶¶ 95-97. Finally, Buckley likewise conspired with Cohen and the lenders that worked with Cohen, including Cambridge, in order to "hit the number." Indeed, as detailed below, see infra at ¶¶ 88–117, 481–514, Buckley has participated extensively in Cohen's schemes. In furtherance of the conspiracy, Buckley repeatedly helped Cohen decide how high to inflate the prices of his properties when Cohen subsequently marketed such properties to unsophisticated buyers. Buckley also issued, or caused appraisers affiliated with him to issue, appraisals reflecting the In addition, Buckley inflated valuations that Cohen and Buckley had set. consistently failed to disclose his relationship with Cohen in his appraisals, which falsely claimed to have been created independently for Cambridge or other lenders, whereas Buckley, in fact, had conspired with Cohen to arrive at the inflated valuations and, since 2010, also had acted as Cohen's main contractor in performing deficient renovations on properties sold by Cohen.

- 8. Finally, Cohen and Cambridge were involved in the fourth step in defendants' fraud. Specifically, after securing the false records and inflated appraisals, Cambridge obtained HUD insurance for the mortgage loans for financing Cohen's fraudulent flip sales by submitting those false documents to HUD, along with Cambridge's false certifications regarding compliance with HUD requirements. See, e.g., infra at ¶¶ 94-105, 174-185. Further, to ensure that buyers who received funds from Cohen would consummate their purchases of Cohen's properties, Cohen and Cambridge arranged to pay off the buyers' personal debts only after the sales had closed. They did so by having Cambridge, rather than the buyers, send checks to the buyers' creditors, post-closing. See infra at ¶¶ 98-100, 222–224. In addition, because it had only a limited amount of capital, Cambridge replenished its capital by selling the seventeen mortgage loans used to finance Cohen's flip sales to subsidiaries of two financial institutions – Citibank, N.A. ("Citibank") and Countrywide Bank, FSB ("Countrywide Bank") – relying on the same false records and false certifications that it had submitted to HUD.
- 9. Defendants' fraud generated significant profits for them for example, from the seventeen flip sales discussed below alone, Cohen and the entities associated with him netted more than one million dollars in profits. Not surprisingly, all seventeen mortgage loans have defaulted, most in the first six months after they closed. Those defaults have harmed HUD, which insured these mortgages. In fact, HUD already has received mortgage insurance claims for two of Cohen's properties, and can reasonably expect to receive insurance claims for the other fifteen. Those existing and expected insurance claims expose HUD to more

than \$7.5 million in potential losses. Defendants' fraud also harmed the inexperienced, first-time buyers, who either have lost their homes or currently face eviction or foreclosure. Finally, the mortgage fraud scheme affected Citibank and Countrywide Bank, to whose subsidiaries Cambridge sold these bad loans. Those financial institutions have had to spend hundreds of thousands of dollars on interim mortgage payments on the defaulted loans. In light of the significant harm that defendants' fraud has caused, it is appropriate to impose civil penalties on defendants under FIRREA, and to order them to pay treble damages and penalties under the FCA, for their participation in the mortgage fraud scheme.

10. The United States also is entitled to injunctive relief because defendants can be expected to continue to engage in fraudulent conduct unless the Court stops them. Specifically, injunctive relief as against Cohen and Buckley is absolutely necessary because they have continued to orchestrate the mortgage fraud scheme that Cohen perpetrated in connection with HUD Loans Nos. 1–17, and because, unless enjoined, they can be expected to continue to do so. See infra at ¶¶ 481–514. In addition, because Goldberg and Buckley remain FHA Roster Appraisers, and because Kramer, Hyman, Lapidus, and Derrell may continue to originate HUD-insured mortgage loans through Cambridge or other businesses, injunctive relief also is appropriate as to those defendants to prevent them from further abusing the HUD mortgage insurance program, at the expense of HUD, inexperienced home-buyers, and financial institutions.

PARTIES

- 11. Plaintiff the United States is a sovereign, and HUD is a department of the United States. One of HUD's statutory mandates is to create and sustain quality affordable homes for all Americans. HUD implements this mandate through the Federal Housing Administration ("FHA"), which, among other things, provides mortgage insurance on loans made by HUD-approved lenders.
- 12. Defendant Buy-a-Home is a New York limited liability company. During all relevant times, Buy-a-Home has been a real estate firm which has had its principal place of business at 87-02 Queens Boulevard in Queens, New York.
- 13. Defendant Metropolitan is a New York limited liability company. During all relevant times, Metropolitan was a real estate firm which had its principal place of business 98-75 Queens Boulevard in Rego Park, New York.
- 14. Defendant Gramercy is a New York corporation. During all relevant times, Gramercy was a real estate firm which had its principal place of business 118-12 152nd Street in Queens, New York. Gramercy, collectively with Buy-a-Home and Metropolitan, will be referred to as the "Cohen Entities".
- 15. Defendant Mitchell Cohen is an individual residing in Nassau County, New York. During all relevant times, Cohen has been the co-owner of and a principal at Buy-a-Home, Metropolitan, and Gramercy.
- 16. Defendant Cambridge is a New York limited liability company which has its principal place of business at 80 Cutter Mill Road in Great Neck, New York. Cambridge is a HUD-approved direct endorser. In the two-year period from January 1, 2007 to January 2009, Cambridge originated more than 900 mortgage

loans insured by HUD, representing more than \$162 million in principal loan amounts. More than 50% of those loans subsequently defaulted.

- 17. Defendant Seth Kramer is an individual residing in Nassau County, New York. During all relevant times, Kramer was the President and a co-owner of Cambridge.
- 18. Defendant Craig Hyman is an individual residing in Nassau County, New York. During all relevant times, Hyman was a Vice President and a co-owner of Cambridge.
- 19. Defendant Seth Lapidus is an individual residing in Nassau County, New York. Until about September 2009, Lapidus was the Senior Loan Officer at Cambridge.
- 20. Defendant Jacqueline Derrell is an individual residing in Kings County, New York. Until about January 2009, Derrell was the Director of Operations at Cambridge. Derrell, collectively with Cambridge, Kramer, Hyman, and Lapidus, will be referred to as the "Cambridge Defendants".
- 21. Defendant Cambridge Funding Group Ltd. ("CFG") is a New York corporation and is co-owned by Kramer and Hyman. During all relevant times, CFG has been in the business of making construction loans and has its principal place of business at 80 Cutter Mill Road in Great Neck, New York.
- 22. Defendant Goldberg is an individual residing in Nassau County, New York. During all relevant times, Goldberg did business as JJG Real Estate Appraisal Services ("JJG"), which has its principal place of business at Suite 102, 500 Old Country Road in Garden City, New York. In 2007 and 2008, Cambridge

was one of JJG's largest customers and paid JJG to conduct several hundred real estate appraisals. Specifically, Goldberg and another JJG appraiser, Mark Pitman, performed appraisals for a number of homes involved in Cohen's flip sales. For each of the appraisals performed by Pitman, Goldberg personally reviewed, edited, approved, and sent the appraisal report to Cambridge.

- 23. Defendant Buckley Consulting Group Inc. ("Buckley Consulting") is a New York corporation in the real estate appraisal business which, during the relevant time period, did business as Premier Appraisal Services, Inc. ("Premier"), and had its principal place of business at 181 West Main Street, Suite 202, in Babylon, New York. In May 2011, Premier was renamed Buckley Consulting and currently maintains a principal place of business at 1111 Deer Park Avenue in North Babylon, New York.
- 24. Defendant William Buckley is an individual residing in Nassau County, New York. During all relevant times, Buckley has been a principal at Buckley Consulting Group (formerly Premier) and has performed appraisals for homes involved in Cohen's flip sales. Buckley is also the owner of IDU Renovations, Inc., a construction business that has performed renovations for homes involved in Cohen's flip sales. Buckley is also a principal of 10253 Realty, LLC, and One World Properties LLC, which transact business in the area of residential real estate.
- 25. Defendant Robert Micheline is an individual appraiser residing in Nassau County, New York. During all relevant times, Micheline did business as P&M Appraisals and performed appraisals for homes involved in Cohen's flip sales.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 26. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345.
- 27. Venue is proper in the Southern District of New York under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because a substantial part of the events and omissions alleged in this Complaint occurred in connection with flip sales of homes located in Bronx and Westchester Counties, within this District. Venue also is proper as to the named corporate defendants pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c) because each of those entities conducted business within this District.

CIVIL STATUTES FOR COMBATTING MORTGAGE FRAUD

- 28. In 1989, Congress enacted FIRREA as part of a comprehensive legislative plan to reform and strengthen the federal deposit insurance system. Pursuant to FIRREA, the United States can recover civil penalties, up to \$1 million for each violation or up to \$5 million for a continuing violation, from persons who "violate any provision of law to which this section is made applicable." 12 U.S.C. § 1833a(a)-(b). Further, if a defendant "derives pecuniary gain from the violation, or if the violation results in pecuniary loss to a person other than the [defendant]," FIRREA authorizes the United States to recover civil penalties greater than \$1 million per violation, or \$5 million per a continuing violation. *Id.* § 1833a(b)(3)(A).
- 29. As relevant to this action, FIRREA authorizes the United States to recover civil penalties for violations of, or conspiracies to violate, four provisions of Title 18 of the United States Code:

- 18 U.S.C. § 1006: which proscribes any entity or individual "connected in any capacity with . . . [HUD]" from "make[ing] any false entry in any book, report or statement of or to [HUD]" with the "intent to . . . deceive any officer, auditor, examiner or agent . . . of [a] department or agency of the United States";
- <u>18 U.S.C.</u> § <u>1014</u>: which proscribes "knowingly mak[ing] any false statement or report, or willingly overvalu[ing] any land, property or security, for the purpose of influencing in any way the action of the [FHA] . . .;"
- 18 U.S.C. § 1341 (Mail Fraud Affecting a Financial Institution): which proscribes the use of "the Postal Service, or ... private or commercial interstate carrier" for the purpose of executing, or attempting to execute, "[a] scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises . . .";
- 18 U.S.C. § 1343 (Wire Fraud Affecting a Financial Institution): which proscribes the use of "wire . . . in interstate or foreign commerce" for the purpose of executing, or attempting to execute, "[a] scheme or artifice to defraud, or for obtaining money or property by means of false or fraudulent pretenses, representations, or promises"
- 30. The False Claims Act authorizes the United States to seek treble damages and statutory civil penalties against, among others, any person (i) who "knowingly presents, or causes to be presented, a false or fraudulent claim for payment or approval;" (ii) who "knowingly makes, uses, or causes to be made or used, a false record or statement material to a false or fraudulent claim;" or (iii) conspires to commit such a violation of the FCA. 31 U.S.C. § 3729(a)(1)(A)–(C).

31. Finally, the Fraud Injunction Statute authorizes the United States to commence a civil action to enjoin any "person" who is "violating or about to violate" (among other criminal statutes) 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006, 1014, 1341, and 1343, from committing further violations of those statutes. 18 U.S.C. § 1345(a)(I)(B).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

A. <u>HUD'S MORTGAGE INSURANCE PROGRAM</u>

- 32. Under the National Housing Act of 1934, 12 U.S.C. § 1709 et seq., HUD, through FHA, provides mortgage insurance to first-time and low-income home-buyers seeking residential mortgages, as well as older home-owners seeking reverse mortgages.
- 33. To qualify for HUD mortgage insurance, a mortgage loan must meet all the applicable HUD requirements. Those requirements relate to, among other things, adequacy of the buyer's income to meet the mortgage payments and other obligations, the buyer's credit-worthiness, appropriateness of the valuation for the property subject to the mortgage, and the absence of any kickbacks or fraudulent payments made or promised in connection with the transaction.
- 34. In the mortgage industry, the imprimatur of HUD mortgage insurance makes covered mortgage loans highly marketable for resale to investors both because such loans are expected to have met HUD requirements and because they are insured by the full faith and credit of the United States.

B. THE SELLER'S AND THE BUYER'S OBLIGATIONS IN CONNECTION WITH OBTAINING HUD-INSURED MORTGAGE LOANS

35. For a sale that involves a HUD-insured mortgage loan to close, the seller must execute a Settlement Statement, a standard HUD form that is

commonly known as the HUD-1. In connection with executing that form, the seller is required to certify that the HUD-1 Settlement Statement accurately reflects "all receipts and disbursements" made by the seller.

- 36. Specifically, the seller must ensure that the HUD-1 Settlement Statement reflects all the payments that it made to, or on behalf of, the buyer to consummate the sale, including any payment made by the seller that was not for purposes of contributing to the buyer's actual closing costs, prepaid expenses, discount points, or another financing concession. Under HUD rules, any such payment by the seller is deemed an inducement to purchase, and it must be reflected in the Settlement Statement as a reduction to the purchase price for the purpose of HUD insurance.
- 37. To properly close the sale transaction and obtain HUD mortgage insurance, the buyer must sign the Settlement Statement and certify that the Settlement Statement accurately reflects "all receipts and disbursements" made by the buyer. The buyer also must complete a Uniform Residential Loan Application (the "URLA" or the "Loan Application") and certify to HUD that the URLA contains full and accurate information regarding his or her income, assets, and liabilities.
- 38. In addition, to qualify for HUD mortgage insurance in connection with purchasing a residential property, the buyer must certify that he or she intends to use the property to be purchased as his or her primary residence and must assist the mortgage lender in verifying the information provided by the buyer pertaining to his or her income, assets and liabilities, and credit history.

C. CAMBRIDGE'S DUTIES AS A HUD DIRECT ENDORSER

- 39. HUD insures mortgage loans only when they are originated and underwritten by HUD-approved lenders. The HUD-approved lenders participate in HUD's Direct Endorser Program, which delegates to the participating direct endorsers the responsibility for ensuring that mortgage loan applications meet the requirements for HUD mortgage insurance. See 24 C.F.R. § 203.5(a).
- 40. During all relevant times, Cambridge was a HUD-approved direct endorser and originated hundreds of mortgage loans that were insured by HUD based on Cambridge's certifications that those loans met HUD requirements and qualified for HUD insurance. Indeed, a substantial majority of the mortgage loans originated by Cambridge from 2005 to 2008 were subject to HUD mortgage insurance.
- 41. During all relevant times, Kramer, Hyman, and Derrell each possessed a personal identification code in the Computerized Homes Underwriting Management System ("CHUMS"), also known as a CHUMS ID number, which allowed them to underwrite loans and make certifications to HUD regarding the eligibility of mortgage loans for HUD insurance. Kramer, Hyman, and Derrell had applied for and obtained their CHUMS ID numbers from HUD.
- 42. As a HUD direct endorser, Cambridge was required, under HUD regulations, to exercise due diligence in underwriting mortgage loans that it approved for HUD mortgage insurance. See 24 C.F.R. § 203.5(c). Specifically, Cambridge was required, among other things, (i) to exercise due care to "obtain[] and verify[] information for a loan;" (ii) to exercise due care in ascertaining that the

loan application was suitable for mortgage insurance under relevant HUD requirements and, generally, under the standards for prudent underwriting; and (iii) to make certifications to HUD as to the accuracy and completeness of the information submitted to HUD and that the mortgage loan met HUD requirements and the standards for prudent underwriting. *Id*.

- i. <u>Cambridge's Duties to Verify the Information in the Documents</u> Submitted to HUD
- 43. As a direct endorser, Cambridge's endorsement of a mortgage loan for HUD insurance was required to be based on the submission of the following documentations to HUD:
 - a. URLA and Addendum, which must be signed and dated by all buyers and by Cambridge;
 - b. Mortgage Credit Analysis Worksheet ("MCAW"), in which Cambridge was required to truthfully and accurately enumerate the buyer's available assets and income, as well as the expected costs of both the mortgage and other fixed payments owed by the buyer;
 - c. Credit reports for all buyers;
 - d. Verifications of Employment for all buyers;
 - e. Verification of available funds from the buyer's bank, and the buyer's most recent bank statements;
 - f. Verifications of Rent or Payment History of Present and Previous Mortgages for all buyers; and
 - g. HUD-1 Settlement Statement, which must reflect "all receipts and disbursements" by and to the seller and the buyer, as well as any payment by the seller that is an inducement to purchase.

- 44. Under HUD rules and regulations, Cambridge had a duty to verify the accuracy and completeness of all the information in the documents that it submitted to HUD, as identified in Paragraph 43.
- 45. Specifically, Cambridge had the duty to verify that the HUD-1 Settlement Statement fully reflected all the payments and disbursement between the buyer and the seller, or persons affiliated with the seller, including any payment made by the seller that constituted an inducement to purchase.
- 46. Likewise, Cambridge had the duty to verify that a buyer seeking a HUD-insured mortgage in a purchase transaction qualified as a first-time homebuyer under HUD rules and regulations.
- 47. Moreover, Cambridge had the duty to verify each component of the buyer's total income reported in the Loan Application. Specifically, Cambridge had a duty to reconcile and document any discrepancy between the amount of any income component as stated in the Loan Application and the amount of such income component as reported by other sources.

ii. <u>Cambridge's Duties as the Underwriter</u>

- 48. As a direct endorser, Cambridge had a duty to assess the adequacy of a buyer's income and available assets for meeting his or her mortgage payments and other fixed payment obligations. Specifically, Cambridge was required to solicit information that would provide a complete picture of the buyer's overall financial situation.
- 49. Among other things, Cambridge had the duty to calculate a buyer's verifiable income and determine the likelihood that such income would continue

18

through at least the first three years of the mortgage. In particular, Cambridge was required to ascertain the following from a buyer:

- a. salaries, wages, and other regular payments such as social security or retirement benefits;
- b. any alimony, child support or maintenance income; and
- c. any net rental income from property owned by the buyer.
- 50. As a direct endorser, Cambridge also had the duty to compute, and to document on the MCAW forms, two qualifying ratios to determine whether the buyer could reasonably be expected to meet the expenses involved in home ownership, and otherwise provide for the buyer's family. First, Cambridge had to compute the Mortgage Payment to Effective Income Total ratio (the "MP/I Ratio"), which reflects the buyer's mortgage payment (including payments into an escrow account for taxes, insurance and any other assessments) as a percentage of his or her effective, *i.e.*, gross, income. Second, Cambridge had to compute the Total Fixed Payment to Effective Income ratio (the "FP/I Ratio", and, collectively with the MP/I Ratio, the "Qualifying Ratios"), which reflects the buyer's mortgage payments plus all other recurring obligations, as a percentage of his or her effective income.
- 51. Under HUD rules and regulations, Cambridge was forbidden from approving for HUD mortgage insurance any mortgage loan for which the MP/I Ratio exceeded 31% or the FP/I Ratio exceeded 43%, unless Cambridge otherwise determined that one or more significant "compensating factors" were present to justify making the loan under prudent underwriting principles. To approve any mortgage loan with a qualifying ratio that exceeded these HUD thresholds,

Cambridge was required to document on the MCAW form the existence of all compensating factors, which included whether a buyer had:

- a. demonstrated the ability to pay housing expenses equal to or greater than the proposed monthly housing expense for the new mortgage over the past twelve to twenty-four months;
- b. demonstrated an ability to accumulate savings and a conservative attitude toward the use of credit;
- c. only a minimal increase in the housing expense; or
- d. at least three months' documented cash reserves after closing.
- 52. As a direct endorser, it was Cambridge's duty to judge the overall merit of loan applications by determining beyond mechanically applying the HUD requirements whether the loan transactions complied with standards of prudent underwriting. Specifically, Cambridge was required to ascertain whether the mortgage amount was inflated because the buyer had been given any pecuniary inducements to purchase the property subject to the mortgage.

iii. Cambridge's Duties under Its Quality Control Plans

- 53. As a condition for obtaining and maintaining its direct endorser status, Cambridge was required by HUD regulations to promulgate an adequate quality control plan and to comply fully with its quality control plan in deciding whether to endorse mortgage loans for HUD insurance.
- 54. In 2004, in connection with the resolution of an audit of Cambridge by HUD, Cambridge also certified to HUD that it would comply fully with its quality control plan in determining whether to endorse mortgage loans for HUD insurance.

- 55. During all relevant times, under its quality control plans, Cambridge had the duty to, among other things, perform the following:
 - a. Resolve and document all conflicting information or discrepancies in the application files;
 - b. Determine whether the sale transaction was a flip sale by reviewing whether a seller had acquired the mortgage property at the time of or soon before closing; and
 - c. Obtain appropriate tax returns for all self-employed buyers;
 - d. Determine whether the appraised value was established appropriately, using reasonable comparables and reasonable adjustments;
 - e. Determine whether the loan file contains pertinent documentation of the buyer's source of funds for the required initial investment;
 - f. Determine whether there are sufficient and documented compensating factors if the qualifying ratios exceeded HUD limits; and
 - g. Determine whether the HUD-1 settlement statement was accurately prepared and properly certified.

iv. <u>Cambridge's Certifications to HUD</u>

56. Under HUD rules and regulations, for each mortgage loan that it originated that was insured by HUD, Cambridge was required to make a series of certifications to HUD in the HUD Addendum to Uniform Residential Loan Application and the Direct Endorsement Approval for a HUD/FHA Insured Mortgage, commonly known as the HUD 1003 Addendum, including certifications that:

- a. The loan terms furnished in the URLA and the HUD 1003 Addendum were true, accurate and complete;
- b. Each closing condition that Cambridge had enumerated in the commitment letter that Cambridge issued to the buyer had been met;
- c. The information contained in the URLA and the HUD 1003
 Addendum had been obtained directly from the buyer by a
 Cambridge employee or its duly authorized agent and was true to the
 best of Cambridge's knowledge and belief;
- d. The verification of employment was requested and received by the lender without passing through the hands of any third persons and was true to the best of Cambridge's knowledge and belief;
- e. The verification of deposit was requested and received by the lender without passing through the hands of any third persons and was true to the best of Cambridge's knowledge and belief;
- f. The proposed loan to the buyer met the income and credit requirements of the governing law in Cambridge's judgment;
- g. Cambridge employees had personally reviewed any appraisal report, credit application, and all associated documents;
- h. Cambridge had used due diligence in underwriting the mortgage;
- i. Statements made by Cambridge in the application for HUD insurance were true and correct;
- Statements made by Cambridge in the Lender's Certificate were true and correct;
- k. Complete disbursement of the loan would be made to the buyer, or to his or her creditors for his or her account and with his or her consent;
- 1. Cambridge had not paid any kickback, fee or consideration of any type, directly or indirectly, to any party in connection with the

- transaction except as permitted under HUD regulations and administrative instructions;
- m. An officer at Cambridge had personally reviewed the mortgage loan documents, closing statements, application for insurance endorsement, and all accompanying documents;
- n. An officer at Cambridge had made all certifications required for the mortgage by HUD Handbook 4000.4; and
- o. Cambridge made all certifications otherwise required by HUD, including:
 - i. The buyer's monthly mortgage payments will not be in excess of his or her reasonable ability to pay, *see* 24 C.F.R. § 203.21;
 - ii. The buyer's income is and will be adequate to meet the periodic payments required to amortize the mortgage submitted for insurance, see 24 C.F.R. § 203.33; and
 - iii. The buyer's general credit standing is satisfactory. See 24 C.F.R. § 203.34.
- 57. In addition, Cambridge, as a direct endorser, had an independent duty to ensure the quality and accuracy of appraisals. See 24 C.F.R. § 203.5(e)(3). Specifically, Cambridge was required to certify to HUD, in the Underwriter's Analysis of Appraisal Reports, that a mortgage property was appraised using acceptable comparable properties to determine their values and that the appraisals and related documentation satisfied HUD's appraisal requirements.
- 58. Finally, and as a condition for maintaining its participation in HUD's Direct Endorser Program, Cambridge was required to submit to HUD, on an annual basis, a certification by its President or Vice President that Cambridge had conformed to all HUD regulations necessary to maintain its HUD approval as a

direct endorser, that Cambridge was responsible for all actions of its employees, and that Cambridge had complied fully with the requirements of its quality control plans. During the relevant times, Kramer and Hyman made the annual certifications to HUD on behalf of Cambridge.

D. <u>APPRAISERS' DUTIES IN APPRAISING PROPERTIES THAT WOULD</u> <u>BE SOLD SUBJECT TO HUD MORTGAGE INSURANCE</u>

- 59. As a prerequisite to the approval of any mortgage loan insured by HUD under the Direct Endorsement Program, a direct endorser, such as Cambridge, is required to have the subject property appraised by an appraiser listed on HUD's FHA Appraiser Roster (an "FHA Roster Appraiser"). See 24 C.F.R. §§ 200.200(a); 203.5(e)(1).
- 60. During all relevant times, JJG offered appraisal services by FHA Roster Appraisers, including Goldberg and another JJG appraiser, in connection with HUD-insured mortgage transactions. Specifically, JJG issued at least seven appraisal reports in connection with Cohen's flip sales.
- 61. During all relevant times, Buckley was an FHA Roster Appraiser. Through Premier, Buckley offered appraisal services in connection with HUD-insured mortgage transactions. Specifically, as an FHA Roster Appraiser, Buckley issued at least five appraisal reports in connection with the 17 flip sales described herein, and has issued or otherwise obtained dozens of additional appraisal reports in connection with other flip sales orchestrated by Cohen between 2007 and today.
- 62. During all relevant times, Micheline was an FHA Roster Appraiser.

 Micheline offered appraisal services in connection with HUD-insured mortgage

24

transactions. Specifically, as an FHA Roster Appraiser, Micheline issued at least four appraisal reports in connection with Cohen's flip sales.

- 63. FHA Roster Appraisers are obligated to comply with all requirements set forth in the HUD Appraiser Handbook and all other instructions and standards issued by HUD in conducting appraisals. See 24 C.F.R. §§ 200.206(b), (c).
- 64. FHA Roster Appraisers must ensure that their appraisals and related documentation satisfy HUD requirements for FHA appraisals, and they bear responsibility for the quality of their appraisals in meeting HUD requirements. See 24 C.F.R. § 203.5(c)(3).
- 65. Among other requirements imposed by HUD, an FHA Roster Appraiser must:
 - a. Perform all appraisal services commensurate with the standards and requirements of HUD, and with HUD as the intended user of the appraisal report along with the mortgagee;
 - b. Adhere to all standards set forth in the Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice ("USPAP"), including performing complete appraisals as defined by USPAP;
 - c. Perform appraisals with impartiality, objectivity, and independence, and without accommodation of personal interests;
 - d. Avoid practices that do not comply with HUD or USPAP standards, such as estimating a specified value of a mortgage property that was pre-determined by the mortgagee – a practice commonly referred to as "hitting a number";
 - e. Verify all market and comparable information used in the appraisal process to ensure that the information is accurate and meaningful and

- provides the appraiser with a firm understanding of market motivations and trends;
- f. Adhere to HUD requirements regarding selection and use of comparable sale and rental properties;
- g. Analyze all sales of the mortgage property that occurred within the three years prior to the effective date of the appraisal;
- Include in the appraisal report all internal and external factors, known as "obsolescences," that are likely to detract from the value of the mortgage property;
- i. Specify an "effective date of value," which is the date when the appraiser physically inspects the mortgage property; or, if another date is specifically defined by the mortgagee, indicate the alternative date and the date on which the property was physically inspected;
- j. Clearly and accurately set forth the appraisal in a manner that will not be misleading, including sufficient information to enable the intended users of the appraisal to understand the report properly; and
- k. Sign the appraisal report, which makes the appraiser fully and wholly accountable for the information presented and for the appraisal's findings.
- 66. In adherence to HUD requirements and the USPAP Ethics Rule, FHA Roster Appraisers must not use or communicate a misleading or fraudulent appraisal report or knowingly permit an employee or other person to communicate a misleading or fraudulent appraisal report.

E. <u>CAMBRIDGE'S RESALE OF MORTGAGE LOANS TO CITI-MORTGAGE</u>, <u>INC. AND COUNTRYWIDE HOME MORTGAGES</u>, INC.

67. During all relevant times, Citi Mortgage, Inc. ("Citi") was a whollyowned subsidiary of Citibank, a financial institution insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (the "FDIC").

- 68. From at least May 2002 to September 2008, Citi purchased from Cambridge hundreds of mortgage loans, including loans that Cambridge had originated to finance Cohen's flip sales.
- 69. During all relevant times, Citi purchased mortgage loans from Cambridge pursuant to a Loan Purchase Agreement that it had with Cambridge (the "Citi Loan Purchase Agreement"). Pursuant to that agreement, Citi relied on Cambridge's underwriting determinations in deciding whether to purchase a mortgage loan from Cambridge. Specifically, Citi relied on Cambridge's determinations as to, among other things, whether a loan met HUD requirements for mortgage insurance, whether the transaction involved any kickback or any inducement to purchase, and whether the buyers had sufficient income and creditworthiness.
- 70. Citi also relied on documents submitted by Cambridge, such as the Loan Application, the MCAW form, and the HUD-1 Settlement Statement. Cambridge transmitted those and other documents to Citi by interstate mail and by wire. Under the Citi Loan Purchase Agreement, Cambridge warranted that none of the statements in the documents provided to Citi contained any misrepresentation, false statement, or misleading omission.
- 71. Under the Citi Loan Purchase Agreement, Cambridge was permitted to sell to Citi only loans for which the buyers had made the first monthly mortgage payment. Further, Citi had the right to demand that Cambridge repurchase any mortgage loan that defaulted within the first six months of its term.

- 72. After Citi purchased HUD-insured mortgage loans from Cambridge, Citi typically sold such loans to trusts, which then issued mortgage-backed securities ("MBS") to investors, backed by guarantees from Ginnie Mae.
- 73. Pursuant to the terms of its resale of mortgage loans to the MBS-issuing trusts, Citi retained the obligation to make mortgage payments for any loans that defaulted. Thus, for each loan Citi purchased from Cambridge that defaulted, Citi suffered interim losses for a period of months between the time of default and the time when, after a foreclosure sale, Citi would receive insurance proceeds from HUD.
- 74. From in or about 2001 to in or about July 2008, Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. ("Countrywide") was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Countrywide Bank, a financial institution insured by the FDIC.
- 75. From in or about at least April 2001 to 2008, Countrywide purchased from Cambridge hundreds of mortgage loans, including a number of loans that Cambridge had originated to finance Cohen's flip sales.
- 76. During all relevant times, Countrywide purchased mortgage loans from Cambridge pursuant to a Loan Purchase Agreement that it had with Cambridge (the "Countrywide Loan Purchase Agreement"). Pursuant to that agreement, Countrywide relied on Cambridge's underwriting determinations in deciding whether to purchase a mortgage loan from Cambridge. Specifically, Countrywide relied on Cambridge's determinations as to, among other things, whether a loan met HUD requirements for mortgage insurance, whether the

transaction involved any kickback or any inducement to purchase, and whether the buyers had sufficient income and creditworthiness.

- 77. Countrywide also relied on documents submitted by Cambridge, such as the Loan Application, the MCAW form, and the HUD-1 Settlement Statement. Cambridge transmitted those and other documents to Countrywide by interstate mail and by wire. Under the Countrywide Loan Purchase Agreement, Cambridge warranted that all representations made in the documents that it submitted to Countrywide were true and correct.
- 78. Under the Countrywide Loan Purchase Agreement, Countrywide had the right to demand that Cambridge repurchase any mortgage loan for which the buyer failed to make the first monthly mortgage payment or for which the buyer was 90 days delinquent on any monthly mortgage payment within the first twelve months of the term of the loan.
- 79. After Countrywide purchased HUD-insured mortgage loans from Cambridge, Countrywide typically sold such loans to trusts, which then issued MBS to investors, backed by guarantees from Ginnie Mae.
- 80. Pursuant to the terms of its resale of mortgage loans to the MBS-issuing trusts, Countrywide retained the obligation to make mortgage payments for any loans that defaulted. Thus, for each loan Countrywide purchased from Cambridge that defaulted, Countrywide suffered interim losses for a period of months between the time of default and the time when, after a foreclosure sale, Countrywide would receive insurance proceeds from HUD.

DEFENDANTS' SCHEMES TO DEFRAUD HUD AND TO COMMIT FRAUD AFFECTING FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

- 81. Defendants profited from their mortgage fraud schemes by abusing the positions of trust that the Cambridge Defendants and the Appraiser Defendants occupied within HUD's mortgage insurance program. Specifically, HUD delegated to Cambridge, in its role as a direct endorser, the responsibility to ascertain the appropriateness of extending HUD insurance for any mortgage loan, including the loans used to finance Cohen's flip sale transactions. Similarly, the Appraiser Defendants, as FHA Roster Appraisers, warranted to HUD that they would perform appraisals objectively and refrain from "hitting the numbers" predetermined by either Cambridge or Cohen. In reality, however, both the Cambridge Defendants and the Appraiser Defendants willfully disregarded their obligations to HUD, choosing instead to conspire with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to create and submit false documents, false certifications, and inflated appraisals tailored to justify Cohen's flip sales.
- 82. Defendants similarly exploited Cambridge's relationship with Citi and Countrywide in connection with their fraudulent schemes. Specifically, despite warranting to Citi and Countrywide that it was providing true and correct information and documents in connection with the sale of each mortgage loan, Cambridge knowingly and willfully submitted to Citi and Countrywide false records, false certifications, and inflated appraisals, to induce them to purchase the mortgage loans that it had originated to finance Cohen's flip sales.

- 83. In furtherance of defendants' mortgage fraud schemes, Cohen and the Cohen Entities (i) misrepresented to buyers the true costs of home-ownership; (ii) gave buyers money to induce them to purchase; (iii) conspired with Cambridge to create fraudulent records to inflate buyers' incomes or to understate their liabilities; and (iv) induced buyers to purchase by structuring the sales such that nearly all of the down payments and closing costs would be paid from the mortgage loans, rather than by the buyers. Cohen and Cohen Entities engaged in such conduct for purposes of inducing inexperienced buyers to purchase homes at inflated prices, fraudulently obtaining HUD insurance for the mortgage loans used to finance such the flip sales, and fraudulently inducing Citi or Countrywide to purchase such mortgage loans from Cambridge.
- 84. In furtherance of defendants' mortgage fraud schemes, the Cambridge Defendants (i) conspired with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to create false records to inflate buyers' incomes or to understate their liabilities; (ii) created false records, such as HUD-1 Settlement Statements and gift affidavits, to hide the fact that Cohen provided the funds for buyers to pay off their personal debts; (iii) made false certifications to HUD about whether the mortgage loans used to finance Cohen's fraudulent flip sales met requirements for HUD insurance and whether Cambridge met its duties as a direct endorser; (iv) sent payments to creditors of buyers to pay off the buyers' personal debts; and (iv) made false representations to, or withheld material information from, Citi and Countrywide. Cambridge engaged in such conduct for purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD insurance for the mortgage

loans that it originated to finance Cohen's flip sales and fraudulently inducing Citi and Countrywide to purchase such loans.

- 85. In furtherance of defendants' mortgage fraud schemes, the Appraiser Defendants prepared false and fraudulent appraisal reports, which contained (i) inflated valuations for homes sold by Cohen, (ii) inflated estimates for rental incomes for such properties, and (iii) false certifications regarding compliance with HUD appraisal standards. The Appraiser Defendants created the false and fraudulent reports for purposes of enabling Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants to obtain HUD insurance for mortgage loans used to finance Cohen's flip sales and to induce Citi or Countrywide to purchase such loans.
- 86. From orchestrating the seventeen fraudulent flip sales, Cohen and the Cohen Entities received direct payments, as well as payments made indirectly to individuals and entities affiliated with them. Specifically, Cohen arranged for numerous payments to be made through Mark Wolf, his partner at Gramercy and Buy-a-Home; Wolf & Wolf, a firm controlled by Mark Wolf; and Erin Davis, a manager at Buy-a-Home. In total, the direct and indirect payments to Cohen and the Cohen Entities amount to more than \$1.3 million.
- 87. For their participation in the seventeen fraudulent flip sales, the Cambridge Defendants collectively and individually derived at least \$400,000 in fees, resale proceeds, profits, kickbacks, and interest. First, Cambridge, and Kramer and Hyman as its principals, received more than \$140,000 in loan origination fees from those flip sales. Second, Cambridge also earned more than

\$180,000 from reselling such loans to Citi and Countrywide. Third, Cambridge received more than \$120,000 in proceeds from a flip sale – which Cohen orchestrated – of a property that Cambridge owned on Beach 46th Street. Fourth, Cambridge and its principals received tens of thousands of dollars in kickbacks from Cohen. Fifth, Kramer and Hyman also benefitted from Cohen's fraudulent flip sales because CFG, which Kramer and Hyman owned, received more than \$130,000 from those sales, in repayment of high-interest loans that CFG had made to Gramercy.

Lastly, the Appraiser Defendants also profited from their participation in the mortgage fraud schemes by ensuring that they would get additional appraisal business from Cambridge or Cohen. Specifically, by agreeing to "hit the numbers" for Cambridge on eight flip sales by Cohen, Goldberg positioned JJG to receive more than 275 appraisal assignments from Cambridge in 2007 and 2008, which translated to approximately \$150,000 in appraisal fees. Similarly, by helping Cohen decide how much to inflate sales prices and by issuing or obtaining inflated appraisals that "hit the numbers" for Cohen's flip sales, Buckley ensured that he would make hundreds of thousands of dollars from his participation in Cohen's schemes. See infra at ¶¶ 481–514. Among other things, Buckley profited from dozens of referrals to Premier for appraisals in connection with Cohen's flip sales; numerous assignments for IDU Renovations, Buckley's construction business, to perform superficial renovations on properties that Cohen flipped; and Cohen's assistance in arranging flip sales of residential properties that Buckley owned through 10253 Realty or One World Properties.

89. Described below are seventeen fraudulent sales orchestrated by the defendants as part of their scheme to fraudulently obtain HUD mortgage insurance and to commit fraud by mail and wire, affecting financial institutions. In addition to those specific transactions, defendants, separately or in combination, likely orchestrated, or participated in, numerous other frauds on HUD and affecting financial institutions.

A. HUD LOAN NO. 1: 116TH STREET, RICHMOND HILL

- 90. From in or about February 2007 to in or about July 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Premier, Buckley, and Micheline conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on 116th Street in the Richmond Hill neighborhood in Queens, New York (the "116th Street Property") at an inflated price to buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.
- 91. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Premier, Buckley, and Micheline obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$360,355 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of the 116th Street Property ("HUD Loan No. 1"), and sold HUD Loan No. 1 to Citi, by misrepresenting the true cost of home ownership, paying off the buyers' personal debts to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for the 116th Street Property, creating false records to omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Citi.

- 92. In or about February 2007, Cohen bought the 116th Street Property for \$275,000. At the time of that purchase, Cohen knew that the 116th Street Property required repairs to the roof, as well as the renovation of a kitchen and a bathroom. But, instead of repairing these conditions, Cohen and Buckley worked in concert to inflate the price for the 116th Street Property. Specifically, in February and March 2007, Buckley and Premier appraiser Peter Sarafian prepared two inflated appraisals, purporting to show that, with only minimal improvements (without repairing the roof, kitchen, or bathroom), the 116th Street Property was worth \$420,000, *i.e.*, 53% more than what Cohen had just paid to purchase the home.
- 93. In or about May and June 2007, *i.e.*, less than four months later, Cohen induced two inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 1-A and 1-B, to purchase the 116th Street Property, for \$371,500. In connection with that sale, Cohen had Buckley issue, on June 14, 2007, an inflated appraisal intended for Cambridge, again valuing the property at \$420,000. In his June 2007 appraisal, Buckley falsely stated that there were "no repairs needed or physical inadequacies" at the 116th Street Property, whereas, in fact, Cohen had never repaired the roof or renovated the kitchen or bathroom. Buckley also falsely certified that his appraisal had been conducted in accordance with HUD appraisal standards, when he actually had failed to comply with numerous HUD standards, including, among others, to select suitably comparable sales comparisons and to justify the substantial appreciation from February to June (approximately 53%) in the absence of major repairs.

- 94. Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, referred Buyers 1-A and 1-B to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on a corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of whether Buyers 1-A and 1-B qualified for such insurance or whether the 116th Street Property was worth \$371,500.
- 95. On or about June 8, 2007, Micheline issued an appraisal report for the 116th Street Property, valuing the property at \$372,000. The appraisal report issued by Micheline, like Buckley's, contained an inflated valuation for the property and numerous other falsities.
- 96. Specifically, Micheline significantly inflated the valuation of the 116th Street Property by stating that the property had three bedrooms, when it actually had only two bedrooms. Micheline also inflated the valuation of the 116th Street Property by claiming in his report that "no major repairs [were] required." In fact, that property required numerous major repairs according to a "post-closing agreement" between Cohen and the buyers, dated June 14, 2007, the repairs needed at the 116th Street Property included, among other things, "[to] replace kitchen," [to] repair leader from roof", and "to refurbish bathroom." A copy of that Post-Closing Agreement is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 1.
- 97. Further, Micheline falsely certified that his appraisal of the 116th Street Property had been conducted in accordance with HUD appraisal standards. In fact, Micheline's appraisal failed to comply with numerous HUD standards, including, among others, to select suitably comparable sales comparisons and to

justify the substantial appreciation during Cohen's four-month ownership (a value increase of approximately 35%), when no major repairs had been performed.

- 98. On June 12, 2007, and in connection with originating HUD Loan No. 1, Cambridge issued a commitment letter to Buyers 1-A and 1-B, which required, as a condition that must be fulfilled prior to closing, that those buyers pay off certain personal debts owed to creditors such as Capital One and Cingular.
- 99. On June 14, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 1 to Buyers 1-A and 1-B. Kramer, acting as the underwriter, certified to HUD that HUD Loan No. 1 met HUD's underwriting requirements. Further, Derrell, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed HUD Loan No. 2 to HUD for mortgage insurance.
- 100. Specifically, Cambridge certified to HUD that all closing conditions listed in the commitment letter issued by Cambridge had been met by the time of closing on June 14, 2007. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that Buyers 1-A and 1-B had not paid off their personal debts prior to closing, because those debts would not be paid off until Cambridge issued approximately \$6,500 in checks to Buyers 1-A's and 1-B's creditors, including Capital One and Cingular, on June 22, 2007. Hyman personally signed those checks issued by Cambridge.
- 101. Cambridge also certified to HUD that the closing documents for HUD Loan No. 1, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, were true and correct. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that the HUD-1 was false and misleading because it omitted the fact that Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, was providing \$6,500 to pay off

Buyers 1-A's and 1-B's personal debts, which payment should have been reflected on the HUD-1 as an inducement to purchase.

- 102. Further, to conceal the fact that Cohen was providing the funds to pay off Buyers 1-A's and 1-B's personal debts, the Cambridge Defendants conspired with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to create records to assert falsely that the funds for paying off those debts had come from Buyers 1-A's and 1-B's own funds.
- 103. Specifically, Derrell created a false note stating that, at closing, Cambridge had received \$6,500 in cash from Buyers 1-A and 1-B, for the purpose of paying off their personal debts. According to Derrell's note, Buyers 1-A and 1-B had obtained \$6,500 in cash by cashing their 2006 tax refund check. Derrell's note provided Cambridge with an explanation for why Buyers 1-A's and 1-B's bank account statements did not reflect their depositing the tax refund check and then withdrawing cash to pay Cambridge. Derrell, however, knew that her note was false because Buyers 1-A and 1-B did not give any cash to Cambridge.
- 104. In connection with endorsing HUD Loan No. 1 for HUD mortgage insurance, Cambridge certified to HUD that neither it nor its principals had any financial stake in the sale of the 116th Street Property. In fact, Cambridge's affiliate CFG held a junior mortgage against that property, in the amount of more than \$100,000. Thus, by originating a HUD-insured loan to finance Cohen's flip sale of the 116th Street Property, Cambridge's principals Kramer and Hyman stood to be paid in full on CFG's loan. In other words, and contrary to Cambridge's certification to HUD, Kramer and Hyman each had a direct financial stake in the sale of the 116th Street Property.

- 105. Further, Cambridge, through Kramer, also falsely certified HUD Loan No. 1 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that HUD Loan No. 1 failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:
 - a. HUD Loan No. 1 had Qualifying Ratios (44.16% and 54.23%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively), and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
 - a. Micheline's appraisal for the 116th Street Property had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements and contained an inflated valuation for the 116th Street Property.
- 106. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 1, Buyers 2-A and 2-B contributed only a minimal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing the 116th Street Property. Instead, Cohen, as the seller, paid \$11,145 toward the down payment and \$15,682.36 in closing costs, both of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 1. In other words, Cohen induced Buyers 1-A and 1-B to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.
- 107. On July 27, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 1 to Countrywide, pursuant to the Countrywide Loan Purchase Agreement and received more than \$11,000 from the sale of that loan.
- 108. Cohen provided \$6,500 to pay off Buyers 1-A's and 1-B's personal debts, and also paid for nearly all of the down payment and closing costs, to induce Buyers 1-A and 1-B to obtain HUD Loan No. 1 based on an inflated price for the 116th Street Property. In connection with that sale, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home

and Wolf & Wolf, made more than \$81,000 in profits, from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 1.

- 109. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of the 116th Street Property to Buyers 1-A and 1-B at an inflated price, Cambridge received more than \$20,000 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 1. Further, CFG received more than \$106,000 in disbursement from HUD Loan No. 1, in repayment of the loan it had made to Gramercy.
- 110. Micheline, who provided an inflated appraisal for the 116th Street Property based on a corrupt agreement or understanding with the Cambridge Defendants, not only was paid \$450 for that appraisal, but also ensured that he would receive additional appraisal referrals from Cambridge. Similarly, Buckley, through Premier, was paid \$1,075 by Cohen and Cambridge for his inflated appraisals and ensured future appraisal referrals from Cohen. In addition, by working in concert with Cohen to orchestrate the flip sale of the 116th Street Property, Buckley further cemented the corrupt and lucrative relationship between his business interests and Cohen's. See supra at ¶ 88.
- 111. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of the 116th Street Property, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Buckley, Premier, and Micheline used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, false and fraudulent gift affidavits, appraisal report, and HUD Addendum.

- HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the appraisal report for the 116th Street Property and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 1. Based on those false certifications and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, and Micheline obtained HUD mortgage insurance to HUD Loan No. 1. Buckley and Premier also provided to Cambridge a false and fraudulent appraisal report with the intention that it be submitted to HUD, and for which Buckley and Premier received compensation.
- 113. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Countrywide, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including Micheline's appraisal report and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 1. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Countrywide to purchase HUD Loan No. 1.
- 114. Based on the false and fraudulent certifications and records created by Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, and Micheline, which certification and records had been sent to HUD using interstate mail and by wire, HUD agreed to insure HUD Loan No. 1.
- 115. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Countrywide, using interstate mail carrier and by wire, numerous false and fraudulent records, including the MCAW form, the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No.1. Based on those false certification and records, they caused Countrywide to purchase HUD Loan No. 1 from Cambridge.

- 116. Buyers 1-A and 1-B, who never could have afforded the 116th Street Property at the inflated price Cohen set, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 1 within seven months of the closing, exposing HUD and Countrywide potentially to more than \$400,000 in losses.
- 117. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Premier, Buckley, and Micheline violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale of HUD Loan No. 1 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and wire fraud affecting a financial institution, namely Countrywide Bank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

B. HUD LOAN NO. 2: NEWARK AVENUE PROPERTY A

- 118. From in or about February 2007 to in or about May 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on Newark Avenue in Staten Island, Richmond County, New York ("Newark Avenue Property A") at an inflated price to buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.
- 119. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$358,900 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of Newark Avenue Property A ("HUD Loan No. 2"), and sold HUD Loan No. 2 to Citi, by misrepresenting the true cost of home ownership, paying off the buyers' personal debts to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for Newark Avenue Property A, creating false records to inflate a

buyer's income and to omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Citi.

- 120. Specifically, on or about February 5, 2007, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, contracted with Pearsal Avenue Builders Corp. ("Pearsal Builders") to purchase Newark Avenue Property A for approximately \$272,000. Rather than closing on that property, Cohen immediately sought to resell it to inexperienced home-buyers for \$370,000. To influence prospective buyers to accept the inflated valuation, Buy-a-Home sales agents falsely told them that their mortgage payments would be offset by approximately \$800 per month in rental income. But, in fact, Newark Avenue Property A was a single-family home that had no rental unit.
- 121. In or about March 2007, *i.e.*, less than two months after he contracted to buy Newark Avenue Property A for \$272,000, Cohen, through Metropolitan and Buy-a-Home, induced two inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 2-A and 2-B, to buy that home for \$370,000. To circumvent HUD rules regarding flip sales, Cohen concealed Buy-a-Home's contract to purchase Newark Avenue Property A by identifying Pearsal Builders as the seller, without disclosing that Buy-a-Home stood to gain nearly \$100,000 in gross profit from the flip sale.
- 122. Cohen referred Buyers 2-A and 2-B to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on the corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of

whether Buyers 2-A and 2-B qualified for such insurance or whether Newark Avenue Property A was worth \$370,000.

- 123. On or about April 4, 2007, Cambridge obtained an inflated appraisal for Newark Avenue Property A from Rapid Appraisal Services ("Rapid"), valuing the property exactly at the sale price, \$370,000. Rapid's appraisal report inflated the value of Newark Avenue Property A by failing to account for a significant external obsolescence the fact that the property was located directly across from and faced the stanchions of an elevated highway leading to the Bayonne Bridge that substantially decreased the value of that property. Rapid's appraisal report also inflated the value of Newark Avenue Property A by selecting for comparison sales of properties that were not, in fact, comparable to Newark Avenue Property A. Finally, Rapid failed to conduct a "complete" appraisal, in violation of a basic HUD appraisal requirement.
- 124. On April 13, 2007, and in connection with originating HUD Loan No. 2, Cambridge issued a commitment letter to Buyers 2-A and 2-B, which required, as a condition that must be fulfilled prior to closing, that Buyer 2-A pay off certain personal debts that he owed to creditors such as Macy's, Target, and Verizon.
- 125. On April 18, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 2, which Kramer, acting as the underwriter, certified as meeting HUD's requirements, and which Derrell, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed for HUD mortgage insurance.
- 126. Specifically, Cambridge certified to HUD on April 18, 2007, that all closing conditions listed in the commitment letter issued by Cambridge had been

met by the time of closing. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that Buyer 2-A had not paid off his personal debts prior to closing, because those debts would not be paid off until Cambridge issued approximately \$12,400 in checks to Buyer 2-A's creditors, including Macy's, Target, Verizon, on April 30, 2007, almost two weeks after the closing date. Hyman personally signed those checks issued by Cambridge.

- 127. Cambridge also certified to HUD that the closing documents for HUD Loan No. 2, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, were true and correct. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that the HUD-1 was false and misleading because it omitted the fact that Cohen had provided funds to Buyers 2-A and 2-B to pay off Buyer 2-A's personal debts, which payment should have been reflected on the HUD-1 as an inducement to purchase.
- 128. Further, to conceal the fact that Cohen had provided funds to pay off Buyer 2-A's personal debts, the Cambridge Defendants conspired with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to create false and fraudulent gift affidavits, which asserted that the funds for paying off Buyer 2-A's debts had come from his father, rather than Cohen.
- 129. A series of e-mails dated April 25, 2007, among Wendy Perkins, an underwriter at Cambridge; Erin Davis, a manager at Buy-a-Home; and Cohen illustrates Cambridge's involvement in facilitating Cohen's payoff of Buyer 2-A's debts and concealing Cohen's role. A copy of that e-mail chain is attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 2.
- 130. Specifically, in the opening e-mail, Perkins told Davis that, in connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 2 for Buyers 2-A and 2-B, Cohen had sent

a "certified bank check in the amount of \$3,363.00 from Northfork Bank" to Cambridge.

- 131. According to Perkins, Cohen should not have sent Cambridge a check from Northfork Bank because Buyer 2-A's father, who supposedly was providing the \$3,363 to Cambridge as a gift to his son, did not even have an account with Northfork Bank. Indeed, Northfork is Buy-a-Home's and Cohen's bank.
- 132. To maintain the illusion that Buyer 2-A's father was the source of those funds, Perkins advised Davis (i) to obtain a certified bank check from Washington Mutual the bank used by Buyer 2-A's father in the "additional [amount of] \$3,363", and (ii) to "prepare a letter from [Borrower 2-A's] Dad stating that he did not realize that he needed to give his son a gift totaling \$12,630 and this is why he took out an additional gift of \$3,363."
- 133. To ensure that Cambridge could erase any evidence of Cohen being the source of those funds, Perkins also asked Davis to tell Cohen to go to Cambridge to "retrieve the incorrect bank certified check." In response, Davis reassured Perkins that "Mitch [Cohen] would be there shortly" to pick up the check and that Buy-a-Home would work to "get this all resolved."
- 134. In connection with endorsing HUD Loan No. 2 for HUD mortgage insurance, Cambridge also certified to HUD that the Loan Applications and the MCAW form for Buyers 2-A and 2-B were true and correct. In fact, however, the Cambridge Defendants conspired with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to falsify those records to inflate Buyer 2-A's income.

- 135. Specifically, on or about March 27, 2007, Buyer 2-A told Lapidus that his monthly salary was \$3,333, an amount that was corroborated by the pay stubs that Cambridge obtained from Buyer 1-A's employer. Cambridge, however, inserted \$3,625 as Buyer 2-A's monthly salary into his final Loan Application and the MCAW form. By inflating Buyer 2-A's monthly income, Cambridge fraudulently lowered the Qualifying Ratios for HUD Loan No. 2. Relevant excerpts from the initial and final Loan Applications for Buyer 2-A are attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 3.
- 136. Cambridge, specifically Kramer, also falsely certified HUD Loan No. 2 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that this loan failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:
 - a. HUD Loan No. 2 had Qualifying Ratios (39.22% and 51.33%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively) and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
 - b. Rapid's appraisal for Newark Avenue Property A contained an inflated valuation for that property and had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements.
- 137. On or about May 9, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 2 to Citi, pursuant to the Citi Loan Purchase Agreement and received more than \$8,500 from the sale of that loan.
- 138. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 2, Buyers 2-A and 2-B contributed only a nominal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing Newark Avenue Property A. Instead, Cohen arranged for the payment of \$11,225 toward the down payment and \$15,894.56 in closing costs, both

of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 2. In other words, Cohen also induced Buyers 2-A and 2-B to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.

- 139. Cohen gave thousands of dollars to pay off Buyers 2-A's personal debts, and also arranged for the payments of nearly all of the down payment and closing costs, to induce Buyers 2-A and 2-B to obtain HUD Loan No. 2 on the basis of an inflated valuation for Newark Avenue Property A. In connection with that sale alone, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home and Wolf & Wolf, made almost \$58,000 in profits, from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 2.
- 140. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of Newark Avenue Property A to Buyers 2-A and 2-B at an inflated price, Cambridge received close to \$14,000 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 2.
- 141. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of Newark Property A, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, false and fraudulent gift affidavits, Loan Application, MCAW form, and HUD Addendum.
- 142. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the Loan Application, the MCAW form, and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 2. Based on those false certifications

and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants obtained HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 2.

- 143. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Citi, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including the Loan Application, the MCAW form, and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 2. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 2.
- 144. Buyers 2-A and 2-B, whom Cohen had misled as to the true cost of owning Newark Avenue Property A, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 2 within five months of closing, exposing HUD and Citi potentially to more than \$400,000 in losses.
- 145. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale of HUD Loan No. 2 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and wire fraud affecting a financial institution, namely Citibank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

C. HUD LOAN NO. 3: YORK AVENUE, STATEN ISLAND

146. From in or about June 2007 to in or about August 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier, and Buckley conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on York Avenue in Staten Island, Richmond County, New York (the "York Avenue Property") at an inflated price to buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.

- 147. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier, and Buckley obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$447,600 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of the York Avenue Property ("HUD Loan No. 3"), and sold HUD Loan No. 3 to Countrywide by paying off the buyers' personal debts to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for the York Avenue Property, creating false records to omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Countrywide.
- 148. Specifically, in or about June, 2007, Cohen, through Gramercy, contracted with an individual named Dominic Grasso to purchase the York Avenue Property for approximately \$370,500. Rather than closing on that property, Cohen immediately sought to resell it to inexperienced home-buyers for \$461,500.
- 149. In or about August 2007, *i.e.*, less than two months later, Cohen induced two inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 3-A and 3-B, to purchase the York Avenue Property, for \$461,500. To circumvent HUD rules regarding flip sales, Cohen concealed Buy-a-Home's contract to purchase the York Avenue Property by identifying Grasso as the seller, without disclosing that Buy-a-Home stood to gain more than \$90,000 in gross profit from the flip sale.
- 150. Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, referred Buyers 3-A and 3-B to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on a corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance

irrespective of whether Buyers 3-A and 3-B qualified for such insurance or whether the York Avenue Property was worth \$461,500.

- 151. On or about August 4, 2007, at Cohen's direction, Buckley, through Premier, issued an inflated appraisal of the York Avenue Property for Cambridge, valuing the property at \$460,000. Buckley's appraisal report inflated the value of the York Avenue Property by selecting for comparison sales of properties that were not, in fact, comparable to the York Avenue Property. Specifically, the sale price of a nearby property, which offered 40% more living space, was only \$10,000 more than Buckley's valuation of the York Avenue Property. Buckley also inflated his appraisal by complying with Cambridge's requests (i) to increase the expected rental income for the property from \$1,250 to \$1,500 and (ii) to change the term of the appraisal from being "subject to" the completion of certain repairs to "as is." Finally, Buckley did not conduct a "complete" appraisal, in violation of a basic appraisal requirement.
- 152. On August 2, 2007, and in connection with originating HUD Loan No. 3, Cambridge issued a commitment letter to Buyers 3-A and 3-B, which required, as a condition that must be fulfilled prior to closing, that those buyers pay off certain judgments and other outstanding personal liabilities.
- 153. On August 10, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 3 to Buyers 3-A and 3-B. Derrell, acting as the underwriter, certified to HUD that HUD Loan No. 3 met HUD's underwriting requirements. Further, Kramer, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed HUD Loan No. 3 to HUD for mortgage insurance.

- 154. Specifically, Cambridge certified to HUD that all closing conditions listed in the commitment letter had been met by the time of closing on August 10, 2007. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that Buyers 3-A and 3-B had not paid off their personal debts prior to closing, because those debts would not be paid off until August 16, August 20, and September 5, 2007, when Cambridge issued approximately \$11,490 in checks to Buyers 3-A's and 3-B's creditors. Hyman personally signed those checks.
- 155. Cambridge also certified to HUD that the closing documents for HUD Loan No. 3, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, were true and correct. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that the HUD-1 was false and misleading because it omitted the fact that Cohen had provided several thousand dollars to Buyers 3-A and 3-B to pay off their personal debts, which payment should have been reflected on the HUD-1 as an inducement to purchase.
- 156. Further, to conceal the fact that Cohen had provided funds to pay off Buyers 3-A's and 3-B's personal debts, the Cambridge Defendants conspired with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to create false and fraudulent gift affidavits, which asserted that the funds for paying off Buyers 3-A's and 3-B's debts had come from their daughter, rather than Cohen. Specifically, to give the appearance that Buyers 3-A and 3-B received a gift from their daughter, Cohen and Seth Lapidus obtained a cashier's check from the buyers' daughter's bank, using Cohen's funds,.
- 157. In addition, Cambridge, through Kramer, also falsely certified HUD Loan No. 3 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that HUD Loan No. 3 failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:

- a. The MCAW form for HUD Loan No. 3 falsely understated Buyers 3-A's and 3-B's liabilities by not including the debts they owed, which Cambridge would not pay off until weeks after the closing;
- b. HUD Loan No. 3 had Qualifying Ratios (53.32% and 53.65%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively), and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
- c. Buckley's appraisal for the York Avenue Property had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements and contained an inflated valuation for the York Avenue Property.
- 158. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 3, Buyers 3-A and 3-B contributed only a minimal amount to the down payment and closing costs associated with purchasing the York Avenue Property. Instead, Cohen, as the seller, paid \$13,845 toward the down payment and \$14,647 in closing costs, both of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 3. In other words, Cohen induced Buyers 3-A and 3-B to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.
- 159. On August 24, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 3 to Countrywide, pursuant to the Countrywide Loan Purchase Agreement and received more than \$13,000 from the sale of that loan.
- 160. Cohen provided thousands of dollars to pay off Buyers 3-A's and 3-B's personal debts, and also paid for nearly all of the down payment and closing costs, to induce them to obtain HUD Loan No. 3 based on an inflated price for the York Avenue Property. In connection with that sale alone, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home and Mark Wolf, made more than \$46,000 in net profits, from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 3.

- 161. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of the York Avenue Property to Buyers 3-A and 3-B at an inflated price, Cambridge received more than \$25,000 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 3.
- 162. Buckley, who provided an inflated appraisal for the York Avenue Property based on a corrupt agreement or understanding with Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants, not only was paid several hundred dollars for that appraisal, but also ensured future appraisal referrals from Cohen and further cemented the corrupt and lucrative relationship between his business interests and Cohen's. See supra at ¶ 88.
- 163. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of the York Avenue Property, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier, and Buckley used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, false and fraudulent gift affidavits, appraisal report, and HUD Addendum.
- 164. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants and Buckley submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the appraisal report for the York Avenue Property and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 3. Based on those false certifications and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants obtained HUD mortgage insurance to HUD Loan No. 3.

- 165. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Countrywide, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, the appraisal report for the York Avenue Property and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 3. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Countrywide to purchase HUD Loan No. 3.
- 166. Based on the false and fraudulent certifications and records created by Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier, and Buckley, which certification and records had been sent to HUD using interstate mail and by wire, HUD agreed to insure HUD Loan No. 3. Further, based on those false and fraudulent records, which had been sent to Countrywide using interstate mail and by wire, Countrywide agreed to purchase HUD Loan No. 3 from Cambridge.
- 167. Buyers 3-A and 3-B, who never could have afforded the York Avenue Property at the inflated price Cohen set, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 3 within 18 months of the closing, exposing HUD and Countrywide potentially to more than \$500,000 in losses.
- 168. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier, and Buckley violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale of HUD Loan No. 3 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and wire fraud affecting a financial institution, namely Countrywide Bank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

D. HUD LOAN NO. 4: BEACH 46TH STREET, FAR ROCKAWAY

- 169. From in or about January 2006 to in or about August 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on Beach 46th Street in Far Rockaway, New York (the "Beach 46th Street Property"), at an inflated price to buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.
- 170. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$454,930 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of the Beach 46th Street Property ("HUD Loan No. 4"), and sold HUD Loan No. 4 to Citi, by paying off the buyers' personal debts to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for the Beach 46th Street Property, by creating false records to inflate a buyer's income and to omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Citi.
- 171. In or about January 2006, Cambridge acquired the Beach 46th Street Property for \$1,000, subject to two mortgages in the approximate amount of \$380,000.
- 172. In or about April 2007, Cohen induced three inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 4-A, 4-B, and 4-C to purchase the Beach 46th Street Property for \$469,000.
- 173. Cohen referred Buyers 4-A, 4-B, and 4-C to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on the

corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of whether Buyers 4-A, 4-B, and 4-C qualified for such insurance or whether the Beach 46th Street Property was worth \$469,000.

- 174. On or about April 24, 2007, Cambridge obtained an inflated appraisal for the Beach 46th Street Property from Mark Pitman, a JJG appraiser, valuing the property at \$470,000. Pitman's appraisal report, which inflated the value of the Beach 46th Street Property by failing to comply with numerous HUD appraisal standards, including, among others, to conduct and report a complete appraisal, to report full and accurate data about the property including its sales history, and to appropriately value the property vis-à-vis comparable property sales. Goldberg personally reviewed, approved, and signed the appraisal report on behalf of Pitman.
- 175. On April 30, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 4, which Derrell, acting as the underwriter, certified as meeting HUD's requirements, and which Hyman, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed for HUD mortgage insurance.
- 176. In connection with endorsing HUD Loan No. 4 for HUD mortgage insurance, Cambridge also certified to HUD that the Loan Application for Buyer 4-A and the MCAW form were true and correct. In fact, however, the Cambridge Defendants conspired with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to falsify those records to inflate Buyer 4-A's income.
- 177. Specifically, on or about April 13, 2007, Buyer 4-A told Lapidus that she worked as a security guard and that her monthly salary was \$1,733.

Cambridge, however, inserted "head chef" as Buyer 4-A's occupation and \$2,600 as her monthly salary into her final Loan Application, and created false and fraudulent records to support this information. The two versions of the Loan Applications for Buyer 4-A are attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 4. By inflating Buyer 4-A's monthly income, Cambridge fraudulently lowered the Qualifying Ratios for HUD Loan No. 4.

- 178. In connection with endorsing HUD Loan No. 4 for HUD mortgage insurance, Cambridge certified to HUD that neither it nor its principals had any financial stake in the sale of the Beach 46th Street Property. In fact, Cambridge was the owner of the property. Thus, by originating a HUD-insured loan to finance the flip sale of the Beach 46th Street Property, Cambridge and its principals, Kramer and Hyman, stood to make a significant profit. In other words, and contrary to Cambridge's certification to HUD, Kramer and Hyman each had a direct financial stake in the sale of the Beach 46th Street Property.
- 179. Cambridge, specifically Hyman, also falsely certified HUD Loan No. 4 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that that loan failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:
 - a. HUD Loan No. 4 had an MP/I ratio (36.18%) that significantly exceeded the HUD threshold (31%) and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
 - b. JJG's appraisal for the Beach 46th Street Property contained an inflated valuation for that property and had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements.

- 180. On or about August 1, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 4 to Citi, pursuant to the Citi Loan Purchase Agreement and received more than \$10,000 for that loan.
- 181. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 4, Buyers 4-A, 4-B, and 4-C contributed only a nominal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing the Beach 46th Street Property. Instead, Cohen arranged for the payment of \$14,070 toward the down payment and \$14,833.06 in closing costs, both of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 4. In other words, Cohen also induced Buyers 4-A, 4-B, and 4-C to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.
- 182. Cohen arranged for the payments of nearly all of the down payment and closing costs to induce Buyers 4-A, 4-B, and 4-C to obtain HUD Loan No. 4 on the basis of an inflated valuation for the Beach 46th Street Property. In connection with that sale alone, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, made \$25,000 in fees, from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 4.
- 183. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of the Beach 46th Street Property to Buyers 4-A, 4-B, and 4-C at an inflated price, Cambridge received approximately \$135,000 in profits and resale proceeds.
- 184. Further, in exchange for supplying Cambridge with an inflated appraisal for the Beach 46th Street Property, Goldberg, in addition to receiving \$500 for issuing an inflated appraisal report, ensured that he would receive hundreds of additional appraisal assignments from Cambridge.

- 185. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of the Beach 46th Street Property, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, false and fraudulent Loan Application, MCAW form, appraisal, and HUD Addendum.
- 186. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the Loan Application, the MCAW form, the appraisal, and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 4. Based on those false certifications and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg obtained HUD mortgage insurance to HUD Loan No. 4. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Citi, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including the Loan Application, the MCAW form, and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 4. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 4.
- 187. Buyers 4-A, 4-B, and 4-C, whom Cohen had misled as to the true cost of owning the Beach 46th Street Property and who never could have afforded that property at the inflated price set by Cambridge, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 4 within four months of closing, exposing HUD and Citi potentially to more than \$500,000 in losses.
- 188. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale

of HUD Loan No. 4 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and wire fraud affecting a financial institution, namely Citibank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

E. HUD LOAN NO. 5: NICHOLAS AVENUE, STATEN ISLAND

189. From in or about February 2007 to in or about May 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on Nicholas Avenue in Staten Island, Richmond County, New York (the "Nicholas Avenue Property") at an inflated price to buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.

190. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$359,600 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of the Nicholas Avenue Property ("HUD Loan No. 5"), and sold HUD Loan No. 5 to Citi, by misrepresenting the true cost of home ownership, paying off the buyers' personal debts to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for the Nicholas Avenue Property, creating false records to omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Citi.

191. In or about February 2007, Cohen, through Metropolitan, bought the Nicholas Avenue Property for \$229,500. To influence prospective buyers to accept the inflated valuation, Buy-a-Home sales agents falsely told them that the monthly cost of owning that property, after taking into account tax deductions and rental

income, would be almost eight hundred dollars less than Buy-a-Home's internal estimates indicated.

- 192. In or about April and May 2007, *i.e.*, less than three months later, Cohen induced two inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 5-A and 5-B, to purchase the Nicholas Avenue Property, for \$370,750.
- 193. Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, referred Buyers 5-A and 5-B to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on a corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of whether Buyers 5-A and 5-B qualified for such insurance or whether the Nicholas Avenue Property was worth \$370,750.
- 194. On or about May 22, 2007, JJG issued an appraisal report for the Nicholas Avenue Property, valuing the property at \$380,000. That appraisal report, which Goldberg personally reviewed, edited, approved, and sent to Cambridge, contained an inflated valuation for the property and numerous other falsities.
- 195. Specifically, JJG's appraisal report inflated the value of the Nicholas Avenue Property by falsely describing the property as having an additional useable bedroom and a partially-finished basement and by overstating the value of repairs by more than \$20,000. JJG also inflated the value of the property by falsely stating that all necessary repairs had been made by the closing date, when, in fact, repairs remained ongoing for three weeks. Finally, the JJG appraisal report failed to adequately document these improvements as required by HUD.

- 196. On May 16, 2007, and in connection with originating HUD Loan No. 5, Cambridge issued a commitment letter to Buyers 5-A and 5-B, which required, as a condition that must be fulfilled prior to closing, that those buyers pay off certain personal debts owed to creditors such as Best Buy and Sears.
- 197. On May 24, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 5 to Buyers 5-A and 5-B. Kramer, acting as the underwriter, certified to HUD that HUD Loan No. 5 met HUD's underwriting requirement. Further, Derrell, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed HUD Loan No. 5 to HUD for mortgage insurance.
- 198. Specifically, Cambridge certified to HUD that all closing conditions listed in the commitment letter issued by Cambridge had been met by the time of closing on May 24, 2007. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that Buyers 5-A and 5-B had not paid off their personal debts prior to closing, because some of those debts would not be paid off until May 29, 2007, when Cambridge issued checks to Buyers 5-A's and 5-B's creditors. Hyman personally signed those checks issued by Cambridge.
- 199. Cambridge also certified to HUD that the closing documents for HUD Loan No. 5, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, were true and correct. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that the HUD-1 was false and misleading because it omitted the fact that Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, had given approximately \$8,200 to Buyers 5-A and 5-B to pay off their personal debts, which payment should have been reflected on the HUD-1 as an inducement to purchase.
- 200. Further, to conceal the fact that Cohen had given money to Buyers 5-A and 5-B to pay off their personal debts, the Cambridge Defendants conspired with

Cohen and the Cohen Entities to create records to assert falsely that the funds for paying off those debts had come from Buyers 5-B's sister.

- 201. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants, Cohen, and the Cohen Entities conspired to create false gift affidavits stating that the funds used for paying off Buyers 5-A's and 5-B's personal debts came from Borrower 5-B's sister's personal funds. In fact, however, those funds had come from Cohen, not Borrower 5-B's sister.
- 202. Further, Cambridge, through Kramer, falsely certified HUD Loan No. 5 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that HUD Loan No. 5 failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:
 - a. The MCAW form for HUD Loan No. 5 falsely understated Buyers 5-A's and 5-B's liabilities by failing to include the personal debts they owed, which Cambridge would not pay off until several days after the closing;
 - b. HUD Loan No. 5 had Qualifying Ratios (42.54% and 52.50%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively), and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
 - b. JJG's appraisal for the Nicholas Avenue Property had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements and contained an inflated valuation for the Nicholas Avenue Street Property.
- 203. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 5, Buyers 5-A and 5-B contributed only a minimal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing the Nicholas Avenue Property. Instead, Cohen, as the seller, paid \$12,500 toward the down payment and \$14,995.12 in closing costs, both of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 5. In other words, Cohen

induced Buyers 5-A and 5-B to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.

- 204. On August 1, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 5 to Citi, pursuant to the Citi Loan Purchase Agreement and received approximately \$8,500.
- 205. Cohen gave Buyers 5-A and 5-B thousands of dollars to pay off their personal debts, and also paid for nearly all of the down payment and closing costs, to induce them to obtain HUD Loan No. 5 based on an inflated price for the Nicholas Avenue Property. In connection with that sale, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home and Wolf & Wolf, made approximately \$102,000 in profits, from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 5.
- 206. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of the Nicholas Avenue Property to Buyers 5-A and 5-B at an inflated price, Cambridge received close to \$18,000 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 5.
- 207. Further, in exchange for supplying Cambridge with an inflated appraisal for the Nicholas Avenue Property, Goldberg, in addition to receiving \$700 for issuing an inflated appraisal report, ensured that he would receive hundreds of additional appraisal assignments from Cambridge.
- 208. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of the Nicholas Avenue Property, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information,

including, among other documents, false and fraudulent gift affidavits, appraisal report, and HUD Addendum.

- 209. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the appraisal report for the Nicholas Avenue Property and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 5. Based on those false certifications and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg obtained HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 5.
- 210. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Citi, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including the appraisal report for the Nicholas Avenue Property and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 5. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Countrywide to purchase HUD Loan No. 5.
- 211. Based on the false and fraudulent certifications and records created by Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg, which certification and records had been sent to HUD using interstate mail and by wire, HUD agreed to insure HUD Loan No. 5. Further, based on those false and fraudulent records, which had been sent to Citi using interstate mail and by wire, Citi agreed to purchase HUD Loan No. 5 from Cambridge.
- 212. Buyers 5-A and 5-B, who never could have afforded the Nicholas Avenue Property at the inflated price Cohen set, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 5

within seven months of the closing, exposing HUD and Citi potentially to more than \$400,000 in losses.

213. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale of HUD Loan No. 5 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and wire fraud affecting a financial institution, namely Citibank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

F. HUD LOAN NO. 6: ALASKA STREET, STATEN ISLAND

214. From in or about March 2007 to in or about July 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Premier, and Buckley conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on Alaska Street in Staten Island, Richmond County, New York (the "Alaska Street Property") at an inflated price to buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.

215. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Premier, and Buckley obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$460,750 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of the Alaska Street Property ("HUD Loan No. 6"), and sold HUD Loan No. 6 to Citi, by paying off the buyers' personal debts to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for the Alaska Street Property, creating false records to omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Citi.

- 216. In or about March 2007, Cohen, through Gramercy, bought the Alaska Street Property for \$338,500. In connection with that purchase, Gramercy obtained a \$25,000 loan from CFG, which was secured by a junior mortgage on the Alaska Street Property.
- 217. In or about July 2007, *i.e.*, less than three months later, Cohen induced two inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 6-A and 6-B, to purchase the Alaska Street Property, for \$475,000.
- 218. Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, referred Buyers 6-A and 6-B to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on a corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of whether Buyers 6-A and 6-B qualified for such insurance or whether the Alaska Street Property was worth \$475,000.
- 219. On or about July 22, 2007, at Cohen's direction, Buckley, through Premier, issued an appraisal report for the Alaska Street Property, valuing the property at \$480,000. That appraisal report contained an inflated valuation for the property and numerous other falsities.
- 220. Specifically, Buckley significantly inflated the valuation of the Alaska Street Property by selecting for comparison sales of properties that were not, in fact, comparable to the Alaska Street Property. Buckley also inflated the rental income that Buyers 6-A and 6-B could expect to generate from renting out the basement studio at the Alaska Street Property by falsifying the rent for similar apartments.

- 221. Further, Buckley falsely certified that his appraisal of the Alaska Street Property had been conducted in accordance with HUD appraisal standards. In fact, Buckley's appraisal failed to comply with numerous HUD standards, including, among others, to select suitably comparable sales comparisons and to justify the approximately 45% appreciation during Cohen's four-month ownership with no major repairs noted.
- 222. On July 25, 2007, and in connection with originating HUD Loan No. 6, Cambridge issued a commitment letter to Buyers 6-A and 6-B, which required, as a condition that must be fulfilled prior to closing, that those buyers pay off certain personal debts owed to creditors such as Bally Total Fitness and JC Penny.
- 223. On July 27, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 6 to Buyers 6-A and 6-B. Derrell, acting as the underwriter, certified to HUD that HUD Loan No. 6 met HUD's underwriting requirements. Further, Hyman, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed HUD Loan No. 6 to HUD for mortgage insurance.
- 224. Specifically, Cambridge certified to HUD that all closing conditions listed in the commitment letter issued by Cambridge had been met by the time of closing on July 27, 2007. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that Buyers 6-A and 6-B had not paid off their personal debts prior to closing, because those debts would not be paid off until July 30, 2007, when Cambridge issued approximately \$14,000 in checks to pay off Buyers 6-A's and 6-B's student loans and creditors, including Bally and JC Penny. Hyman personally signed those checks issued by Cambridge.

- 225. Cambridge also certified to HUD that the closing documents for HUD Loan No. 6, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, were true and correct. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that the HUD-1 was false and misleading because it omitted the fact that Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, had provided Cambridge with approximately \$27,000 in funds to pay off Buyers 6-A's and 6-B's personal debts, which payment should have been reflected on the HUD-1 as an inducement to purchase.
- 226. Further, to conceal the fact that Cohen had given the funds to pay off Buyers 6-A's and 6-B's personal debts, the Cambridge Defendants conspired with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to create records to assert falsely that the funds for paying off those debts had come from a gift from one of Buyer 6-B's relatives.
- 227. Specifically, Cambridge claimed to have obtained the funds for paying off Buyers 6-A's and 6-B's student loans and personal debts using \$27,000 in funds that Buyer 6-A's brother-in-law had given to Cambridge as a gift. In fact, however, Erin Davis, the Buy-a-Home manager, had given those funds to her then-boyfriend who was not related to Buyer 6-A to give to Cambridge, and had created fraudulent gift affidavits to conceal that fact. Further, Cohen compensated Davis for that outlay at the closing of HUD Loan No. 6, directing a \$14,000 payment to Davis directly from the disbursement of that loan.
- 228. In connection with endorsing HUD Loan No. 6 for HUD mortgage insurance, Cambridge certified to HUD that neither it nor its principals had any financial stake in the sale of the Alaska Street Property. In fact, Cambridge's affiliate CFG held a junior mortgage against that property, in the amount of

\$24,000. Thus, by originating a HUD-insured loan to finance Cohen's flip sale of the Alaska Street Property, Cambridge's principals Kramer and Hyman stood to be paid in full on CFG's loan. In other words, and contrary to Cambridge's certification to HUD, Kramer and Hyman each had a direct financial stake in the sale of the Alaska Street Property.

- 229. Further, Cambridge, through Hyman, also falsely certified HUD Loan No. 6 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that HUD Loan No. 6 failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:
 - a. The MCAW form for HUD Loan No. 6 falsely understated Buyers 6-A's and 6-B's liabilities by failing to include the personal debts they owed, which Cambridge would not pay off until a week after the closing;
 - b. HUD Loan No. 6 had Qualifying Ratios (39.11% and 49.47%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively), and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
 - c. Buckley's appraisal for the Alaska Street Property had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements and contained an inflated valuation for the Alaska Street Property.
- 230. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 6, Buyers 6-A and 6-B contributed only a minimal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing the Alaska Street Property. Instead, Cohen, as the seller, paid \$14,250 toward the down payment and \$18,470 in closing costs, both of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 6. In other words, Cohen induced Buyers 6-A and 6-B to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.

- 231. On August 29, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 6 to Citi, pursuant to the Citi Loan Purchase Agreement and received approximately \$11,000 for that loan.
- 232. Cohen gave Buyers 6-A and 6-B \$14,000 to pay off their personal debts, and also paid for nearly all of the down payment and closing costs, to induce them to obtain HUD Loan No. 6 based on an inflated price for the Alaska Street Property. In connection with that sale, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, Mark Wolf, and Erin Davis, made more than \$68,000 in net profits from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 6.
- 233. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of the Alaska Street Property to Buyers 6-A and 6-B at an inflated price, Cambridge received approximately \$22,000 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 6. Further, CFG received more than \$27,000 in disbursement from HUD Loan No. 6, in repayment of the high-interest loan that it had made to Gramercy.
- 234. Buckley, who through Premier provided an inflated appraisal for the Alaska Street Property based on a corrupt agreement or understanding with Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants, not only was paid \$450 for that appraisal, but also ensured future appraisal referrals from Cohen. This included appraisals of three other adjacent properties on Alaska Avenue, all of which were sold by Cohen and all of which Buckley appraised at inflated values. Buckley also further cemented the corrupt and lucrative relationship between his business interests and Cohen's. See supra at ¶ 88.

- 235. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of the Alaska Street Property, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Premier, and Buckley used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, false and fraudulent gift affidavits, appraisal report, and HUD Addendum.
- 236. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants and Buckley submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the appraisal report for the Alaska Street Property and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 6. Based on those false certifications and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Premier, and Buckley obtained HUD mortgage insurance to HUD Loan No. 6.
- 237. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Citi, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including Buckley's appraisal report and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 6. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 6.
- 238. Based on the false and fraudulent certifications and records created by Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Premier, and Buckley, which certification and records had been sent to HUD using interstate mail and by wire, HUD agreed to insure HUD Loan No. 6. Further, based on those false and fraudulent records, which had been sent to Citi using interstate mail and by wire, Citi agreed to purchase HUD Loan No. 6 from Cambridge.

- 239. Buyers 6-A and 6-B, who never could have afforded the Alaska Street Property at the inflated price Cohen set, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 6 within 100 days of the closing, exposing HUD and Citi potentially to more than \$500,000 in losses.
- 240. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Premier, and Buckley violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale of HUD Loan No. 6 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and wire fraud affecting a financial institution, namely Citibank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

G. HUD LOAN NO. 7: NEWARK AVENUE PROPERTY B

- 241. From in or about February 2007 to in or about August 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on Newark Avenue in Staten Island, Richmond County, New York ("Newark Avenue Property B") at an inflated price to buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.
- 242. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$358,900 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of Newark Avenue Property B ("HUD Loan No. 7"), and sold HUD Loan No. 7 to Citi, by misrepresenting the true cost of home ownership, paying off the buyers' personal debts to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for Newark Avenue Property B, creating false

records to omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Citi.

- 243. Specifically, on or about February 5, 2007, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, contracted with Pearsal Builders to purchase Newark Avenue Property B for approximately \$272,000. Rather than closing on that property, Cohen immediately sought to resell it to inexperienced home-buyers for \$370,000.
- 244. In or about July 2007, *i.e.*, approximately five months after he contracted to buy Newark Avenue Property B for \$272,000, Cohen, through Metropolitan and Buy-a-Home, induced two inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 7-A and 7-B, to buy that home for \$370,000. To influence the prospective buyers to accept the inflated valuation, Buy-a-Home sales agents falsely told them that their mortgage payments would be offset by several hundred dollars in tax credits. Cohen also concealed Buy-a-Home's contract to purchase Newark Avenue Property B by identifying Pearsal Builders as the seller, without disclosing that Buy-a-Home stood to gain nearly \$100,000 in gross profit from the flip sale.
- 245. Cohen referred Buyers 7-A and 7-B to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on the corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of whether Buyers 7-A and 7-B qualified for such insurance or whether Newark Avenue Property B was worth \$370,000.
- 246. On or about August 1, 2007, Cambridge obtained an inflated appraisal for Newark Avenue Property B from JJG, valuing the property exactly at the sale

price, \$370,000. JJG's appraisal report, which Goldberg personally reviewed, edited, approved, and sent to Cambridge, inflated the value of Newark Avenue Property B by failing to account for a significant external obsolescence – the fact that the property was located directly across from and faced the stanchions of an elevated highway leading to the Bayonne Bridge – that substantially decreased the value of that property. JJG's appraisal report also inflated the value of Newark Avenue Property B by selecting for comparison sales of properties that were not, in fact, comparable to Newark Avenue Property B.

- 247. On August 21, 2007, and in connection with originating HUD Loan No. 7, Cambridge issued a commitment letter to Buyers 7-A and 7-B, which required, as a condition that must be fulfilled prior to closing, that Buyer 7-A pay off certain personal debts that she owed to creditors such as Wells Fargo and AT&T.
- 248. On August 30, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 7, which Kramer, acting as the underwriter, certified as meeting HUD's requirements, and which Hyman, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed for HUD mortgage insurance.
- 249. Specifically, Cambridge certified to HUD on August 30, 2007, that all closing conditions listed in the commitment letter had been met by the time of closing. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that Buyer 7-A had not paid off her personal debts prior to closing, because those debts would not be paid off until August 31, 2007, and September 17, 2007, when Cambridge issued approximately \$15,000 in checks to Buyer 7-A's creditors, including Wells Fargo and AT&T. Hyman personally signed those checks.

- 250. Cambridge also certified to HUD that the closing documents for HUD Loan No. 7, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, were true and correct. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that the HUD-1 was false and misleading because it omitted the fact that Cohen had provided funds to Buyers 7-A and 7-B to pay off Buyer 7-A's personal debts, which payment should have been reflected on the HUD-1 as an inducement to purchase.
- 251. Further, to conceal the fact that Cohen had provided funds to pay off Buyer 7-A's personal debts, the Cambridge Defendants conspired with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to create false and fraudulent gift affidavits, which asserted that the funds for paying off Buyer 7-A's debts had come from two of her relatives, rather than Cohen. Cohen gave Buyers 7-A and 7-B the false impression that these funds had come from a grant from AmeriDream, a not-for-profit organization.
- 252. Cambridge, specifically Kramer, also falsely certified HUD Loan No. 7 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that that loan failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:
 - a. The MCAW form for HUD Loan No. 7 falsely understated Buyers 7-A's and 7-B's liabilities by not including the debts they owed, which Cambridge would not pay off until weeks after the closing;
 - b. HUD Loan No. 7 had Qualifying Ratios (43.63% and 45.94%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively) and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
 - c. JJG's appraisal for Newark Avenue Property B contained an inflated valuation for that property and had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements.

- 253. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 7, Buyers 7-A and 7-B contributed only a nominal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing Newark Avenue Property B. Instead, Cohen arranged for the payment of \$14,700 toward the down payment and \$12,864.30 in closing costs, both of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 7. In other words, Cohen also induced Buyers 7-A and 7-B to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.
- 254. On or about September 10, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 7 to Citi, pursuant to the Citi Loan Purchase Agreement and received more than \$8,500 for that loan.
- 255. Cohen gave thousands of dollars to pay off Buyer 7-A's personal debts, and also arranged for the payments of nearly all of the down payment and closing costs, to induce Buyers 7-A and 7-B to obtain HUD Loan No. 7 on the basis of an inflated valuation for Newark Avenue Property B. In connection with that sale alone, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home and Mark Wolf, made more than \$54,000 in profits, from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 7.
- 256. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of Newark Avenue Property B to Buyers 7-A and 7-B at an inflated price, Cambridge received more than \$16,000 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 7.
- 257. Goldberg, who provided an inflated appraisal for Newark Avenue Property B based on a corrupt agreement or understanding with Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants, not only was paid \$650 for that appraisal, but also ensured

that he would receive additional appraisal referrals from Cohen and the Cohen Entities.

- 258. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of Newark Property B, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, false and fraudulent gift affidavits and HUD Addendum.
- 259. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the appraisal report for Newark Avenue Property B and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 7. Based on those false certifications and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg obtained HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 7.
- 260. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Citi, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including JJG's appraisal report and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 7. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 7.
- 261. Buyers 7-A and 7-B, who never could have afforded Newark Avenue Property B at the inflated price set by Cohen, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 7 within five months of closing, exposing HUD and Citi potentially to more than \$400,000 in losses.
- 262. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale

of HUD Loan No. 7 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and wire fraud affecting a financial institution, namely Citibank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

H. HUD LOAN NO. 8: NEWARK AVENUE PROPERTY C

263. From in or about February 2007 to in or about June 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier, and Buckley conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on Newark Avenue in Staten Island, Richmond County, New York ("Newark Avenue Property C") at an inflated price to buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.

264. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier, and Buckley obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$436,500 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of Newark Avenue Property C ("HUD Loan No. 8"), and sold HUD Loan No. 8 to Citi, by paying off the buyers' personal debts to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for Newark Avenue Property C, creating false records to omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Citi.

265. Specifically, on or about February 5, 2007, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, contracted with Pearsal Builders to purchase Newark Avenue Property C for approximately \$345,000. Rather than closing on that property, Cohen immediately sought to resell it to inexperienced home-buyers for \$450,000.

266. In or about June 2007, *i.e.*, approximately four months after he contracted to buy Newark Avenue Property C for \$345,000, Cohen, through Metropolitan and Buy-a-Home, induced two inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 8-A and 8-B, to buy that home for \$450,000. Cohen concealed Buy-a-Home's contract to purchase Newark Avenue Property C by identifying Pearsal Builders as the seller, without disclosing that Buy-a-Home stood to gain more than \$100,000 in gross profit from the flip sale.

267. Cohen referred Buyers 8-A and 8-B to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on the corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of whether Buyers 8-A and 8-B qualified for such insurance or whether Newark Avenue Property C was worth \$450,000.

268. On or about June 18, 2007, at Cohen's direction, Buckley, through Premier, issued an inflated appraisal of Newark Avenue Property C for Cambridge, valuing the property exactly at the sale price, \$450,000. Buckley's appraisal report inflated the value of Newark Avenue Property C by failing to account for a significant external obsolescence – the fact that the property was located directly across from and faced the stanchions of an elevated highway leading to the Bayonne Bridge – that substantially decreased the value of that property. Buckley's appraisal report also inflated the value of Newark Avenue Property C by using as sales comparisons two other properties that Cohen had sold in earlier flip sales, in violation of a basic appraisal standard.

- 269. On June 20, 2007, and in connection with originating HUD Loan No. 8, Cambridge issued a commitment letter to Buyers 8-A and 8-B, which required, as a condition that must be fulfilled prior to closing, that Buyers 8-A and 8-B pay off certain personal debts that they owed to creditors such as AT&T and Plaza Associates.
- 270. On June 21, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 8, which Kramer, acting as the underwriter, certified as meeting HUD's requirements, and which Hyman, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed for HUD mortgage insurance.
- 271. Specifically, Cambridge certified to HUD on June 21, 2007, that all closing conditions listed in the commitment letter had been met by the time of closing. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that Buyers 8-A and 8-B had not paid off their personal debts prior to closing, because those debts would not be paid off until June 22, 2007, when Cambridge issued approximately \$1,780 in checks to Buyers 8-A's and 8-B's creditors, including AT&T and Plaza Associates. Hyman personally signed those checks.
- 272. Cambridge also certified to HUD that the closing documents for HUD Loan No. 8, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, were true and correct. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that the HUD-1 was false and misleading because it omitted the fact that Cohen had provided the funds for paying off Buyers 8-A's and 8-B's personal debts, which payment should have been reflected on the HUD-1 as an inducement to purchase.

- 273. Further, to conceal the fact that Cohen had provided the funds for paying off Buyers 8-A's and 8-B's personal debts, the Cambridge Defendants conspired with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to create records to assert falsely that the funds for paying off those debts had come from Buyers 8-A and 8-B.
- 274. Cambridge, specifically Kramer, also falsely certified HUD Loan No. 8 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that that loan failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:
 - a. The MCAW form for HUD Loan No. 8 falsely understated Buyers 8-A's and 8-B's liabilities by not including the debts they owed, which Cambridge would not pay off until weeks after the closing;
 - b. HUD Loan No. 8 had Qualifying Ratios (44.16% and 47.87%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively) and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
 - c. Buckley's appraisal for Newark Avenue Property C contained an inflated valuation for that property and had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements.
- 275. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 8, Buyers 8-A and 8-B contributed only a nominal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing Newark Avenue Property C. Instead, Cohen arranged for the payment of \$14,000 toward the down payment and \$19,024.50 in closing costs, both of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 8. In other words, Cohen also induced Buyers 8-A and 8-B to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.

- 276. On or about July 16, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 8 to Citi, pursuant to the Citi Loan Purchase Agreement and received more than \$10,000 for that loan.
- 277. Cohen gave Cambridge thousands of dollars to pay off Buyers 8-A and 8-B's personal debts, and also arranged for the payments of nearly all of the down payment and closing costs, to induce Buyers 8-A and 8-B to obtain HUD Loan No. 8 on the basis of an inflated valuation for Newark Avenue Property C. In connection with that sale alone, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home and Wolf & Wolf, made more than \$48,000 in profits, from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 8.
- 278. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of Newark Avenue Property C to Buyers 8-A and 8-B at an inflated price, Cambridge received more than \$21,000 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 8.
- 279. Buckley, who provided an inflated appraisal for Newark Avenue Property C based on a corrupt agreement or understanding with Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants, not only was paid \$450 for that appraisal, but also ensured future appraisal referrals from Cohen. This included appraisals of two other adjacent properties on Newark Avenue, both of which were sold by Cohen and both of which Buckley appraised at inflated values. Buckley also further cemented the corrupt and lucrative relationship between his business interests and Cohen's. See supra at ¶ 88.
- 280. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of Newark Property C, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge

Defendants, Premier, and Buckley used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, false and fraudulent appraisal report and HUD Addendum.

- 281. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants and Buckley submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the appraisal report for Newark Avenue Property C and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 8. Based on those false certifications and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier, and Buckley obtained HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 8.
- 282. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Citi, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 8. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 8.
- 283. Buyers 8-A and 8-B, who never could have afforded Newark Avenue Property C at the inflated price Cohen set, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 8 within 100 days of closing, exposing HUD and Citi potentially to more than \$500,000 in losses.
- 284. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier, and Buckley violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale of HUD Loan No. 8 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and wire fraud affecting a financial institution, namely Citibank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

I. HUD LOAN NO. 9: EAST TREMONT AVENUE, THE BRONX

285. From in or about May 2007 to in or about September 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on East Tremont Avenue in Bronx County, New York (the "East Tremont Avenue Property") at an inflated price to buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.

286. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$459,700 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of the East Tremont Avenue Property ("HUD Loan No. 9"), and sold HUD Loan No. 9 to Countrywide by paying off the buyers' personal debts to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for the East Tremont Avenue Property, creating false records to inflate a buyer's income and omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Countrywide.

- 287. In or about May 2007, Cohen, through Gramercy, bought the East Tremont Avenue Property for \$312,700.
- 288. In or about August and September 2007, *i.e.*, less than four months later, Cohen induced two inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 9-A and 9-B, to purchase the East Tremont Avenue Property, for \$474,000.
- 289. Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, referred Buyers 9-A and 9-B to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge,

based on a corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of whether Buyers 9-A and 9-B qualified for such insurance or whether the East Tremont Avenue Property was worth \$474,000.

- 290. On or about August 30, 2007, JJG issued an appraisal report for the East Tremont Avenue Property, valuing the property at \$475,000 and the rental income that Buyers 9-A and 9-B could expect to earn at \$1,500 per month. That appraisal report, which Goldberg personally reviewed, edited, approved, and sent to Cambridge, contained an inflated valuation for the property and rental income, and numerous other falsities.
- 291. Specifically, JJG relied on sales and rental comparisons that were not truly comparable to the East Tremont Avenue Property. JJG's appraisal report also inflated the value of the property by significantly overstating the costs of the renovations that had been made.
- 292. On August 27, 2007, and in connection with originating HUD Loan No. 9, Cambridge issued a commitment letter to Buyers 9-A and 9-B, which required, as a condition that must be fulfilled prior to closing, that those buyers pay off certain personal debts, such as child support arrears and state court judgments.
- 293. On September 6, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 9 to Buyers 9-A and 9-B. Kramer, acting as the underwriter, certified to HUD that HUD Loan No. 9 met HUD's underwriting requirements. Further, Derrell, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed HUD Loan No. 9 to HUD for mortgage insurance.

294. Specifically, Cambridge certified to HUD on September 6, 2007, that all closing conditions listed in the commitment letter had been met by the time of closing. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that Buyers 9-A and 9-B had not paid off their personal debts prior to closing, because those debts would not be paid off until September 7 and September 19, 2007, when Cambridge issued approximately \$4,350 in checks to Buyers 9-A's and 9-B's creditors, including child support arrears and state court judgments. Hyman personally signed those checks.

295. Cambridge also certified to HUD that the closing documents for HUD Loan No. 9, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, were true and correct. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that the HUD-1 was false and misleading because it omitted the fact that Cohen had promised Buyers 9-A and 9-B that he would make the first mortgage payment for them – a promise that should have been reflected in the HUD-1 as an inducement to purchase.

296. In connection with endorsing HUD Loan No. 9 for HUD mortgage insurance, Cambridge also certified to HUD that the Loan Applications and the MCAW form for Buyers 9-A and 9-B were true and correct. In fact, however, the Cambridge Defendants conspired with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to falsify those records to inflate Buyer 9-B's income.

297. Specifically, Buyer 9-B told Lapidus that his monthly salary was \$3,250. Cambridge, however, inserted \$4,160 as Buyer 9-B's monthly salary into his final Loan Application and the MCAW form. By inflating Buyer 9-B's monthly income, Cambridge fraudulently lowered the Qualifying Ratios for HUD Loan No. 9.

- 298. Further, Cambridge, through Derrell, also falsely certified HUD Loan No. 9 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that HUD Loan No. 9 failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:
 - a. The MCAW form for HUD Loan No. 9 falsely overstated Buyer 9-B's monthly income and also falsely understated the total liabilities for him and Buyer 9-A by not including the personal debts that Cambridge would not pay off until days after the closing;
 - b. HUD Loan No. 9 had Qualifying Ratios (47.54% and 49.24%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively), and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
 - d. JJG's appraisal for the East Tremont Avenue Property had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements and contained an inflated valuation for the East Tremont Avenue Property.
- 299. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 9, Buyers 9-A and 9-B contributed only a minimal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing the East Tremont Avenue Property. Instead, Cohen, as the seller, paid \$18,140 toward the down payment and \$16,468.12 in closing costs, both of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 9. In other words, Cohen induced Buyers 9-A and 9-B to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.
- 300. On July 27, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 9 to Countrywide, pursuant to the Countrywide Loan Purchase Agreement and received more than \$14,000 for that loan.

- 301. Cohen promised to make a mortgage payment for Buyers 9-A and 9-B, and also paid for nearly all of the down payment and closing costs, to induce them to obtain HUD Loan No. 9 based on an inflated price for the East Tremont Avenue Property. In connection with that sale alone, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home and Mark Wolf, made more than \$101,000 in net profits, from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 9.
- 302. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of the East Tremont Avenue Property to Buyers 9-A and 9-B at an inflated price, Cambridge received close to \$28,000 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 9.
- 303. Goldberg, who provided an inflated appraisal for the East Tremont Avenue Property based on a corrupt agreement or understanding with Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants, not only was paid \$600 for that appraisal, but also ensured that he would receive additional appraisal referrals from Cambridge.
- 304. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of the East Tremont Avenue Property, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, false and fraudulent loan applications, appraisal report, MCAW form, and HUD Addendum.
- 305. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the appraisal report for the East Tremont Avenue Property and the Settlement Statement and HUD

Addendum for HUD Loan No. 9. Based on those false certifications and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg obtained HUD mortgage insurance to HUD Loan No. 9.

- 306. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Countrywide, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including JJG's appraisal report and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 9. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Countrywide to purchase HUD Loan No. 9.
- 307. Based on the false and fraudulent certifications and records created by Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg, which certification and records had been sent to HUD using interstate mail and by wire, HUD agreed to insure HUD Loan No. 9. Further, based on those false and fraudulent records, which had been sent to Countrywide using interstate mail and by wire, Countrywide agreed to purchase HUD Loan No. 9 from Cambridge.
- 308. Buyers 9-A and 9-B, who never could have afforded the East Tremont Avenue Property at the inflated price Cohen set, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 9 within five months of the closing, exposing HUD and Countrywide potentially to more than \$500,000 in losses.
- 309. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale of HUD Loan No. 9 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and

wire fraud affecting a financial institution, namely Countrywide Bank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

J. HUD LOAN NO. 10: BARKLEY AVENUE, BRONX

- 310. From in or about April 2007 to in or about May 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on Barkley Avenue in the Bronx, New York (the "Barkley Avenue Property") at an inflated price to inexperienced home-buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.
- 311. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$315,250 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of the Barkley Avenue Property ("HUD Loan No. 10"), and sold HUD Loan No. 10 to Citi, by paying off the buyers' personal debts and promising to make mortgage payments on the buyers' behalves to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for the Barkley Avenue Property, creating false records to conceal inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Citi.
- 312. Specifically, in or about October 2005, Cohen, through Gramercy, acquired the Barkley Avenue Property for \$140,000. In or about March 2006, and to conceal the extent to which he was inflating the price of the Barkley Avenue Property for a subsequent resale, Cohen arranged for Gramercy to transfer that home to him at the inflated amount of \$340,000.

- 313. In or about April and May 2007, Cohen, through Metropolitan and Buy-a-Home, induced two inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 10-A and 10-B, to buy the Barkley Avenue Property from him for \$325,000, *i.e.*, 130% more than what Gramercy had paid for that home a year and half earlier.
- 314. To induce Buyers 10-A and 10-B to purchase the home, Cohen initially promised to give them \$10,000 immediately after the closing. Subsequently, Cohen promised those buyers that, instead of giving them cash, he would make five monthly mortgage payments for them and pay off their personal debts.
- 315. On or about May 3, 2007, Cohen referred Buyers 10-A and 10-B to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage on a "Super Super Rush" basis from Cambridge, based on the corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of whether Buyers 10-A and 10-B qualified for such insurance or whether the Barkley Avenue Property was worth \$325,000.
- 316. On or about April 4, 2007, Cambridge obtained an inflated appraisal for the Barkley Avenue Property from Rapid, valuing the property exactly at the price Cohen set, \$325,000. Specifically, the Rapid appraisal ignored salient differences between the Barkley Avenue Property and the properties that Rapid used as "comparable sales." For example, one of those comparable sales had approximately 30% more living space than the Barkley Avenue Property. Rapid, however, only attributed \$7,680 in value, or less than 3% of the sales price, to that significant difference.

- 317. On May 10, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 10. Desiree Madison, acting as the underwriter, certified HUD Loan No. 10 as meeting HUD's requirements; further, Derrell, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed that loan for HUD mortgage insurance.
- 318. Specifically, Cambridge certified to HUD that the closing documents, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, for HUD Loan No. 10 were true and correct. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that the HUD-1 was false and misleading because it omitted (i) the fact that Cohen had promised Buyers 10-A and 10-B to make mortgage payments, worth thousands of dollars, on their behalves and (ii) the fact that Cohen also gave Buyer 10-A several hundred dollars to give to Cambridge, for purposes of paying off his personal debts. Both the funds that Cohen gave to the buyers and promised to expend on their behalves should have been reflected on the HUD-1 as inducements to purchase.
- 319. On or about May 15, 2007, Cambridge obtained approximately \$600 in money orders and sent those money orders to Buyers 10-A's creditors, such as Verizon, to pay off his personal debts.
- 320. To conceal the fact that Cohen had given funds to Buyers 10-A to pay off his personal debts, the Cambridge Defendants conspired with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to create false and fraudulent records. Specifically, Derrell inserted a note into Cambridge's loan file for HUD Loan No. 10, claiming that the funds for the money orders had come from Buyers 10-A and 10-B. In fact, however, Cohen was the source of those funds.

- 321. In connection with originating HUD Loan No. 10, Cambridge also falsely certified that loan to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that that loan failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:
 - a. The MCAW form for HUD Loan No. 10 falsely stated that Buy 10-A had no personal liabilities at closing, whereas he in fact still owed several hundred dollars in personal debts;
 - b. HUD Loan No. 10 had Qualifying Ratios (49.23% and 49.23%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively) and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
 - c. Rapid's appraisal for the Barkley Avenue Property contained an inflated valuation for that property and had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements.
- 322. On or about May 25, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 10 to Citi, pursuant to the Citi Loan Purchase Agreement and received more than \$7,500 for that loan. After Citi purchased HUD Loan No. 10 from Cambridge, Cohen made several mortgage payments on behalf of Buyers 10-A and 10-B.
- 323. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 10, Buyers 10-A and 10-B contributed only a nominal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing the Barkley Avenue Property. Instead, Cohen arranged for the payment of \$9,750 toward the down payment and \$13,040.18 in closing costs, both of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 10. In other words, Cohen also induced Buyers 10-A and 10-B to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.

- 324. Cohen gave funds to Buyers 10-A to pay off his personal debts, arranged for the payments of nearly all of the down payment and closing costs, and made mortgage payments on behalf of Buyers 10-A and 10-B, to induce those inexperienced home-buyers to obtain HUD Loan No. 10 on the basis of an inflated valuation for the Barkley Avenue Property. In connection with that sale alone, Cohen received more than \$25,000 from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 10.
- 325. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of the Barkley Avenue Property to Buyers 10-A and 10-B at an inflated price, Cambridge received more than \$15,700 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 10.
- 326. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of the Barkley Avenue Property, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, the HUD-1 Settlement Statement and the HUD Addendum.
- 327. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 10. Based on those false records and certifications, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants obtained HUD mortgage insurance to HUD Loan No. 10.
- 328. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Citi, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement and the HUD Addendum

for HUD Loan No. 10. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 10.

329. Buyers 10-A and 10-B, who never could have afforded the Barkley Avenue Property at the inflated price Cohen set, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 10 within five months of closing, exposing HUD and Citi potentially to more than \$350,000 in losses.

330. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale of HUD Loan No. 10 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and wire fraud affecting a financial institution, namely Citibank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

K. HUD LOAN NO. 11: NEWARK AVENUE PROPERTY D

331. From in or about February 2007 to in or about May 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on Newark Avenue in Staten Island, Richmond County, New York ("Newark Avenue Property D") at an inflated price to buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.

332. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$436,500 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of Newark Avenue Property D ("HUD Loan No. 11"), and sold HUD Loan No. 11 to Citi, by paying off the buyers' personal debts to

Property D, creating false records to omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Citi.

- 333. Specifically, on or about February 5, 2007, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, contracted with Pearsal Builders to purchase Newark Avenue Property D for approximately \$345,000. Rather than closing on that property, Cohen immediately sought to resell it to inexperienced home-buyers for \$450,000.
- 334. In or about May 2007, *i.e.*, less than four months after he contracted to buy Newark Avenue Property D for \$345,000, Cohen, through Metropolitan and Buy-a-Home, induced two inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 11-A and 11-B, to buy that home for \$450,000. To circumvent HUD rules regarding flip sales, Cohen concealed Buy-a-Home's contract to purchase Newark Avenue Property D by identifying Pearsal Builders as the seller, without disclosing that Buy-a-Home stood to gain more than \$100,000 in gross profit from the flip sale.
- 335. Cohen referred Buyers 11-A and 11-B to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on the corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of whether Buyers 11-A and 11-B qualified for such insurance or whether Newark Avenue Property D was worth \$450,000.
- 336. On or about May 15, 2007, Cambridge obtained an inflated appraisal for Newark Avenue Property D from JJG, valuing the property exactly at the sale

price, \$450,000. The JJG report, which Goldberg personally reviewed, edited, approved, and sent to Cambridge, inflated the value of Newark Avenue Property D by failing to account for a significant external obsolescence – the fact that the property was located directly across from and faced the stanchions of an elevated highway leading to the Bayonne Bridge – that substantially decreased the value of that property. It also inflated the value of Newark Avenue Property D by using as comparable sales other properties that Cohen had sold in flip sales and inflating the monthly rental income that could be generated by Newark Avenue Property D.

337. Further, and in contravention of a basic tenet of HUD appraisal standards, JJG complied with Cambridge's demand to "hit the number" on Newark Avenue Property D. Specifically, in a fax dated May 24, 2007, Derrell requested that Goldberg email a "correct[ed]" version of his appraisal report for that property to her. Derrell's corrections included changing the estimated rental income from \$800 per month to \$900 per month. JJG, without any justification and in contravention of HUD rules, incorporated Derrell's changes into his final appraisal report. Relevant excerpts from Derrell's May 24, 2007 fax are attached to this Complaint as Exhibit 5.

338. On May 24, 2007, Cambridge issued checks, totaling approximately \$6,800, to creditors such as Capital One Auto and NCO Financial to pay off debts owed by Borrower 11-B, which were wholly unrelated to his purchase of Newark Avenue Property D.

339. On May 24, 2007, Cambridge also originated and processed HUD Loan No. 11. Derrell, acting as the underwriter, certified as meeting HUD's

requirements. Further, Hyman, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed for HUD mortgage insurance.

- 340. Specifically, Cambridge certified to HUD that the closing documents for HUD Loan No. 11, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, were true and correct. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that the HUD-1 was false and misleading because it omitted the fact that Cohen had provided approximately \$7,000 to Buyers 11-A and 11-B to pay off Buyer 11-A's personal debts, which payment should have been reflected on the HUD-1 as an inducement to purchase.
- 341. Further, to conceal the fact that Cohen had provided funds to pay off Buyer 11-A's personal debts, the Cambridge Defendants created false records for HUD Loan No. 11. Specifically, Derrell, on behalf of Cambridge, inserted a note into Cambridge's mortgage loan file, claiming that Buyers 11-A and 11-B had brought funds to the closing to pay off Buyer 11-A's debts. In fact, Cambridge knew that Cohen had provided \$7,000 to Buyers 11-A and 11-B to give to Cambridge because a Cambridge employee had facilitated the transfer of the funds from Cohen to the buyers.
- 342. Cambridge, specifically Derrell, also falsely certified HUD Loan No. 11 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that that loan failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:
 - a. The MCAW form for HUD Loan No. 11 falsely understated Buyers 11-A's and 11-B's liabilities by not including thousands of dollars of debts they owed, which Cambridge would not pay off until weeks after the closing;

- b. HUD Loan No. 11 had Qualifying Ratios (36.24% and 49.19%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively) and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
- c. JJG's appraisal for Newark Avenue Property D inflated the value of that property and had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements.
- 343. In or about July 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 11 to Citi, pursuant to the Citi Loan Purchase Agreement and received more than \$10,000 for the loan.
- 344. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 11, Buyers 11-A and 11-B contributed only a nominal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing Newark Avenue Property D. Instead, Cohen arranged for the payment of \$13,500 toward the down payment and \$17,735.48 in closing costs, both of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 11. In other words, Cohen also induced Buyers 11-A and 11-B to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.
- 345. Cohen provided \$7,000 to pay off Buyers 11-A's personal debts, and also arranged for the payments of nearly all of the down payment and closing costs, to induce Buyers 11-A and 11-B to obtain HUD Loan No. 11 on the basis of an inflated valuation for Newark Avenue Property D. In connection with that sale alone, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home and Wolf & Wolf, made more than \$80,000 in profits from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 11.
- 346. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of Newark Avenue Property D to Buyers 11-

A and 11-B at an inflated price, Cambridge received more than \$22,000 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 11.

- 347. Goldberg conspired with the Cambridge Defendants to facilitate Cohen's flip sale of Newark Avenue Property D by inflating the valuation of that property and by issuing an appraisal report that failed to comply with HUD appraisal standards. In addition to being paid several hundred dollars for that appraisal, Goldberg also ensured that JJG would receive hundreds of additional appraisal assignments from Cambridge.
- 348. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of Newark Property D, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, false and fraudulent appraisal report, HUD-1 Settlement Statement, and HUD Addendum.
- 349. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the appraisal report, the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 11. Based on those false certifications and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg obtained HUD mortgage insurance to HUD Loan No. 11.
- 350. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Citi, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including JJG's appraisal report, the HUD-1 Settlement Statement,

and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 11. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 11.

- 351. Buyers 11-A and 11-B, who never could have afforded Newark Avenue Property D at the inflated price Cohen set, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 11 within one and half years of closing, exposing HUD and Citi potentially to more than \$450,000 in losses.
- 352. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale of HUD Loan No. 1 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and wire fraud affecting a financial institution, namely Citibank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

L. HUD LOAN NO. 12: SOUTH 3RD AVENUE, MOUNT VERNON

- 353. From in or about March 2007 to in or about July 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on South 3rd Avenue in Mount Vernon (the "South 3rd Avenue Property") at an inflated price to inexperienced home-buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.
- 354. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$460,750 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of the South 3rd Avenue Property ("HUD Loan No. 12"), and sold HUD Loan No. 12 to Citi, by misrepresenting the true cost of home

ownership, paying off the buyers' personal debts to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for the South 3rd Avenue Property, creating false records to omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Citi.

355. Specifically, on or about March 15, 2007, Cohen, through Gramercy, contracted to acquire the South 3rd Avenue Property from an individual, Jonathan King, for \$357,000. Rather than closing on the property, Cohen immediately sought to resell it through Metropolitan and Buy-a-Home to inexperienced home-buyers.

Avenue Property, Cohen induced two inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 12-A and 12-B, to buy that home in June 2007 for \$475,000, *i.e.*, approximately \$120,000 more than what Cohen had contracted to pay. To circumvent HUD rules regarding flip sales, Cohen concealed Gramercy's contract to buy the South 3rd Avenue Property by identifying King as the seller, without disclosing that Gramercy stood to gain nearly \$120,000 in gross profit from the flip sale.

357. Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, referred Buyers 12-A and 12-B to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on a corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of whether Buyers 12-A and 12-B qualified for such insurance or whether the South 3rd Avenue Property was worth \$475,000.

358. On June 27, 2007, Cambridge issued a commitment letter to Buyers 12-A and 12-B, which required, as a condition that must be fulfilled prior to closing,

that those buyers pay off several thousand dollars in personal debts they owed to creditors such as Bally Total Fitness and Capital One Bank.

359. On or about April 25, 2007, and again on or about June 28, 2007, Goldberg issued an appraisal report for the South 3rd Avenue Property. Goldberg inflated the value of that property by valuing it – "as is" – at the exact price set by Cohen, \$475,000. Specifically, Goldberg inflated the value of the South 3rd Avenue Property by (i) claiming that no major repairs were needed, when in fact the South 3rd Avenue Property required, among other things, replacement of both the bathroom and the kitchen in the studio unit; (ii) ignoring the sale of the most similar property in the neighborhood for \$350,000, and instead selecting "comparable sales" that were not actually comparable to the South 3rd Avenue Property; and (iii) basing the expected rental income for the studio unit at the South 3rd Avenue Property on the rent charged for one-bedroom apartments in the neighborhood.

360. On June 28, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 12 for Buyers 12-A and 12-B to purchase the South 3rd Avenue Property. Derrell, acting as the underwriter, certified to HUD that HUD Loan No. 12 met HUD's underwriting requirements. Further, Hyman, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed HUD Loan No. 12 to HUD for mortgage insurance.

361. Specifically, Cambridge certified to HUD that all closing conditions listed in the commitment letter issued by Cambridge had been met by the time of closing on June 28, 2007. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that Buyers 12-A and 12-B had not paid off their personal debts prior to closing, because those debts

would not be paid off until July 3, 2007, when Cambridge issued approximately \$3,100 in checks to Buyers 12-A's and 12-B's creditors, including Bally Total Fitness and Capital One Bank. Hyman personally signed those checks.

- 362. Cambridge also certified to HUD that the closing documents, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, for HUD Loan No. 12 were true and correct. In fact, Cambridge knew that the HUD-1 was false and misleading because it omitted the fact that Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, had provided approximately \$6,000 in funds to pay off Buyers 12-A's and 12-B's personal debts, which payment should have been reflected on the HUD-1 as an inducement to purchase.
- 363. Further, to conceal the fact that Cohen had provided the funds to pay off Buyers 12-A's and 12-B's personal debts, the Cambridge Defendants conspired with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to create records to assert falsely that the funds for paying off those debts had come from Buyers 12-A and 12-B.
- 364. Specifically, Derrell created a false note stating that, at closing, Cambridge had received \$6,000 in cash from Buyers 12-A and 12-B. According to Derrell's note, Buyers 12-A and 12-B had obtained \$6,000 by cashing their 2006 tax refund check. Derrell's note provided Cambridge with an explanation for why Buyers 12-A's and 12-B's bank account statements did not reflect their depositing the tax refund check and then withdrawing cash to pay Cambridge. Derrell, however, knew that her note was false because Buyers 12-A and 12-B did not give any cash to Cambridge. In fact, one of Cohen's employees and Lapidus specifically promised Buyers 12-A and 12-B that they could purchase the South 3rd Avenue Property without contributing any funds upfront.

- 365. Further, Cambridge, through Hyman, also falsely certified HUD Loan No. 12 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that HUD Loan No. 12 failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:
 - a. The MCAW form for HUD Loan No. 12 falsely understated the amount of personal liabilities for Buyers 12-A and 12-B, by leaving out thousands of dollars of debts that they still owed at closing to Bally Total Fitness, Capital One Bank, and other creditors;
 - b. HUD Loan No. 12 had Qualifying Ratios (38.15% and 47.48%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively), and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
 - c. Goldberg's appraisal significantly inflated the value for the South 3rd Avenue Property and had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements.
- 366. On July 27, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 12 to Countrywide, pursuant to the Countrywide Loan Purchase Agreement and received approximately \$11,000 for that loan.
- 367. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 12, Buyers 12-A and 12-B contributed only a minimal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing the South 3rd Avenue Property. Instead, Cohen, as the seller, paid \$14,250 toward the down payment and approximately \$15,017.62 in closing costs, both of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 12. In other words, Cohen induced Buyers 12-A and 12-B to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.
- 368. Cohen provided thousands of dollars to pay off Buyers 12-A's and 12-B's their personal debts, and also paid for nearly all of the down payment and

closing costs, to induce those buyers to obtain HUD Loan No. 12 based on an inflated price for the South 3rd Avenue Property. In connection with that sale alone, Cohen made more than \$110,000 in profits, which flowed directly from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 12.

- 369. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of the South 3rd Avenue Property to Buyers 12-A and 12-B at an inflated price, Cambridge received approximately \$17,500 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 12.
- 370. Goldberg, who provided an inflated appraisal for the South 3rd Avenue Property based on a corrupt agreement or understanding with the Cambridge Defendants and Cohen, not only was paid \$550 for that appraisal, but also ensured that he would receive additional appraisal assignments from Cambridge.
- 371. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of the South 3rd Avenue Property, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, the MCAW form, the appraisal report, the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, and the HUD Addendum.
- 372. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the appraisal report for the South 3rd Avenue Property and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 12. Based on those false certifications and records, Cohen, the

Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg obtained HUD mortgage insurance to HUD Loan No. 12.

- 373. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Countrywide, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including Goldberg's appraisal report and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 12. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Countrywide to purchase HUD Loan No. 12.
- 374. Buyers 12-A and 12-B, who never could have afforded the South 3rd Avenue Property at the inflated price Cohen set, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 12 within three months of the closing, exposing HUD and Countrywide potentially to more than \$450,000 in losses.
- 375. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale of HUD Loan No. 12 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and wire fraud affecting a financial institution, namely Countrywide Bank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

M. HUD LOAN NO. 13: NEBRASKA AVENUE, BAY SHORE

376. From in or about April 2007 to in or about August 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on Nebraska Avenue in Bay Shore, Suffolk County, New York (the "Nebraska Avenue Property") at an inflated price to buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.

- 377. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$357,445 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of the Nebraska Avenue Property ("HUD Loan No. 13"), and sold HUD Loan No. 13 to Countrywide, paying off the buyers' personal debts to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for the Nebraska Avenue Property, creating false records to inflate a buyer's income and to omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Countrywide.
- 378. Specifically, in or about April 2007, Valed Investors Group, Inc. ("Valed") acquired the Nebraska Avenue Property for \$260,000. Valed then retained Cohen and the Cohen Entities to arrange for a flip sale of that property at an inflated price.
- 379. In or about August 2007, *i.e.*, approximately four months after Valed purchased the Nebraska Avenue Property, Cohen, through Metropolitan and Buy-a-Home, induced three inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 13-A, 13-B and 13-C, to buy that property for \$368,500, *i.e.*, approximately \$110,000 more than what Valed had paid four months earlier.
- 380. Cohen referred Buyers 13-A, 13-B and 13-C to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on the corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of

whether Buyers 13-A, 13-B and 13-C qualified for such insurance or whether the Nebraska Avenue Property was worth \$368,500.

- 381. On or about August 6, 2007, Micheline issued an appraisal report for Nebraska Avenue Property, valuing the property at \$370,000. That appraisal report contained an inflated valuation for the property and numerous other falsities.
- 382. Specifically, Micheline significantly inflated the value of the Nebraska Avenue Property because he failed to reduce the value of the property based on the existence of a significant external obsolescence the fact that the Nebraska Avenue Property was adjacent to commercial property and a parking lot. Micheline also inflated the value of the appraisal by selecting for sales comparisons properties that were not truly comparable to the Nebraska Avenue Property. Further, Micheline falsely certified that his appraisal of the Nebraska Avenue Property had been conducted in accordance with HUD appraisal standards. In fact, Micheline's appraisal failed to comply with numerous HUD standards, including, among others, to select suitably comparable sales comparisons and to justify the purported 40% appreciation during Valed's three-month ownership.
- 383. On August 7, 2007, and in connection with originating HUD Loan No. 13, Cambridge issued a commitment letter to Buyers 13-A, 13-B and 13-C, which required, as a condition that must be fulfilled prior to closing, that those buyers pay off or pay down certain student loans and personal debts owed to creditors such as Dish Network.

- 384. On August 13, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 13, which Derrell, acting as the underwriter, certified as meeting HUD's requirements, and which Kramer, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed for HUD mortgage insurance.
- 385. Specifically, Cambridge certified to HUD that all closing conditions listed in the commitment letter had been met by the time of closing on August 13, 2007. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that the buyers had not paid off their personal debts prior to closing, because those debts would not be paid off until August 14, 2007, when Cambridge issued approximately \$4,000 in checks to the United States Department of Education and other creditors of Buyers 13-A, 13-B, and 13-C. Hyman personally signed those checks.
- 386. Cambridge also certified to HUD that the closing documents for HUD Loan No. 13, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, were true and correct. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that the HUD-1 was false and misleading because it omitted the fact that Cohen had provided funds to Buyers 13-A, 13-B and 13-C to pay off Borrower's personal debts, which payment should have been reflected on the HUD-1 as an inducement to purchase.
- 387. In connection with endorsing HUD Loan No. 13 for HUD mortgage insurance, Cambridge also certified to HUD that the Loan Applications and the MCAW form for Buyers 13-A and 13-B were true and correct. In fact, however, the Cambridge Defendants conspired with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to falsify those records to inflate Buyer 13-A's income.

- 388. Specifically, Buyer 13-A told Lapidus that, as a seasonal employee, her average monthly salary was \$833, an amount that was corroborated by pay stubs and a verification of employment that Cambridge obtained from Buyer 13-A's employer. Cambridge, however, inserted \$2,392 as Buyer 13-A's monthly salary into her final Loan Application and the MCAW form. By inflating Buyer 13-A's monthly income, Cambridge fraudulently lowered the Qualifying Ratios for HUD Loan No. 13.
- 389. Cambridge, through Kramer, also falsely certified HUD Loan No. 13 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that that loan failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:
 - a. HUD Loan No. 13 had an MP/I ratio (36.24%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31%) and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
 - b. Micheline's appraisal for the Nebraska Avenue Property contained an inflated valuation for that property and had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements.
- 390. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 13, Buyers 13-A, 13-B, and 13-C contributed only a nominal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing the Nebraska Avenue Property. Instead, Cohen arranged for the payment of \$11,055 toward the down payment and \$12,586.77 in closing costs, both of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 13. In other words, Cohen also induced Buyers 13-A, 13-B and 13-C to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.

- 391. On or about August 28, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 13 to Countrywide, pursuant to the Countrywide Loan Purchase Agreement and received approximately \$11,000 for that loan.
- 392. Cohen gave thousands of dollars to pay off Buyers 13A's, 13-B's and 13-C's personal debts, and also arranged for the payments of nearly all of the down payment and closing costs, to induce Buyers 13-A, 13-B and 13-C to obtain HUD Loan No. 13 on the basis of an inflated valuation for the Nebraska Avenue Property. In connection with that sale alone, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, made almost \$22,000 in fees, from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 13.
- 393. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of the Nebraska Avenue Property to Buyers 13-A, 13-B and 13-C at an inflated price, Cambridge received approximately \$20,000 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 13.
- 394. Micheline, who provided an inflated appraisal for the Nebraska Avenue Property based on a corrupt agreement or understanding with the Cambridge Defendants, not only was paid a fee for that appraisal, but also ensured that he would receive additional appraisal referrals from Cambridge.
- 395. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of the Nebraska Avenue Property, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, false and fraudulent gift affidavits, Loan Application, MCAW form, and HUD Addendum.

- 396. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the Loan Application, the MCAW form, and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 13. Based on those false certifications and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline obtained HUD mortgage insurance to HUD Loan No. 13.
- 397. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Countrywide, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including the Loan Application, the MCAW form, and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 13. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Countrywide to purchase HUD Loan No. 13.
- 398. Buyers 13-A, 13-B and 13-C, who never could have afforded the Nebraska Avenue Property at the inflated price Cohen set, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 13 within five months of closing, exposing HUD and Countrywide potentially to more than \$400,000 in losses.
- 399. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale of HUD Loan No. 13 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and wire fraud, affecting a financial institution, namely Countrywide, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

N. HUD LOAN NO. 14: SOUTH 8TH AVENUE, MOUNT VERNON

400. From in or about February 2007 to in or about May 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg conspired to orchestrate

the flip sale of a property located on South 8th Avenue in Mount Vernon, Westchester County, New York ("the South 8th Avenue Property") at an inflated price to buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.

- 401. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$460,750 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of the South 8th Avenue Property ("HUD Loan No. 14"), and sold HUD Loan No. 14 to Citi, by paying off the buyers' personal debts to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for the South 8th Avenue Property, creating false records to omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Citi.
- 402. In or about February 2007, Cohen, through Treuhold Capital Group LLC (an entity that Cohen controlled in 2007), acquired the South 8th Avenue Property for \$227,500.
- 403. Less than a month later, Cohen, through Metropolitan and Buy-a-Home, induced four inexperienced, first-time home-buyers Buyers 14-A, 14-B, 14-C, and 14-D to purchase the South 8th Avenue Property for \$475,000.
- 404. In March 2007, Cohen referred Buyers 14-A, 14-B, 14-C, and 14-D to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on the corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD

insurance irrespective of whether Buyers 14-A, 14-B, 14-C, and 14-D qualified for such insurance or whether the South 8th Avenue Property was worth \$475,000.

- 405. On March 1, 2007, and in connection with originating HUD Loan No. 14, Cambridge issued a commitment letter to Buyers 14-A, 14-B, 14-C, and 14-D, which required, as a condition that must be fulfilled prior to closing, that Borrower 14-A and 14-B pay off personal debts owed to creditors such as DirecTV and Verizon.
- 406. On or about April 30, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed a mortgage loan in the amount of \$460,750 to Buyers 14-A, 14-B, 14-C, and 14-D, which Kramer, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed for HUD mortgage insurance ("HUD Loan No. 14").
- 407. Specifically, Cambridge certified to HUD that it had obtained an appraisal report valuing the South 8th Avenue Property at or above the contracted sale price and that the appraisal report had been conducted in accordance with HUD appraisal rules. In fact, however, Goldberg did not issue an appraisal report for the South 8th Avenue Property until May 1, 2007. Further, Goldberg's report inflated the value of the South 8th Avenue Property by failing to account for several significant external obsolescence, including the property's proximity to railroad tracks and being adjacent to parking lots and commercial properties, which substantially decreased its value. Goldberg also inflated the value of the South 8th Avenue Property by selecting for comparison sales of properties that were not, in fact, comparable. Finally, Goldberg falsely certified compliance with numerous HUD appraisal standards, such as stating that he personally conducted the

appraisal, whereas the appraisal had, in fact, been conducted by Mark Pitman, another JJG appraiser.

- 408. Cambridge also certified that all closing conditions listed in the commitment letter had been met by the time of closing. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that Buyers 14-A and 14-B had not paid off their personal debts prior to closing, because those debts would not be paid off until May 4, 2007, when Cambridge issued checks totaling approximately \$1,790 to DirecTV, Verizon, and other creditors, to pay off debts owed by Buyers 14-A and 14-B.
- 409. Cambridge, specifically Kramer, also falsely certified HUD Loan No. 14 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that that loan failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:
 - a. The MCAW form for HUD Loan No. 14 falsely understated the liabilities of the buyers, including the personal debts that Cambridge would pay off, post-closing;
 - b. HUD Loan No. 14 had Qualifying Ratios (33.44% and 46.18%) that exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively) and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
 - c. Goldberg's appraisal for the South 8th Avenue Property was inflated and had not been conducted in accordance with HUD appraisal standards.
- 410. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 14, Buyers 14-A, 14-B, 14-C, and 14-D contributed only a nominal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing the South 8th Avenue Property. Instead, Cohen arranged for the payments of \$14,300 toward down payment and \$15,415.42 in closing costs, both of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 14.

In other words, Cohen induced Buyers 14-A, 14-B, 14-C, and 14-D to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.

- 411. On or about May 17, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 14 to Citi, pursuant to the Citi Loan Purchase Agreement and received approximately \$11,000 for that loan.
- 412. Cohen arranged for the payments of nearly all of the down payment and closing costs for purposes of inducing Buyers 14-A, 14-B, 14-C, and 14-D to obtain HUD Loan No. 14 based on an inflated valuation for the South 8th Avenue Property. In connection with that sale, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, made \$95,330 in profits, which was paid directly from disbursement from HUD Loan No. 14.
- 413. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and Goldberg to consummate the sale of the South 8th Avenue Property to Buyers 14-A, 14-B, 14-C, and 14-D at an inflated price, Cambridge received more than \$17,000 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 14.
- 414. Goldberg conspired with the Cambridge Defendants to facilitate the Cohen's flip sale of the South 8th Avenue Property by inflating the valuation of that property and by issuing an appraisal report that failed to comply with HUD appraisal standards. In addition to being paid \$650 for that appraisal, Goldberg also guaranteed that JJG would receive hundreds of additional appraisal assignments from Cambridge.

- 415. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of the South 8th Avenue Property, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, the appraisal report and the HUD Addendum.
- 416. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the appraisal report for the South 8th Avenue Property and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 14. Based on those false reports and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg obtained HUD mortgage insurance to HUD Loan No. 14.
- 417. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Citi, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including Goldberg's appraisal report and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 14. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 14.
- 418. Buyers 14-A, 14-B, 14-C, and 14-D, who never could have afforded the South 8th Avenue Property at the inflated price that Cohen set, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 14 within five months of closing, exposing HUD and/or Citi potentially to more than \$500,000 in losses.
- 419. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale of HUD Loan No. 14 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and

wire fraud affecting a financial institution, namely Citibank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

O. <u>HUD LOAN NO. 15: TOMPKINS PLACE, STATEN ISLAND</u>

- 420. In or about June 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on Tompkins Place in Staten Island, Richmond County, New York (the "Tompkins Place Property") at an inflated price to buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.
- 421. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$362,200 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of the Tompkins Place Property ("HUD Loan No. 15"), and sold HUD Loan No. 15 to Countrywide, by obtaining an inflated appraisal for the Tompkins Place Property, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Countrywide.
- 422. In or about June and July 2007, Cohen, through Metropolitan and Buy-a-Home, induced two inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 15-A and 15-B, to buy the Tompkins Place Property and an adjacent property each for \$373,500.
- 423. Cohen referred Buyers 15-A and 15-B to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on the corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants

that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of whether Buyers 15-A and 15-B qualified for such insurance or whether the Tompkins Place Property was worth \$373,500.

- 424. Cambridge obtained an inflated appraisal for the Tompkins Place Property from Micheline, valuing the property at, \$378,000. Micheline's appraisal report inflated the value of the Tompkins Place Property by selecting for comparison sales of properties that were not, in fact, comparable to the Tompkins Place Property. Micheline also failed to conduct a "complete" appraisal, in violation of a basic HUD appraisal requirement.
- 425. On July 6, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 15, which Kramer, acting as the underwriter, certified as meeting HUD's requirements, and which Hyman, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed for HUD mortgage insurance.
- 426. Cambridge also certified to HUD that the closing documents, including affidavits stating that both Buyers 15-A and 15-B intended to use the Tompkins Place Property as their residence, were true and correct. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that Buyers 15-A and 15-B were purchasing two adjacent properties simultaneously and intended to reside separately in each of those properties.
- 427. Cambridge, specifically Kramer, also falsely certified HUD Loan No.

 15 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that that loan failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:

- a. HUD Loan No. 15 had Qualifying Ratios (36.17% and 49.40%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively) and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
- b. Micheline's appraisal for the Tompkins Place Property contained an inflated valuation for that property and had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements.
- 428. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 15, Buyers 15-A and 15-B contributed only a nominal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing the Tompkins Place Property. Instead, Cohen arranged for the payment of \$11,205 toward the down payment and \$16,191.48 in closing costs, both of which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 15. In other words, Cohen also induced Buyers 15-A and 15-B to buy the property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.
- 429. In or about August 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 15 to Countrywide, pursuant to the Countrywide Loan Purchase Agreement, and received more than \$11,000 for that loan.
- 430. Cohen arranged for the payments of nearly all of the down payment and closing costs to induce Buyers 15-A and 15-B to obtain HUD Loan No. 15 on the basis of an inflated valuation for the Tompkins Place Property. In connection with that sale alone, Cohen, personally and through Buy-a-Home and Mark Wolf, received more than \$22,000 in fees, from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 15.
- 431. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of the Tompkins Place Property to Buyers

15-A and 15-B at an inflated price, Cambridge received more than \$20,000 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 15.

- 432. Micheline, who provided an inflated appraisal for the Tompkins Place Property based on a corrupt agreement or understanding with the Cambridge Defendants, not only was paid a fee for that appraisal, but also ensured that he would receive additional appraisal referrals from Cambridge
- 433. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of the Tompkins Place Property, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information.
- 434. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants and Micheline submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the appraisal report for the Tompkins Place Property and the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 15. Based on those false certifications and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants obtained HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 15.
- 435. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Countrywide, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including the HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 15. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Countrywide to purchase HUD Loan No. 15.
- 436. Buyers 15-A and 15-B, who never could have afforded the Tompkins Place Property at the inflated price Cohen set, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 15

within 90 days of closing, exposing HUD and Countrywide potentially to more than \$400,000 in losses.

437. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline violated 12 U.S.C. § 1833a in connection with the origination and sale of HUD Loan No. 15 in that they engaged in a scheme to defraud HUD, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014, and participated in a scheme to commit mail and wire fraud affecting a financial institution, namely Countrywide Bank, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

P. HUD LOAN NO. 16: 155TH STREET, JAMAICA

438. From in or about April 2007 to August 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a property located on 155th Street in Jamaica, New York (the "155th Street Property") at an inflated price to an inexperienced home-buyer who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.

439. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$365,750 used to finance the fraudulent flip sale of the 155th Street Property ("HUD Loan No. 16"), and sold HUD Loan No. 16 to Countrywide, by misrepresenting the true cost of home ownership, paying off the buyer's personal debts to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for the 155th Street Property, creating false records to omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD

requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Countrywide.

- 440. Specifically, in or about July 2006, KR Management LLC, a business affiliate of Cohen's, acquired the 155th Street Property for \$225,000. After arranging for only limited renovations, KR Management retained Cohen and the Cohen Entities in 2007 to arrange a flip sale of the 155th Street Property at a significantly inflated price.
- 441. In June 2007, Cohen, through Metropolitan and Buy-a-Home, induced Buyer 16, an inexperienced, first-time home-buyer, to buy the 155th Street Property for \$375,000, *i.e.*, \$150,000 more than what KR Management had paid less than a year earlier. Specifically, sales agents at Buy-a-Home told Buyer 16 that her monthly payments for purchasing the home would be less than \$2,100, when in fact the true cost of owning the property was approximately \$2,950 per month. Further, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, also promised to pay off Buyer 16's personal debts.
- 442. On or about June 20, 2007, Cohen and the Cohen Entities referred Buyer 16 to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on a corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of whether Buyer 16 qualified for such insurance or whether the 155th Street Property was worth \$375,000.
- 443. On or about July 23, 2007, Cambridge obtained an inflated appraisal report for the 155th Street Property from Micheline, valuing it at the exact price Cohen set, \$375,000. Specifically, Micheline inflated the value of that home by

selecting as "comparable sales" properties that were not actually comparable to the 155th Street Property, and by ignoring the fact that KR Management did not pay for the amount of renovations that would have warranted a \$150,000 increase in the value of that home.

- 444. On August 10, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 16 for Buyer 16 to purchase the 155th Street Property. Kramer, acting as the underwriter, certified to HUD that HUD Loan No. 16 met HUD's underwriting requirements. Further, Derrell, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed HUD Loan No. 16 to HUD for mortgage insurance.
- 445. Specifically, Cambridge certified to HUD that the closing documents for HUD Loan No. 16, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, were true and correct. In fact, Cambridge knew that the HUD-1 was false and misleading because it omitted the fact that the Cambridge Defendants had conspired with Cohen to pay off Buyer 16's personal debts, which payment should have been reflected on the HUD-1 as an inducement to purchase. Specifically, Cambridge sent money orders, totaling approximately \$700, to Buyer 16's creditors, post-closing.
- 446. Further, to conceal the fact that they had conspired with Cohen to pay off Buyer 16's personal debts to induce her to purchase, the Cambridge Defendants created records to assert falsely that the funds for paying off those debts had come from Buyers 16's own funds.
- 447. Specifically, Cambridge inserted a note into its file for HUD Loan No. 16, falsely claiming that, at closing, Buyers 16 had given Cambridge approximately

\$700 in cash to pay off her debts. In fact, Buyer 16 did not give Cambridge any money in connection with purchasing the 155th Street Property.

- 448. Further, Cambridge, through Derrell, also falsely certified HUD Loan No. 16 to HUD for mortgage insurance, despite knowing that HUD Loan No. 16 failed to comply with HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:
 - a. The MCAW form for HUD Loan No. 16 falsely understated the amount of personal liabilities for Buyer 16, by leaving out the debts that she still owed at closing;
 - b. HUD Loan No. 16 had Qualifying Ratios (37.92% and 43.32%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively), and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
 - c. Micheline's appraisal significantly inflated the value for the 155th Street Property and had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements.
- 449. On August 27, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 16 to Countrywide, pursuant to the Countrywide Loan Purchase Agreement and received approximately \$11,000 for that loan.
- 450. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 16, Buyer 16 did not contribute anything to the down payment or closing costs associated with purchasing the 155th Street Property. Instead, Cohen arranged for payment of all down payment and closing costs, which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 16. In other words, Cohen also induced Buyer 16 to buy the 155th Street Property at an inflated price by effectively paying the entire upfront cost of buying that home.

- 451. Cohen conspired with the Cambridge Defendants to pay off Buyer 16's personal debts, and also arranged for payment of all the down payment and closing costs, to induce Buyer 16 to obtain HUD Loan No. 16 based on an inflated price for the 155th Street Property. In connection with that sale alone, Cohen received, through Buy-a-Home, more than \$17,500 in fees from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 16.
- 452. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of the 155th Street Property to Buyer 16 at an inflated price, Cambridge received approximately \$5,300 in fees for originating HUD Loan No. 16.
- 453. Micheline, who provided an inflated appraisal for the 155th Street Property based on a corrupt agreement or understanding with the Cambridge Defendants, not only was paid \$450 for that appraisal, but also ensured that he would receive additional appraisal referrals from Cambridge.
- 454. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of the 155th Street Property, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, the MCAW form, the appraisal report, the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, and the HUD Addendum.
- 455. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants and Micheline submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the appraisal report for the 155th Street Property and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for

HUD Loan No. 16. Based on those false certifications and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline obtained HUD mortgage insurance to HUD Loan No. 16.

- 456. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Countrywide, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including Micheline's appraisal report and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 16. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Countrywide to purchase HUD Loan No. 16.
- 457. Buyer 16, who never could have afforded the 155th Street Property at the inflated price Cohen set, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 16 within four months of the closing. As result of that default and the subsequent foreclosure on and conveyance of the 155th Street Property, HUD paid out \$262,101 in mortgage insurance on HUD Loan No. 16.
- 458. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline violated the FCA, specifically 33 U.S.C. §3729(a)(1)(A)–(C), in connection with the origination of HUD Loan No. 16 in that they knowingly, or with reckless disregard for the truth, (i) caused a false claim for mortgage insurance to be presented to, and paid by, HUD; (ii) caused false records and false statements to be used or made in connection with the presentation of a claim for mortgage insurance to HUD; and (iii) conspired to cause a false mortgage insurance claim for be presented to HUD and to cause false records and false statements to be used or made in connection with the presentation of a mortgage insurance claim to HUD.

Q. HUD LOAN NO. 17: BEACH 88TH STREET, FAR ROCKAWAY

- 459. From in or about June 2007 to September 2007, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier and Buckley conspired to orchestrate the sale of a property located on Beach 88th Street in Far Rockaway, New York (the "Beach 88th Street Property") at an inflated price to inexperienced home-buyers who lacked the financial wherewithal to purchase the property.
- 460. In furtherance of that conspiracy and pursuant to their corrupt agreement, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier and Buckley obtained HUD insurance for a mortgage loan in the amount of \$421,950 used to finance the fraudulent sale of the Beach 88th Street Property ("HUD Loan No. 17"), and sold HUD Loan No. 17 to Countrywide, by paying off the buyers' personal debts to induce them to purchase, obtaining an inflated appraisal for the Beach 88th Street Property, creating false records to omit inducements to purchase, falsely certifying compliance with HUD requirements, and submitting false and misleading records to HUD and to Countrywide.
- 461. Specifically, in or about June 2007, Cohen and the Cohen Entities were retained by a business associate of Cohen's to arrange a sale of the Beach 88th Street Property at a significantly inflated price.
- 462. On or about August 13, 2007, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, induced inexperienced, first-time home-buyers, Buyers 17-A, 17-B, and 17-C, to buy the Beach 88th Street Property for \$435,000.
- 463. On or about June 20, 2007, Cohen and the Cohen Entities referred Buyers 17-A, 17-B and 17-C to Lapidus at Cambridge for purposes of obtaining a

HUD-insured mortgage from Cambridge, based on a corrupt agreement or understanding between Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants that Cambridge would endorse an application for HUD insurance irrespective of whether Buyers 17-A, 17-B, and 17-C qualified for such insurance or whether the Beach 88th Street Property was worth \$435,000.

- 464. On or about July 23, 2007, at Cohen's direction, Buckley, through Premier, issued an inflated appraisal report for the Beach 88th Street Property, valuing it at \$435,000. Specifically, Buckley inflated the value of that home by ignoring significant differences between the Beach 88th Street Property and the properties that Buckley had selected as "comparable sales." For example, one of those comparable sales, a home on the same street as the Beach 88th Street Property, had twice the amount of living space, *i.e.*, 2,200 square feet vs. 1,100 square feet. Buckley, however, only attributed \$12,000 in value, or less than 3% of the sales price, to that difference. Buckley also issued multiple other appraisals for the Beach 88th Street Property, each with the same value inflation and each at the direction of Cohen.
- 465. On August 22, 2007, Cambridge issued a commitment letter to Buyers 17-A, 17-B, and 17-C, which required, as a condition that must be fulfilled prior to closing, that those buyers pay off several thousand dollars in child support arrears and debts they owed to creditors such as Con Edison and the Dish Network.
- 466. On August 24, 2007, Cambridge originated and processed HUD Loan No. 17 for Buyers 17-A, 17-B, and 17-C to purchase the Beach 88th Street Property. Derrell, acting as the underwriter, certified to HUD that HUD Loan No. 17 met

HUD's underwriting requirements. Further, Kramer, certifying on behalf of Cambridge, endorsed HUD Loan No. 17 to HUD for mortgage insurance.

- 467. Specifically, Kramer, on behalf of Cambridge, certified to HUD that all closing conditions listed in the commitment letter issued by Cambridge had been met by the time of closing on August 24, 2007. In fact, however, Cambridge knew that Buyers 17-A, 17-B, and 17-C had not paid off their personal debts prior to closing, because those debts would not be paid off until September 17, 2007, when Cambridge issued approximately \$4,300 in checks to pay off the buyers' child support arrears and other debts. Hyman personally signed those checks.
- 468. Cambridge also certified to HUD that the closing documents for HUD Loan No. 17, including the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, were true and correct. In fact, Cambridge knew that the HUD-1 was false and misleading because it omitted the fact that Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, had provided funds to Buyers 17-A, 17-B, and 17-C to pay off their personal debts, which payment should have been reflected on the HUD-1 as an inducement to purchase.
- 469. Further, to conceal the fact that Cohen had given \$5,000 to Buyers 17-A, 17-B, and 17-C to induce them to purchase, the Cambridge Defendants created fraudulent gift affidavits to assert falsely that the funds for paying off the buyers' personal debts had come from their relatives.
- 470. In addition, Cambridge falsely certified HUD Loan No. 17 to HUD for mortgage insurance. In fact, the underwriter at Cambridge initially assigned to underwrite HUD Loan No. 17 refused to approve that mortgage loan because it did not meet HUD requirements. Instead of ensuring compliance with HUD rules and

regulations, Kramer simply reassigned the loan to Derrell, with specific direction to approve it for HUD mortgage insurance, even though that loan did not meet HUD requirements in, among others, the following respects:

- a. The MCAW form for HUD Loan No. 17 falsely understated the amount of personal liabilities for Buyers 17-A, 17-B and 17-C, by leaving out the debts that she still owed at closing;
- b. HUD Loan No. 17 had Qualifying Ratios (52.34% and 52.34%) that significantly exceeded HUD thresholds (31% and 43%, respectively), and did not have any applicable compensating factor; and
- c. The Premier appraisal issued by Buckley significantly inflated the value for the Beach 88th Street Property and had not been conducted in accordance with HUD requirements.
- 471. On or about September 20, 2007, Cambridge sold HUD Loan No. 17 to Countrywide, pursuant to the Countrywide Loan Purchase Agreement and received more than \$14,000 for that loan.
- 472. In connection with obtaining HUD Loan No. 17, Buyers 17-A, 17-B and 17-C contributed only a minimal amount to the down payment or closing costs associated with buying the Beach 88th Street Property. Instead, Cohen arranged for payment of \$12,900 in down payment and approximately \$13,000 in closing costs, which were paid using disbursement from HUD Loan No. 17. In other words, Cohen also induced Buyers 17-A, 17-B and 17-C to buy the Beach 88th Street Property at an inflated price by arranging for the payment of nearly the entirety of the upfront cost of buying that home.
- 473. Cohen provided funds to Buyers 17-A, 17-B and 17-C, and also arranged for payment of all the down payment and closing costs, to induce those

buyers to obtain HUD Loan No. 17 based on an inflated price for the Beach 88th Street Property. In connection with that sale alone, Cohen received, through Buy-a-Home and his partner Mark Wolf, more than \$24,000 in fees from the disbursement of HUD Loan No. 17.

- 474. For the Cambridge Defendants' role in conspiring with Cohen and the Cohen Entities to consummate the sale of the Beach 88th Street Property to Buyers 17-A, 17-B and 17-C at an inflated price, Cambridge received more than \$28,000 in fees and resale proceeds for originating HUD Loan No. 17.
- 475. Buckley, who, through Premier, provided an inflated appraisal for the Beach 88th Street Property, based on a corrupt agreement or understanding with Cohen and the Cambridge Defendants, not only was paid \$450 for that appraisal, but also ensured future appraisal referrals from Cohen and further cemented the corrupt and lucrative relationship between his business interests and Cohen's. *See supra* at ¶ 88.
- 476. In connection with executing their scheme to consummate a fraudulent flip sale of the Beach 88th Street Property, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier, and Buckley used interstate mail carriers and interstate wire to transmit documents that contained false and misleading information, including, among other documents, the MCAW form, the appraisal report, the HUD-1 Settlement Statement, and the HUD Addendum.
- 477. Specifically, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier, and Buckley submitted to HUD numerous false records and certifications, including the appraisal report for the Beach 88th Street Property and the Settlement Statement

and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 17. Based on those false certifications and records, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier, and Buckley obtained HUD mortgage insurance to HUD Loan No. 17.

- 478. Further, the Cambridge Defendants also submitted to Countrywide, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire, numerous false records and certifications, including Buckley's appraisal report and the Settlement Statement and HUD Addendum for HUD Loan No. 17. Based on those false certifications and records, they caused Countrywide to purchase HUD Loan No. 17.
- 479. Buyers 17-A, 17-B and 17-C, who never could have afforded the Beach 88th Street Property at the inflated price Cohen set, defaulted on HUD Loan No. 17 within three months of the closing. As result of that default and the subsequent foreclosure on and conveyance of the Beach 88th Street Property, HUD has been presented with a claim for mortgage insurance on HUD Loan No. 17 in the amount of \$482,470.
- 480. Accordingly, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Premier, and Buckley violated the FCA, specifically 33 U.S.C. §3729(a)(1)(A)–(C), in connection with the origination of HUD Loan No. 17 in that they knowingly, or with reckless disregard for the truth, (i) caused a false claim for mortgage insurance to be presented to HUD; (ii) caused false records and false statements to be used or made in connection with the presentation of a claim for mortgage insurance to HUD; and (iii) conspired to cause a false mortgage insurance claim for be presented to HUD and to cause false records and false statements to be used or made in connection with the presentation of a mortgage insurance claim to HUD.

AFTER 2007, COHEN, BUY-A-HOME, AND BUCKLEY CONTINUED TO ORCHESTRATE FRAUDULENT FLIP SALES USING HUD-INSURED LOANS

- 481. In late 2007, Cambridge ceased to originate mortgage loans for flip sales arranged by Cohen and Buy-a-Home. Cohen and Buckley, however, continued to orchestrate the mortgage fraud scheme that Cohen perpetrated in connection with HUD Loans Nos. 1–17.
- 482. In 2010, for example, Cohen used two other entities that he had created Your First Home, LLC ("YFH") and Tower Wealth Management, LLC ("Tower") to acquire dozens of residential properties. After he acquired these properties, Cohen had superficial renovations done on these homes by contractors, including, frequently, IDU Renovations, Inc. ("IDU Renovations"), a construction business Buckley controls.
- 483. Through Buy-a-Home, Cohen sought out inexperienced, financially unsophisticated home-buyers who were eligible for HUD-insured mortgage loans. To lure these inexperienced home-buyers into agreeing to buy his properties at inflated prices, Cohen understated the true costs of home-ownership, and gave or promised a variety of inducements to purchase, including giving funds to buyers for their down payments, paying off buyers' personal debts, and promising to make mortgage payments for buyers.
- 484. To obtain financing for his fraudulent flip sales, Cohen cultivated relationships with HUD direct endorsers (other than Cambridge) that would originate HUD-insured mortgage loans, including, in 2010, First Residential Mortgage Services Corp. ("First Residential"). Further, to justify the inflated prices

that Cohen set for his properties, Buckley had a Premier employee set up an appraisal management business, A+ Appraisal Management Corp. ("A Plus"), through which Cohen and Buckley obtained fraudulent appraisals.

485. Through these fraudulent means, and as illustrated by the four sample transactions described in more detail below, Cohen conspired with Buckley and others to orchestrate more than thirty-five flip sales in 2010.

2010 Cohen Flip Sale No. 1

- 486. From in or about March 2010 to in or about June 2010, Cohen, Buy-a-Home, and Buckley conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a house on Prospect Avenue in the Bronx (the "Prospect Avenue Property") at an inflated price, by fraudulently obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage loan.
- 487. In or about March 2010, Cohen, through Tower, acquired the Prospect Avenue Property for approximately \$215,000. Cohen then had IDU Renovations, Buckley's construction business, install new fixtures and appliances and make superficial repairs at that property to create the impression that it had been fully renovated. In fact, however, Buckley failed to make certain basic repairs to the Prospect Avenue Property, including to repair or replace the chimney, which was leaning and not properly secured, and to repair the roof, sections of which were sagging and accumulating water.
- 488. In May 2010, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, found two inexperienced home buyers for the Prospect Avenue Property ("2010 Buyers 1-A and 1-B"). To convince these buyers to purchase the property from him at an inflated price, Cohen promised to make major repairs to the property before and after the closing.

Further, to induce these buyers to purchase, Cohen had his sales agent Mohammed Ibrahim, and manager Erin Davis, provide \$10,000 in cash to 2010 Buyers 1-A and 1-B for their down payment.

- 489. On or about June 10, 2010, Cohen sold the Prospect Avenue Property for \$480,000, *i.e.*, more than twice what he had paid for the home in March 2010, to 2010 Buyers 1-A and 1-B, who obtained a HUD-insured mortgage loan from First Residential in the amount of \$463,200. At the closing, Cohen caused to be executed a false HUD-1 settlement statement indicating that no inducement to purchase had been made in connection with the sale, whereas, in fact, Cohen had given the buyers \$10,000 for down payment.
- 490. Further, to obtain the HUD-insured mortgage loan, Cohen and Buckley, through A Plus, obtained an appraisal from Peter Sarafian, an appraiser who had worked for Buckley at Premier, that misstated the condition of the Prospect Avenue Property and inflated its value. Among other things, Sarafian's appraisal falsely described the Prospect Avenue Property as being "in an above average maintained condition with no inadequacies for repairs," and falsely certified that the appraisal was based on suitably comparable sales comparisons.
- 491. Through their fraudulent devices, Cohen and Buckley each earned tens of thousands of dollars in connection with the sale of the Prospect Avenue Property. 2010 Buyers 1-A and 1-B, however, were left with a home in need of numerous repairs, as well as mortgage payments that they could barely afford.
- 492. In January 2011, 2010 Buyers 1-A and 1-B defaulted on their mortgage loan. Although these buyers subsequently were able to catch up on their mortgage

payments, HUD remains exposed to the risk of substantial loss on its mortgage insurance for the Prospect Avenue Property.

2010 Cohen Flip Sale No. 2

- 493. From in or about May 2010 to in or about August 2010, Cohen, Buy-a-Home, and Buckley conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a house on 165th Street in Queens (the "165th Street Property") at an inflated price, by fraudulently obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage loan.
- 494. In or about May 2010, Cohen, through YFH, acquired the 165th Street Property for approximately \$126,000. Cohen then had IDU Renovations, Buckley's construction business, install new fixtures and appliances and make superficial repairs at that property to create the impression that it had been fully renovated. In fact, however, Buckley failed to make certain fundamental repairs to the 165th Street Property, such as to address a serious termite infestation.
- 495. In July 2010, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, found two inexperienced home-buyers for the 165th Street Property ("2010 Buyers 2-A and 2-B"). To induce these buyers to purchase the home from him at an inflated price, Cohen promised to make the first two mortgage payments. Further, to create the appearance that the buyers received a \$8,500 gift from a family member for the down payment, Cohen also had his sales agents deposit, and then withdraw, \$8,500 from the bank account of 2010 Buyer 2-A's brother, and then create a false gift affidavit from the brother.
- 496. On or about August 26, 2010, Cohen sold the 165th Street Property for \$327,500, *i.e.*, more than two and half times what he had paid for the home in May, to 2010 Buyers 2-A and 2-B, who obtained a HUD-insured mortgage loan from First

Residential in the amount of \$323,147. At the closing, Cohen caused to be executed a false HUD-1 settlement statement indicating that no inducement to purchase had been made or promised in connection with the sale, whereas, in fact, Cohen had given the buyers \$8,500 for down payments and also promised to make the first two mortgage payments on their behalf.

- 497. Further, to obtain the HUD-insured mortgage loan, Cohen and Buckley, through A Plus, obtained from Peter Sarafian an appraisal that misstated the condition of the 165th Street Property and inflated its value. Among other things, Sarafian's appraisal ignored the costs required to cure the termite infestation at the 165th Street Property, underestimated the costs of other repairs that were needed for the property, and falsely certified that it was based on suitably comparable sales comparisons.
- 498. Through their fraudulent devices, Cohen and Buckley each earned tens of thousands of dollars in connection with the sale of the 165th Street Property. 2010 Buyers 2-A and 2-B, however, were left with a home in need of urgent repairs, as well as mortgage payments that they could barely afford.
- 499. In December 2010, 2010 Buyers 2-A and 2-B defaulted on their mortgage loan. Although these buyers subsequently were able to catch up on their mortgage payments, HUD remains exposed to the risk of substantial loss on its mortgage insurance for the 165th Street Property.

2010 Cohen Flip Sale No. 3

500. From in or about May 2010 to in or about September 2010, Cohen, Buy-a-Home, and Buckley conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a house on

Olmstead Avenue in the Bronx (the "Olmstead Avenue Property") at an inflated price, by fraudulently obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage loan.

- 501. In or about May 2010, Cohen, through YFH, acquired the Olmstead Avenue Property for approximately \$207,000. Cohen then had IDU Renovations, Buckley's construction business, install new fixtures and appliances and make superficial repairs at that property to create the impression that it had been fully renovated. In fact, however, Buckley failed to make certain fundamental repairs to the Olmstead Avenue Property, such as to repair the basement to prevent flooding.
- 502. In August 2010, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, found an inexperienced home-buyer for the Olmstead Avenue Property ("2010 Buyer 3"). To induce that buyer to purchase the home from him at an inflated price, and to enhance the buyer's credit history, Cohen paid \$3,000 to reduce 2010 Buyer 3's personal debts.
- 503. On or about September 29, 2010, Cohen sold the Olmstead Avenue Property for \$460,000, *i.e.*, more than twice what he had paid for that home in May, to 2010 Buyer 3, who obtained a HUD-insured mortgage loan from First Residential in the amount of \$453,887. At the closing, Cohen executed a false HUD-1 settlement statement indicating that no inducement to purchase had been made or promised in connection with the sale, whereas, in fact, Cohen had paid \$3,000 to reduce the personal debts of 2010 Buyer No. 3.
- 504. Further, to obtain the HUD-insured mortgage loan, Buckley issued an appraisal that misstated the condition of the Olmstead Avenue Property and inflated its value. Among other things, Buckley falsely certified to HUD that he had no personal interest in either the Olmstead Avenue Property or any participant

in the sale, whereas, in fact, Cohen paid Buckley's business, IDU Renovations, \$60,000 in connection with the sale. In addition, Buckley's appraisal for the Olmstead Avenue Property also ignored the insufficient repairs to the basement and falsely certified that it was based on suitably comparable sales comparisons.

505. While Cohen and Buckley, through their fraudulent devices, each earned tens of thousands of dollars in connection with the sale of the Olmstead Avenue Property, their fraudulent scheme, which caused HUD to provide mortgage insurance for that property at an inflated value, has exposed HUD to the risk of substantial loss.

2010 Cohen Flip Sale No. 4

506. From in or about February 2010 to in or about August 2010, Cohen, Buy-a-Home, and Buckley conspired to orchestrate the flip sale of a house on East 167th Street in the Bronx (the "East 167th Street Property") at an inflated price, by fraudulently obtaining a HUD-insured mortgage loan.

507. In or about February 2010, Cohen, through YFH, acquired the East 165th Street Property for approximately \$210,000. Cohen then had Felix Soto, one of his agents, install new fixtures and appliances and make superficial repairs at that property to create the impression that it had been fully renovated. In fact, however, Soto failed to make certain fundamental repairs, such as to address a serious vermin infestation or properly repair the home's foundation.

508. In July 2010, Cohen, through Buy-a-Home, found an inexperienced home-buyer for the East 167th Street Property ("2010 Buyer 4"). After determining that 2010 Buyer 4's income was insufficient to obtain a HUD-insured mortgage

loan, Cohen had the buyer enlist her mother to seek the loan as a co-occupant of the home, even though the mother of 2010 Buyer 4 told Cohen that she had no intention of residing at that property.

- 509. On or about August 13, 2010, Cohen sold the East 167th Street Property for \$345,000, *i.e.*, more than 50% above what he had paid for the home in May, to 2010 Buyer 4, who obtained a HUD-insured mortgage loan from First Residential in the amount of \$340,415. In connection with that sale, Cohen caused the mother of 2010 Buyer 4 to falsely seek a HUD-insured mortgage loan as a borrower, even though she had no intention of residing at the property.
- 510. Further, to obtain the HUD-insured mortgage loan, Cohen and Buckley, through A Plus, obtained from Peter Sarafian an appraisal that misstated the condition of the East 167th Street Property and inflated its value. Among other things, Sarafian's appraisal understated the costs of repairs that were needed for conditions affecting the East 167th Street Property, such as the vermin infestation, and falsely certified that it was based on suitably comparable sales comparisons.
- 511. While Cohen and Buckley, through their fraudulent devices, together earned tens of thousands of dollars in connection with the sale of the Olmstead Avenue Property, their fraudulent scheme, which caused HUD to provide mortgage insurance for that property at an inflated value, has exposed HUD to the risk of substantial loss.

Cohen's and Buckley's Conduct Since December 2010

512. In December 2010, the United States sought and obtained a temporary restraining order, and then a preliminary injunction (the "Injunction"), against

Cohen and Buy-a-Home. In the absence of such injunctive relief, Cohen, together with Buckley, would have consummated numerous other flip sales in December 2010 and early 2011 (attached as Exhibit 6 is a copy of a November 15, 2010 e-mail from Cohen, identifying eleven more flip sales to be consummated in December 2010 and January and February 2011).

513. In 2011, and notwithstanding the imposition of the Injunction against Cohen, Cohen and Buckley continued to attempt to orchestrate flip sales using HUD-insured mortgage loans. Specifically, Cohen, through three businesses controlled by his wife Marcia Kaufman, acquired approximately a dozen residential properties in early 2011. Buckley, in turn, contracted with Cohen to provide superficial renovations on several of the properties, so that Cohen could then try to resell these homes at inflated prices to inexperienced buyers who would seek HUD-insured mortgage loans. In December 2011, Cohen was held in contempt by the Court on account of his willful violation of the Injunction in 2011.

514. Moreover, even after the United States put Cohen on notice in June 2011 that he had violated the Injunction by participating in residential real estate sales transactions involving HUD-insured financing, Cohen resorted to yet another mortgage fraud scheme using Fixing Houses, Inc. – a business controlled by his long-time contractor Louis Astuto – as a conduit. Specifically, from October to December 2011, and through Fixing Houses, Cohen attempted to orchestrate additional fraudulent flip sales targeting inexperienced home-buyers eligible for HUD-insured loans. Put simply, absent permanent injunctive relief against Cohen

and Buckley, they can be expected to continue their fraudulent schemes to profit from flip sales, at the expense of HUD and inexperienced home-buyers.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN NO. 1 (Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Buckley, Buckley Consulting, and Micheline)

- 515. Allegations in paragraphs 1–514 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 516. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 1, which was used to finance the flip sale of the 116th Street Property, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Buckley, Buckley Consulting (formerly Premier), and Micheline unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly created false and fraudulent records, prepared a false and inflated appraisal, made false certifications, and submitted or intended to be submitted such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.
- 517. In connection with orchestrating the flip sale of the 116th Street Property, at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Buckley, Buckley Consulting, and Micheline to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and an object of the conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley, Buckley Consulting, and Micheline would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, prepare false and inflated appraisals, make false certifications, and submit such false and fraudulent

records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley, Buckley Consulting, and Micheline committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the creation of false records omitting the payment that Cohen made to Buyers 1-A and 1-B to induce them to purchase, the preparation of an inflated appraisal for the 116th Street Property, the making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 1, and the submission of such false records, appraisal, and certifications to HUD and FHA.

518. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of the 116th Street Property and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Buckley, Buckley Consulting, and Micheline unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Buckley, Buckley Consulting, and Micheline fraudulently induced or intended to induce Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 1 from Cambridge by sending to Countrywide false, fraudulent and misleading records, appraisal, and certifications, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 1 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Citi, this scheme to defraud has affected Countrywide Bank, a financial institution.

519. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Buckley, Buckley Consulting, and Micheline is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN No. 2 (Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants)

- 520. Allegations in paragraphs 1-519 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 521. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 2, which was used to finance the flip sale of Newark Avenue Property A, the Cambridge Defendants unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly created false and fraudulent records, made false certifications, and submitted such false and fraudulent records and certifications to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.
- Property A at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and an object of the conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, make false certifications, and submit such false and fraudulent records and certifications to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the creation of false records inflating Buyer 2-A's income and omitting the payment that Cohen made to Buyers 2-A and 2-B to induce them to purchase, the

making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 2, and the submission of such false records and certifications to HUD and FHA.

- 523. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of Newark Avenue A and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants fraudulently induced Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 2 from Cambridge by sending to Citi false, fraudulent and misleading records and certifications, using interstate mail carrier and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 2 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Citi, this scheme to defraud has affected Citibank, a financial institution.
- 524. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN No. 3
(Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants,
Buckley Consulting, and Buckley)

- 525. Allegations in paragraphs 1-524 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 526. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 3, which was used to finance the flip sale of the York Avenue Property, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting (formerly Premier), and

Buckley unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly created false and fraudulent records, prepared a false and inflated appraisal, made false certifications, and submitted such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.

In connection with orchestrating the flip sale of the York Avenue Property at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and an object of the conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, prepare false and inflated appraisals, make false certifications, and submit such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the creation of false records omitting the payment that Cohen had made to Buyers 3-A and 3-B to induce them to purchase, the preparation of an inflated appraisal for the York Avenue Property, the making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 3, and the submission of such false records, appraisal, and certifications to HUD and FHA.

528. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of the York Avenue Property and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and

Buckley unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley fraudulently induced Countrywide to purchase HUD Loan No. 3 from Cambridge by sending to Countrywide false, fraudulent and misleading records, appraisal, and certifications, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 3 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Countrywide, this scheme to defraud has affected Countrywide Bank, a financial institution.

529. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN No. 4 (Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg)

- 530. Allegations in paragraphs 1-529 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 531. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 4, which was used to finance the flip sale of the Beach 46th Street Property, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly created false and fraudulent records, prepared a false and inflated appraisal, made false certifications, and submitted such false and fraudulent

records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.

Property at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and an object of the conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, prepare false and inflated appraisals, make false certifications, and submit such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the creation of false records inflating the incomes of Buyers 4-A and 4-B, the preparation of an inflated appraisal for the Beach 46th Street Property, the making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 4, and the submission of such false records, appraisal, and certifications to HUD and FHA.

533. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of the Beach 46th Street Property and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg fraudulently induced Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 4 from Cambridge

by sending to Citi false, fraudulent and misleading records, appraisal, and certifications, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 4 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Citi, this scheme to defraud has affected Citibank, a financial institution.

534. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN NO. 5 (Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg)

- 535. Allegations in paragraphs 1-534 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 536. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 5, which was used to finance the flip sale of the Nicholas Avenue Property, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly created false and fraudulent records, prepared a false and inflated appraisal, made false certifications, and submitted such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.
- 537. In connection with orchestrating the flip sale of the Nicholas Avenue Property at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and

an object of the conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, prepare false and inflated appraisals, make false certifications, and submit such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the creation of false records omitting the payment that Cohen had made to Buyers 5-A and 5-B to induce them to purchase, the preparation of an inflated appraisal for the Nicholas Avenue Property, the making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 5, and the submission of such false records, appraisal, and certifications to HUD and FHA.

538. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of the Nicholas Avenue Property and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg fraudulently induced Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 5 from Cambridge by sending to Citi false, fraudulent and misleading records, appraisal, and certifications, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 5 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Citi, this scheme to defraud has affected Citibank, a financial institution.

539. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN No. 6
(Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG,
Buckley Consulting, and Buckley)

- 540. Allegations in paragraphs 1-539 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 541. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 6, which was used to finance the flip sale of the Alaska Street Property, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Buckley Consulting (formerly Premier), and Buckley unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly created false and fraudulent records, prepared a false and inflated appraisal, made false certifications, and submitted such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.
- 542. In connection with orchestrating the flip sale of the Alaska Street Property at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and an object of the conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, prepare false and inflated appraisals, make false certifications, and submit such false and fraudulent records,

appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the creation of false records omitting the payment that Cohen had made to Buyers 6-A and 6-B to induce them to purchase, the preparation of an inflated appraisal for the Alaska Street Property, the making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 6, and the submission of such false records, appraisal, and certifications to HUD and FHA.

- 543. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of the Alaska Street Property and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley fraudulently induced Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 6 from Cambridge by sending to Citi false, fraudulent and misleading records, appraisal, and certifications, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 6 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Citi, this scheme to defraud has affected Citibank, a financial institution.
- 544. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, CFG, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN NO. 7 (Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg)

- 545. Allegations in paragraphs 1-544 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 546. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 7, which was used to finance the flip sale of Newark Avenue Property B, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly created false and fraudulent records, prepared a false and inflated appraisal, made false certifications, and submitted such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.
- Property B at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and an object of the conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, prepare false and inflated appraisals, make false certifications, and submit such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the creation of false records omitting the payment that Cohen had made to

Buyers 7-A and 7-B to induce them to purchase, the preparation of an inflated appraisal for Newark Avenue Property B, the making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 7, and the submission of such false records, appraisal, and certifications to HUD and FHA.

548. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of Newark Avenue Property B and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg fraudulently induced Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 7 from Cambridge by sending to Citi false, fraudulent and misleading records, appraisal, and certifications, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 7 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Citi, this scheme to defraud has affected Citibank, a financial institution.

549. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

EIGHTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN No. 8
(Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants,
Buckley Consulting, and Buckley)

550. Allegations in paragraphs 1-549 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

- 551. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 8, which was used to finance the flip sale of Newark Avenue Property C, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting (formerly Premier), and Buckley unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly created false and fraudulent records, prepared a false and inflated appraisal, made false certifications, and submitted such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.
- Avenue Property at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and an object of the conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, prepare false and inflated appraisals, make false certifications, and submit such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the preparation of an inflated appraisal for Newark Avenue Property C, the making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 8, and the submission of such false records, appraisal, and certifications to HUD and FHA.
- 553. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of Newark Avenue Property C and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy,

Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley fraudulently induced Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 8 from Cambridge by sending to Citi false, fraudulent and misleading records, appraisal, and certifications, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 8 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Citi, this scheme to defraud has affected Citibank, a financial institution.

554. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

NINTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN NO. 9 (Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg)

- 555. Allegations in paragraphs 1-554 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 556. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 9, which was used to finance the flip sale of the East Tremont Avenue Property, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly created false and fraudulent records, prepared a false and inflated appraisal, made false certifications, and submitted such false and fraudulent

records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.

In connection with orchestrating the flip sale of the East Tremont Avenue Property at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and an object of the conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, prepare false and inflated appraisals, make false certifications, and submit such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the creation of false records omitting the payment that Cohen had made to Buyers 9-A, 9-B, and 9-C to induce them to purchase, the preparation of an inflated appraisal for the East Tremont Avenue Property, the making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 9, and the submission of such false records, appraisal, and certifications to HUD and FHA.

558. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of the East Tremont Avenue Property and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and

Goldberg fraudulently induced Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 9 from Cambridge by sending to Citi false, fraudulent and misleading records, appraisal, and certifications, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 9 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Citi, this scheme to defraud has affected Citibank, a financial institution.

559. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

TENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN No. 10 (Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants)

- 560. Allegations in paragraphs 1-559 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 561. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 10, which was used to finance the flip sale of the Barkley Avenue Property, the Cambridge Defendants unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly created false and fraudulent records, made false certifications, and submitted such false and fraudulent records and certifications to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.
- 562. In connection with orchestrating the flip sale of the Barkley Avenue Property at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and an object of the

conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, make false certifications, and submit such false and fraudulent records and certifications to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the creation of false records omitting the inducements to purchase that Cohen had provided to Buyers 10-A and 10-B – namely, paying off their personal debts and promising to make mortgage payments on their behalves, the making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 10, and the submission of such false records and certifications to HUD and FHA.

563. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of the Barkley Avenue Property and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy, Cohen, the Cohen Entities and the Cambridge Defendants unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants fraudulently induced Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 10 from Cambridge by sending to Citi false, fraudulent and misleading records and certifications, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 10 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Citi, this scheme to defraud has affected Citibank, a financial institution.

564. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities and the Cambridge Defendants is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

ELEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN NO. 11 (Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg)

- 565. Allegations in paragraphs 1-564 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 566. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 11, which was used to finance the flip sale of Newark Avenue Property D, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly created false and fraudulent records, made false certifications, issued an inflated appraisal, and submitted such false and fraudulent records, certifications, and appraisal to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.
- Property D at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and an object of the conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, make false certifications, issue an inflated appraisal, and submit such false and fraudulent records, certifications, and appraisal to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants

and Goldberg committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the creation of false records omitting the payment that Cohen had made to Buyers 11-A and 11-B to induce them purchase, the making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 11, the issuance of an inflated appraisal, and the submission of such false records, certifications, and appraisal to HUD and FHA.

568. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of Newark Avenue Property D and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg fraudulently induced Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 11 from Cambridge by sending to Citi false, fraudulent and misleading records, certifications, and appraisal using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 11 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Citi, this scheme to defraud has affected Citibank, a financial institution.

569. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

TWELFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN NO. 12 (Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, and the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg)

- 570. Allegations in paragraphs 1-569 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 571. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 12, which was used to finance the flip sale of the South 3rd Avenue Property, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly created false and fraudulent records, prepared a false and inflated appraisal, made false certifications, and submitted such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.
- Property at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and an object of the conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, prepare false and inflated appraisals, make false certifications, and submit such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the creation of false records omitting the funds that Cohen had provided to

induce Buyers 12-A and 12-B to purchase, the preparation of an inflated appraisal for the South 3rd Avenue Property, the making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 12, and the submission of such false records, appraisal, and certifications to HUD and FHA.

573. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of the South 3rd Avenue Property and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg fraudulently induced Countrywide to purchase HUD Loan No. 12 from Cambridge by sending to Countrywide false, fraudulent and misleading records, appraisal, and certifications, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 12 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Citi, this scheme to defraud has affected Countrywide Bank, a financial institution.

574. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

THIRTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN No. 13 (Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline)

575. Allegations in paragraphs 1-574 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

576. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 13, which was used to finance the flip sale of the Nebraska Avenue Property, the Cambridge Defendants and Micheline unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly created false and fraudulent records, prepared a false and inflated appraisal, made false certifications, and submitted such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.

In connection with orchestrating the flip sale of the Nebraska Avenue Property at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants and Micheline to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and an object of the conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants and Micheline would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, prepare false and inflated appraisals, make false certifications, and submit such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants and Micheline committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the creation of false records disguising the funds that Cohen provided to induce Buyers 13-A, 13-B, and 13-C to purchase, the preparation of an inflated appraisal for the Nebraska Avenue Property, the making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 13, and the submission of such false records, appraisal, and certifications to HUD and FHA.

578. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of the Nebraska Avenue Property and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline fraudulently induced Countrywide to purchase HUD Loan No. 13 from Cambridge by sending to Countrywide false, fraudulent and misleading records, appraisal, and certifications, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 13 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Countrywide, this scheme to defraud has affected Countrywide Bank, a financial institution.

579. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

FOURTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN No. 14 (Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg)

- 580. Allegations in paragraphs 1-579 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 581. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 14, which was used to finance the flip sale of the South 8th Avenue Property, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg unlawfully, willfully, and

knowingly created false and fraudulent records, prepared a false and inflated appraisal, made false certifications, and submitted such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.

Property at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and an object of the conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, prepare false and inflated appraisals, make false certifications, and submit such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants and Goldberg committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the preparation of an inflated appraisal for the South 8th Avenue Property, the making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 14, and the submission of such false records, appraisal, and certifications to HUD and FHA.

583. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of the South 8th Avenue Property and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and

Goldberg fraudulently induced Citi to purchase HUD Loan No. 314 from Cambridge by sending to Citi false, fraudulent and misleading records, appraisal, and certifications, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 14 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Citi, this scheme to defraud has affected Citibank, a financial institution.

584. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Goldberg is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

FIFTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER FIRREA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN No. 15 (Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline)

- 585. Allegations in paragraphs 1-584 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 586. For purposes of fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for HUD Loan No. 15, which was used to finance the flip sale of the Tompkins Place Property, the Cambridge Defendants and Micheline unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly created false and fraudulent records, prepared an inflated appraisal, made false certifications, and submitted such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014.
- 587. In connection with orchestrating the flip sale of the Tompkins Place Property at an inflated price, Cohen and the Cohen Entities unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly combined, conspired, and agreed with the Cambridge Defendants

and Micheline to violate 18 U.S.C. §§ 1006 and 1014. Specifically, it was a part and an object of the conspiracy that the Cambridge Defendants and Micheline would unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly create false and fraudulent records, prepare false and inflated appraisals, make false certifications, and submit such false and fraudulent records, appraisals, and certifications to HUD and to FHA. Moreover, in furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects thereof, the Cambridge Defendants and Micheline committed numerous overt acts, including, among other acts, the creation of false records claiming that Buyers 15-A and 15-B both intended to use the Tompkins Place Property as their primary residence, the preparation of an inflated appraisal for the Tompkins Place Property, the making of false certifications regarding HUD Loan No. 15, and the submission of such false records, appraisal, and certifications to HUD and FHA.

588. Further, for purposes of obtaining financing for the fraudulent flip sale of the Tompkins Place Property and for continuing their mortgage fraud conspiracy, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline unlawfully, willfully, and knowingly executed a scheme and artifice to defraud, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343. Specifically, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline fraudulently induced Countrywide to purchase HUD Loan No. 15 from Cambridge by sending to Countrywide false, fraudulent and misleading records, appraisal, and certifications, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire. Further, as HUD Loan No. 15 defaulted within months of its being purchased by Countrywide, this scheme to defraud has affected Countrywide Bank, a financial institution.

589. Accordingly, each of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline is liable for civil penalties to the maximum amount authorized under 12 U.S.C. § 1833a.

SIXTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR TREBLE DAMAGES AND CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER THE FCA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN NO. 16

(Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline)

- 590. Allegations in paragraphs 1-589 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 591. The United States seeks treble damages and civil penalties against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline because they fraudulently obtained HUD insurance for HUD Loan No. 16 for the sale of the 155th Street Property to Buyer 16, in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729(1)(A)–(C).
- 592. Specifically, as set forth above, *see supra* at ¶¶ 438 458, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline knowingly, or with reckless disregard for the truth, caused a false claim for mortgage insurance coverage for HUD Loan No. 16 to be presented to an officer, employee, or agent of the United States, namely HUD, in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729(1)(A).
- 593. Further, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline knowingly, or with reckless disregard for the truth, caused false records and false statements to be made or used to get a false claim for mortgage insurance coverage for HUD Loan No. 16 paid by HUD, in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729(1)(B).
- 594. In addition, and in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729(1)(C), Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline conspired to cause a

false claim mortgage insurance coverage for HUD Loan No. 16 to be presented to HUD, or to cause false records and false statements to be made or used to get a false claim for mortgage insurance coverage for HUD Loan No. 16 paid by HUD.

595. By reason of the fraudulent conduct of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline in connection with obtaining HUD insurance for HUD Loan No. 16, the United States has sustained \$262,101 in damages to date, and such further damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

SEVENTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR TREBLE DAMAGES AND CIVIL PENALTIES UNDER THE FCA IN CONNECTION WITH HUD LOAN NO. 17

(Against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting and Buckley)

- 596. Allegations in paragraphs 1-595 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.
- 597. The United States seeks treble damages and civil penalties against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting (formerly Premier), and Buckley because they fraudulently obtained HUD insurance for HUD Loan No. 17 for the sale of the Beach 88th Street Property to Buyers 17-A, 17-B, and 17-C, in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729(1)(A)–(C).
- 598. Specifically, as set forth above, *see supra* at ¶¶ 459–480, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley knowingly, or with reckless disregard for the truth, caused a false claim for mortgage insurance coverage for HUD Loan No. 17 to be presented to an officer,

employee, or agent of the United States, namely HUD, in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729(1)(A).

- 599. Further, Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley knowingly, or with reckless disregard for the truth, caused false records and false statements to be made or used to get a false claim for mortgage insurance coverage for HUD Loan No. 17 paid by HUD, in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729(1)(B).
- 600. In addition, and in violation of 31 U.S.C. § 3729(1)(C), Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley conspired to cause a false claim mortgage insurance coverage for HUD Loan No. 17 to be presented to HUD, or to cause false records and false statements to be made or used to get a false claim for mortgage insurance coverage for HUD Loan No. 17 paid by HUD.
- 601. By reason of the fraudulent conduct of Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley in connection with obtaining HUD insurance for HUD Loan No. 17, the United States has sustained \$482,470 in damages to date, and such further damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

EIGHTEENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

FOR AN INJUNCTION UNDER THE FRAUD INJUNCTION STATUTE (Against Cohen and Buckley)

602. Allegations in paragraphs 1-601 are realleged and incorporated herein by reference.

- 603. Cohen and Buckley knowingly and intentionally devised, and participated in, schemes to defraud the United States, specifically HUD, of money and property, by abusing the HUD mortgage insurance program; and to commit frauds that affected home-buyers, financial institutions, and HUD.
- 604. For the purpose of executing their schemes to defraud, Cohen and Buckley sent or delivered, or caused to be sent or delivered, to HUD and to financial institutions false and misleading statements and information in Loan Applications, HUD-1 Settlement Statements, MCAW forms, and appraisal reports, and other documents, by interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violations of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.
- 605. As set forth above, see supra at ¶¶ 481–514, Cohen and Buckley have been, and can be expected to continue, devising, and participating in, schemes to defraud HUD by fraudulently obtaining HUD mortgage insurance for sales of residential properties at inflated valuations, and to commit mail and wire fraud that affect home-buyers, financial institutions, and HUD. Specifically, in 2010, Cohen and Buckley orchestrated more than thirty-five such fraudulent flip sales. In connection with those frauds, Cohen and Buckley sent, or caused to be sent, false statements and information to HUD and to financial institutions, by interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.
- 606. Further, in 2011, and notwithstanding the entry of an injunction against Cohen in December 2010, Cohen and Buckley continued to attempt to orchestrate fraudulent flip sales using HUD-insured mortgage loans. Accordingly, it is highly probable that Cohen and Buckley will continue to devise schemes to

commit fraud on HUD and financial institutions, using interstate mail carriers and interstate wire, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1341 and 1343.

- 607. Cohen's and Buckley's ongoing mortgage fraud scheme poses the prospect of a continuing and substantial injury to the United States, its citizens, its financial institutions, and the secondary marketplace for mortgage loans.
- 608. Accordingly, Cohen's and Buckley's ongoing fraudulent conduct should be enjoined pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1345.

WHEREFORE, the United States prays for judgment against defendants as follows:

- (a) With respect to each claim for civil penalties under FIRREA (Claims Nos. 1–15), a judgment imposing civil penalties against each defendant charged under each claim, up to the maximum amount of \$1,000,000 allowed by law;
- (b) With respect to the FCA claim arising from HUD's payment of a mortgage insurance claim for HUD Loan No. 16 (Claim No. 16), a judgment against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, and Micheline, holding them jointly and severally liable to the United States for the amount of \$786,303, plus such appropriate civil penalties to be determined by the Court;
- (c) With respect to the FCA claim arising from a claim on HUD for mortgage insurance coverage for the default of HUD Loan No. 17 (Claim No. 17), a judgment against Cohen, the Cohen Entities, the Cambridge Defendants, Buckley Consulting, and Buckley, holding them jointly and severally liable to the United States for the amount of \$1,447,410, plus such appropriate civil penalties to be determined by the Court;

- (d) With respect to the Fraud Injunction Claim (Claim No. 18), the entry of a preliminary injunction and a permanent injunction against Cohen and Buckley:
 - i. Enjoining Cohen and Buckley, and any of their employees, agents, assigns, or any persons acting on their behalf, from (1) participating in any real estate transaction that involves any request or application for mortgage insurance from HUD or FHA; and (2) advertising, marketing to the public, otherwise soliciting business that involve the origination of any federally-insured home mortgage loans;
 - ii. Ordering Cohen and Buckley to identify to the United States, within seven (7) days of the entry of the preliminary injunction, all business entities in which any of them holds a greater than 10% interest or over which either exercises any control;
 - iii. Ordering Cohen and Buckley to notify the United States within three
 (3) days of any of them having acquired a greater than 10% interest
 in a business or having acquired any control over a business;
 - iv. Directing Cohen and Buckley, and any of their employees, agents, assigns, or any persons acting on their behalf, to notify the United States within 48 hours of the following events: (1) the execution of an agreement for the purchase of a residential property in any real estate transaction in which any of them participates in any capacity; (2) the making of an application to obtain a mortgage loan to finance the purchase of a residential property, or to refinance a residential

property, in connection with a real estate transaction in which any of them participates in any capacity; (3) the disbursement of a mortgage loan in connection with a residential real estate transaction in which any of them participates in any capacity; and (4) the default by one or more buyers on a mortgage loan originated in connection with a residential real estate transaction in which any of them participates in any capacity;

- v. Directing each of Cohen and Buckley to submit, within ten days after the end of quarter following the entry of the preliminary and permanent injunction, sworn statements to the United States that set forth, for the preceding quarter, the following information: (i) Cohen's or Buckley's total income for that quarter, including, but not limited to, any income derived from any business in which Cohen or Buckley has any ownership interest or control; (ii) the sources of Cohen's or Buckley's income during that quarter; and (iii) all business entities or real estate properties in which Cohen or Buckley held any interest during the quarter;
- vi. Ordering Cohen and Buckley to show a copy of the preliminary injunction and, if extant, the permanent injunction to all their employees, agents, and any other persons acting on their behalf, as well as to all prospective home-buyers to whom Cohen or Buckley seeks to sell a property; and
- vii. Ordering Cohen and Buckley to file with the Court, and serve upon

the United States, a written report, within fourteen (14) days of the entry of the preliminary and the permanent injunction, in which report each shall set forth, under oath, the manner of its compliance with each provision of each injunction.

- (e) With respect to the FIRREA Claims and the FCA Claims (Claims Nos.1- 17), a preliminary injunction and a permanent injunction against the Cambridge Defendants:
 - i. Enjoining the Cambridge Defendants, and any of their employees, agents, assigns, or any persons acting on their behalf, from (1) obtaining mortgage insurance fraudulently from HUD; (2) using the mail or write transmissions, or causing the use of the mail or wire transmissions, to defraud HUD or to execute a scheme to defraud that affects a financial institution; (3) destroying, altering, disposing of or in any other fashion failing to maintain business, financial, accounting, real estate and legal records; (4) originating, processing, or preparing any application for mortgage insurance from HUD; and (5) advertising, marketing to the public, or otherwise soliciting business to originate, or otherwise handle, any federally-insured home mortgage loans;
 - ii. Ordering the Cambridge Defendants to identify to the United States, within seven (7) days of the entry of the preliminary injunction, all business entities in which any of them holds a greater than 10% interest or over which any exercises any control;

- iii. Ordering the Cambridge Defendants to notify the United States within three (3) days of any of them having acquired a greater than 10% interest in a business or having acquired any control over a business; and
- iv. Ordering the Cambridge Defendants to file with the Court, and serve upon the United States, a written report, within fourteen (14) days of the entry of the preliminary and the permanent injunction, in which report each shall set forth, under oath, the manner of its compliance with each provision of each injunction.
- (f) With respect to the FIRREA Claims and the FCA Claims, a preliminary injunction and a permanent injunction against Goldberg and Micheline:
 - i. Enjoining Goldberg and Micheline, and any of their employees, agents, assigns, or any persons acting on their behalf, from (1) preparing, reviewing, approving or submitting appraisal reports in connection with a real estate sale or refinancing to be financed through a HUD-insured mortgage loan; (2) advertising, marketing to the public, or otherwise soliciting business to provide appraisal services in connection with any federally-insured home mortgage loans; and (3) destroying, altering, disposing of or in any other fashion failing to maintain business, financial, accounting, real estate and legal records;
 - ii. Ordering Goldberg and Micheline to identify to the United States, within seven (7) days of the entry of the preliminary injunction, all

business entities in which any of them holds a greater than 10% interest or over which any exercises any control;

iii. Ordering Goldberg and Micheline to notify the United States within

three (3) days of any of them having acquired a greater than 10%

interest in a business or having acquired any control over a business;

and

iv. Ordering Goldberg and Micheline to file with the Court, and serve

upon the United States, a written report, within fourteen (14) days of

the entry of the preliminary and the permanent injunction, in which

report each shall set forth, under oath, the manner of its compliance

with each provision of each injunction.

(g) For costs of suit;

(h) For reasonable attorneys fees; and,

(i) For such further relief that the Court deems just.

Dated: February 15, 2012 New York, New York

> PREET BHAHARA United States Attorney

Southern District of New York

By:

CRISTINE IRVIN PHILLIPS

Assistant United States Attorneys

Attorneys for Plaintiff the United States

Erin Davis

From:

Erin Davis

Sent:

Wednesday, April 25, 2007 1:15 PM

To: Subject: 'Wendy Perkins' RE: Morales

Hi Ms. Wendy,

I want to help you. Mitch will be there shortly and hopefully we can get this all resolved. I am so soory that you are stressed over this. I appreciate everything you have done.

From: Wendy Perkins [mailto:WPerkins@HomeCap.com]

Sent: Wednesday, April 25, 2007 12:48 PM

To: Erin Davis Cc: Jackie Derrell Subject: Morales

Hi Erin,

As per our conversation yesterday regarding the certified bank check in the amount of \$3,363.00 from Northfork bank. Did you get a chance to speak with Mitch regarding this error.

- 1. The First check in the amount of \$9,000.00 from WAMU savings account # 936-30818850 came from the borrowers Dad. The additional \$3,363.00 must come out of this account as well.
- 2. I need an additional gift letter completed and signed reflecting the \$3,363.00 came from the WAMU savings account # 936-30818850 which is the Dad's account. Please prepare a letter from the Dad stating that he did not realize he needed to give his son a gift totalling 12,363.00 and this is why he took out an additional gift of \$3,363.00. I need this letter in my loan file because of the different dates of the withdrawals from the Dads Savings account.
- 3. I need you to confirm with me how you would like to retrieve the incorrect bank certified check for \$3,363.00 from North Fork, is Mitch going to pick this up? Please Make him hurry up.... (LOL) as I need to get this file QC'd and shipped out.

E I already reworked the loan to show that Leonardo's accounts are being paid off and not Jannettes, it worked out I told Leonardo that He and Jannette will have to come in to resign the 1003's reflecting this. He said no problem. I also prepared a letter of explanation for Jannette stating that she is disputing the WFNB Express act # 518189000538 from 10/01 with a balance of \$579.00 she has to sign this letter. (This change is do to her credit now not being paid) I needed to make the DTI work and stay the same as the 1st approval.

Call Me when you get this.

Thanks

Wendy

Uniform Residential Loan Application

6 Of 210	3
	REMEMBER:
	Sign everywhere you
	see this "Check." TM

This application is designed to be completed by the applicant(s) with the Lender's assistance. Applicants should complete this form as "Borrower" or "Co-Borrower," as applicable. Co-Borrower information must also be provided (and the appropriate box checked) when the moome or assets of a person other than the Borrower (including the Borrower's spouse) will be used as a basis for loan qualification or the income or assets of the Borrower's spouse or other person who has community property rights pursuant to applicable taw and Borrower resides in a community property state, the security property is located in a community property state, or the Borrower is relying on other property located in a community property state as a basis for repayment of the this is an application for joint credit, Borrower and Co-Borrower sich agree that we intend to apply for joint credit (sign below): 1. TYPE OF MORTGAGE AND TERMS OF LOAN Mortgage Applied for: □ VA Conventional Other (explain) Agency Case Number Lender Case Number BEHA ☐ USDA/Rural Housing Service B Rixed Rate Amortization Type Other (explain) SYGU ☐ GPM ARM (type): H. PROPERTY INFORMATION AND PURPOSE OF LOAN VPmrV ion of Subject Property (attach description if necessary) Year Built Purpose of Loan Purchase ☐ Construction Other (explain): Property will be ☐ Refinance Construction-Permanent Primary Residence ☐ Secondary Residence ☐ Investment Complete this line if construction or construction-permanent loan. Original Cost (b) Cost of Improvements Total (a+b) Acquired Complete this line if this is a refinance loan. Original Cost Purpose of Refinance Amount Existing Liens □ made Describe Improvements T to be made Acquired Cost \$ Manner in which Title will be held Title will be held in what Name(s) Estate will be held in ringo Fee Simple Leasehold (show expiration date) Cornina HL BORROWER INFORMATIO or St/II applicable) wer's Name (include Ir or DOB (mm/dd/yvyy) Yrs School USI- 64-1594 U51-61-151 24414 Married ☐ Unmarried tinclude ☐ Married Commarried (in 16,200 ☐ Separated single, divorced, widowed) single, divorced, widowed) Present Address (street, city, state, ZIP) Own BRent Dis Yes Present Address (street, city, state, ZIP) Ow: Rent Mailing Address, if different from Present Address If residing at present address for less than two years, complete the following: Own Former Address (street, city, state, ZIP) □Rent ____ No Yrs Own IV. EMPLOYMENT INFORMATION ☐ Self Employed Yrs on this job Yrs on this job L'M America Numgius Christian Home. 1250 Yrs, employed in this line of work/profession. 711-176-247) MC, 1,711 272.575 If employed in current position for less than two years or if currently employed in more than one posi-Name & Address of Employer Dates (from - to) Name & Address of Employer ☐ Self Employed Dates (from - to) Monthly Income Monthly Income Position/Title/Type of Business Business Phone (incl. area code) Position/Title/Type of Business Business Phone (incl. area code) Name & Address of Employer ☐ Self Employed Dates (from - to) Name & Address of Employer ☐ Self Employed Dates (from - to) Monthly Income Monthly Income Position/Title/Type of Business Business Phone (incl. area code) Position/Title/Type of Business Business Phone (incl. area code)



Gross Monthly Income	Borrower	colortonis	Total	Combined Monthly Housing Expense	Present	Proposed
Base Empl. Income*	53311	3	\$ 6454.	Rent	\$ 1300,	
Overtime	,,,		010	Fust Mortgage (P&I)		224)
Boruses				Other Financing (P&I)		
Commusions				Hazard Insurance		75
Dividends-Interest				Real Estate Taxes		80
Net Rental Income				Mortgage instrance		110
Other (before completing, see				Homeowner Assn Dues		1 - 7
the notice in "describe other meane," below)				Other.		
Total	5 2111	5 7 171	5 (4)	Total	\$ 1364	5)00>

Other (before completing, see the notice in "describe other	ſ	. H	lomeowner Assn. Dues	10.00	
meome," below)			Other.		
Total S	3111 23	171 S (04 []. T	otal	5 1366	15 707
* Self Employed Roppose	(a) may be required to provide ad-	ditional documentation such as tax returns and	Connected at a transmission	,	7,70
Seu Employed horrower	a) may be required to provide ad-	ditional documentation such as tax returns and	tinanciai statements.		
Describe Other Income			te maintenance income need not be		
		if the Barrower (B) or Co-Borrow for repaying this loan.	er (C) does not choose to have it co	nsidered	
BC		****		M	onthly Amerun
	-			S	
	- 7.55				
				9.5	
		VL ASSETS AND FIABILI	TENTE TO THE TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE TOTAL PRO		
This Statement and any applicable s meaningfully and fairly presented or	apporting schedules may be compl in a combined basis, otherwise, sers	leted jointly by both instrued and ununarried Co-l arate Statements and Schedules are required. If t	Borrowers if their assets and liabilitie the Co-Borrower section was complet	es are sufficiently joined : ted about a non-applicant	so that the Statement can be
Statement and supporting schedules i	nust be completed about that spous	e or other person also	The construction of the co	ted decar b stort approprie	species or cuter parsers un
			Con	apleted 🗆 fourtly 🗀 No	t Jointly
ASSETS	Cash or	Liabilities and Pledged Assets. List the	he creditor's name, address, and a	ccount number for all c	utstanding debts, including
	Market Value	automobile loans, revolving charge account	nts, real estate loans, alimony, child :	support, stock pledges, et	C Use continuation sheet i
Description	-	necessary Indicate by (*) those liabilities property.	, which will be satisfied upon sale of	rear estate owned or tipo	on retinancing of the subject
Cash deposit toward Purcluse held by	\$ 1				
Purchase nead by	1000	LIABILITIES	W.S. W.		
List checking and savings accounts	below	LIABILITIES	Monthly Pays Months Left		Unpaid Balance
Name and address of Bank, S&L, or	Credit Union	Name and address of Company	\$ Payment/Months	s	
,	7 (1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1 m 1	7. 7.	73	1	Trrl.
. Curry a		1000		_	
		1 (101)			
Page 100	. 15 (/ .	- GENIS.	——	· /	1450
Name and address of Bank, Sel., or	Craft Driver	Acet. no. Name and address of Company	S Donners A familie	5	19) 1/2
College Selle	Croan Onion	Name and address of Company	\$ Payment/Months		1214
0-10) (14	ancelle.	MIL MIL.) _	1759
		11			
- Annual Control of the Control of t		(hu)/-		,	796.
Acct. no.	S	Acet no		20	176,
Name and address of Bank, S&L, or	Credit Union	Name and address of Company	\$ Payment/Months	S	u < .
7 10 10 W	manin	ZW11.		-0.	7 76.
200 20		11			
		1964		7	11)
Acct no	\$	Acet. no. / '		/	/)/.
Name and address of Bank, S&L, or	Credit Union	Name and address of Company	\$ Payment/Months	. ~ 3	CC
		1 your UsiTuse 1967	10.	1) 7.
		11.00			
		HTC.			1
Acet no	S	Acet no		y	10CL).
Stocks & Bonds (Company name: number & description)	\$	Name and address of Company	\$ Payment/Months	s	7720
		U114111111 -104	1166.	91	941
		LIVISC.		./	, .
		Acet. no		51-	1172.
Life insurance net cash value	5	Name and address of Company	\$ Payment/Months	5	- 11 /
	790	F15176.		9	1646.
Face amount \$			L	27	
Subtotal Liquid Assets	S	I FISHC.		61.	14,
Real estate owned (enter market value	S	1	1	011.	150
from schedule of real estate owned) Vested interest in retirement final	S	- Agore (A+) -Tub	e next	49	10.67.
Net worth of business(es) owned	S	Acct no	1	77	11 .
(attach financial statement)	100				3 -
Automobiles owned (make and year)	s	Alimony/Child Support/Separate Maintenance Payments Owed to:	S		The second second
2,737.5		The state of the s			S W. F. Carl Bridges
				1, 2, 3,	
Other Assets (itemize)	S	Job-Related Expense (child care, union due	es, etc.) \$		1 3 %
1 / 1	24	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
C(nw)	ZUNW			2.0	
t'm'		1	- /	1	10 A 12
n		Total Monthly Payments	S		

Net Worth (a mims b)

Total Assels a.

<i>i</i> -			I VRIETLIES (en				
		VL ASSETS AND I		nt d)			
chedule of Real Estate Owned (If additions		ed, use continuation sheet)	TWO IN THE			/4	
roperty Address (enter S if sold, PS if pendic ental being held for income)	ng sale or R if	Type of Property Present Market	Amount of Mortgages &	Gross Rental	Mortgage	Insurance, Maintenance	Net Rer
	- , -	vame	Lieus	Income	Payments	Taxes & Mis	e Incen
		S	s	s	S	s	s
			1	-		_	
		, Totals \$	5	s	s	s	s
ist any additional names under which cre	dit has previously i	een received and indicate appropriat	e creditor name(s) an	d account number(s	:		
Alternate Name		Credi	tor Name			Account Number	
				_			
	- 100 m s 5 5 5 5				4.5.50 and and	_	
VILDELAH SOFTRANS	1	If you snawer "Yes" to any que		VIII. DECLARA		rower	Co-Borrowe
Purchase price	Sycal	please use continuation sheet for			Yes	No	Yes
Alterations, improvements, repairs	11/	a Are there any judgments again		11000			
Land (if acquired separately)		b Have you been declared bank c Have you had property forcel	name (Character Farming Section 2)				
Refinance (incl. debts to be paid off)	-	or deed in lieu thereof in the l		***			
Estimated prepaid stems Estimated closing costs		d Are you a party to a lawsuit? e. Have you directly or indirectly	y been obligated on an	v		-	_ /
PMI, MIP. Funding Fee		loan which resulted in forcelo in lieu of forcelosure, or judg	source, transfer of title			8	_ /
Discount (if Borrower will pay)		(This would include such loa improvement loans, educationa	ns as home mortgag.	e Ioans, SBA Ioans,	home		
Total costs (add items a through h)		mortgage, financial obligation, b including date, name, and address	oend, or loan guarante	e. If "Yes," provide o	letails,	j	
		reasons for the action)			_	100	
Subordinate financing		 f Are you presently delinquent loan, mortgage, financial obli 			, 0		`
7		If "Yes," give details as descr g. Are you obligated to pay alim	100	P.)		-	
Borrower's closing costs paid by Seller		h Is any part of the down paym		separate medicinative		6	
Other Credits (explain)		Are you a co-maker or endors	ser co a note"				
On the second se		—					
Loan amount (exclude PMI, MIP, Funding Fee financed)	351.00	J. Are you a U S catazen'			-8		
12	351,90	k Are you a permanent resident	71217121		_		
		k Are you a permanent resident	ahen"				_
PMI, MIP, Funding Fee financed	5300	ST PRO STORY OF THE STREET			П	7	_
PMI, MIP, Funding Fee financed	5352	1 Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in be	property as your pr	imary residence?	-		6
	5352	l Do you intend to occupy the	property as your procedow.		0		_
Loan amount (add in & n)	5352	Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in b Have you had an ownership i (1) What type of property did	property as your prictow. Interest in a property in	the last three years?	-		
Loan amount (add in & n)	5352	I Do you intend to occupy the if "Yes," complete question in b in Have you had an ownership it (1) What type of property did second home (SH i, or in). (2) How did you hold title to:	e property as your prictow. Interest in a property in I you own – principal r estiment property (IP)? the frame – solely by y	the last three years? esidence (PR). curself (S),	-		
ach of the undersigned specifically represent e information provided in this application is	5352 34745	I Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in bit If "Yes," complete question in bit If "Yes," complete question in the second home (SH1, or inv (2) How did you hold fille to journly will your spouse (3). **CKNOWLEDGEN** Lender's actual or potential agents, brid of the date set forth opposite my agent.	property as your prictow. It is a property in it you own – principal if the home – solely by y SP), or jointly with an IENT ND GR kers, processors, after and that any inter-	the last three years? esidence (PR), ourself (S), other person(O)? FEATEN asys, unurers, services thoual or negligent on	a. successors and assisted	igns and agrees are	ad acknowledges that and in this appli
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) the of the undersigned specifically represent automation provided in this application is yresult in evol liability, including moute bading, but not limited to, fine or impresentaging or deed of must on the property de proce of obsuming a residential mortgage to this application, whether or not the Loom seemes oblimpent, the formation to one or more consumer reportion in agents, brokers, incurrent, servicers, as means also of this application of this application as an "electrosmile transmission of this application consistent."	is to Lender and to strue and correct array chunges, to an ment or both under it service in this approved, (7) the Le information provides to the service of	I Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in b in "Have you lind an ownership in (1) What type of property did second home (SH), or my (2) How did you hold title to jointly wall your spouse (i). LENDWIEDGIA Lender's actual or potential agents, hed of the date set forth opposite my agen person; who may suffer any loss doe he provisions of Title 18. United States cation: (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as undiracted in this ap- neader and its agents, brokers, insurers, a will be occupied as undiracted in this ap- neader and its agents, brokers, insurers, a ded in this application of any of the mat s, successors or assigns may, in addition as successors or assigns may, in addition the successors or assigns may, in addition to the successors or assigns may, in addition to the successors or assigns may, in addition to the successors or assigns may, in addition of my indicate the successors of the successors or assigns may, in addition of my indicate the successors or assigns may, in addition of my indicate the successors or assigns may, in addition of my indicate the successors or assigns may, in addition of my indicate the successors or assigns may, in addition of my indicate the successors of the successors or assigns may, in addition of the successors or assigns may, in addition of the successor of the successors or assigns may, in addition of the successor of	property as your prictow. It is a property in a property in the lance – solely by y SP), or jointly with an IEEE AD ACR Kers, processors, after tore fattered that any intertor relizance type and pleastor. (5) the lends servicers, successors, a reral facts that I have, a to any of the Loan account to a property, express or implied to terms are defined in the terms are defined in the country of	the last three years? estdence (PR), oraself (S), other person(O)? FEMENT bys. unsurers, services intonal or negligent or misrepresentation th q, (2) the loan reques colubited purpose or u i, its servicesis, siscees in disaging tany contineers controlled the remains that remedies that if may t may be transferred u i, to me requeding the in applicable federal at is if a paper version c igns, may verify or re-	a, successors and assistepresentation of this it have made on the ted pursuant to this up acc, (4) all statements sorts or assigns may removally rely on the inside change prior to diver relating to such the such as the condition of the condition of the condition of the condition of the supplication were relified to the supplication were relief to the supplication were relief to the supplication which is the supplication which is the supplication of the supplication of the supplication which is the supplication of t	igns and agrees at a sufformation core is application, air is application, die "Le made in dius application (the "Le made in dius application (de "Le made in dius application delinqueixy, iep y be required by its diffing attale and e delivered contained in this	ad acknowledges that and an interpretable for an errormant personal persona
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) A o from t) the of the undersigned specifically represent information provided in this application is information provided in this application is information. In the limited to, there or impresent placing, but not limited to, there or impresent place or deed of units on the property de proses of obstaining a residential mortigage is this application, whether or not the Loan is mobilised to amend and/or supplement to supplement to supplement or this new less thank to the control of the supplement of this application as an "electrosmile transmission of this application as an "electrosmile transmission of this application consistent of the supplement." Each of the undersigned formation or deaf Telating to the Loan for a supplement.	is to Lender and to strue and correct array chunges, to an ment or both under it service in this approved, (7) the Le information provides to the service of	1 Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in be Have you led an ownership in Have you led an ownership in the year of property did second home (SH), or my (2) How did you hold title to jointly will your spouse (1) the year of the date set forth opposite my agent person who may suffer any less any person who may suffer any less are provious or Title 18, Unsted States carton. (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as undicated in this appender and its agents, brokers, masters, it ded in this application if any of the mat supplication or assigns may, in addition tensible of the Loan and/or administration or warranting my "electronic signature," as those of my signature, shall be as offective, east lest any owner of the Loan, its service as that any owner of the Loan, its service.	property as your prictow. It is a property in a property in the lance – solely by y SP), or jointly with an IEEE AD ACR Kers, processors, after tore fattered that any intertor relizance type and pleastor. (5) the lends servicers, successors, a reral facts that I have, a to any of the Loan account to a property, express or implied to terms are defined in the terms are defined in the country of	the last three years? estdence (PR), oraself (S), other person(O)? FEMENT bys. unsurers, services intonal or negligent or misrepresentation th q, (2) the loan reques colubited purpose or u i, its servicesis, siscees in disaging tany contineers controlled the remains that remedies that if may t may be transferred u i, to me requeding the in applicable federal at is if a paper version c igns, may verify or re-	a, successors and assistepresentation of this it have made on the ted pursuant to this up acc, (4) all statements sorts or assigns may removally rely on the inside change prior to diver relating to such the such as the condition of the condition of the condition of the condition of the supplication were relified to the supplication were relief to the supplication were relief to the supplication which is the supplication which is the supplication of the supplication of the supplication which is the supplication of t	igns and agrees at a sufformation core is application, air is application, die "Le made in dius application (the "Le made in dius application (de "Le made in dius application delinqueixy, iep y be required by its diffing attale and e delivered contained in this	ad acknowledges that and an interpretable for an errormant personal persona
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l k o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l k o from t) A o from t) the of the undersigned specifically represent unformation provided in this application is yresult in cival hability, including incured hading, but not limited to, time or impresentigage or deed of must on the property de pose of obsaming a residential inortigage le has application, whether or not the Loan is no obligated to amend and/or supplement in ments on the Loan become delinquest, the ormation to one or more consumer reporting its agents, brokers, incurrent, servicers, as insmission of this application as an "electromation or the or more of this application consisting the supplication of deat Talating to the Loan for a supplication of deat Talating to the Loan for a supplication of deat Talating to the Loan for a supplication of deat Talating to the Loan for a supplication of deat Talating to the Loan for a supplication of deat Talating to the Loan for a supplication of deat Talating to the Loan for a supplication of the suppli	is to Lender and to strue and correct array chunges, to an ment or both under it service in this approved, (7) the Le information provides to the service of	1 Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in be Have you led an ownership in Have you led an ownership in the year of property did second home (SH), or my (2) How did you hold title to jointly will your spouse (1) the year of the date set forth opposite my agent person who may suffer any less any person who may suffer any less are provious or Title 18, Unsted States carton. (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as undicated in this appender and its agents, brokers, masters, it ded in this application if any of the mat supplication or assigns may, in addition tensible of the Loan and/or administration or warranting my "electronic signature," as those of my signature, shall be as offective, east lest any owner of the Loan, its service as that any owner of the Loan, its service.	property as your prictow. It is a property in a property in the lance – solely by y SP), or jointly with an IEEE AD ACR Kers, processors, after tore fattered that any intertor relizance type and pleastor. (5) the lends servicers, successors, a reral facts that I have, a to any of the Loan account to a property, express or implied to terms are defined in the terms are defined in the country of	the last three years? estdence (PR), oraself (S), other person(O)? FEMENT bys. unsurers, services intonal or negligent or misrepresentation th q, (2) the loan reques colubited purpose or u i, its servicesis, siscees in disaging tany contineers controlled the remains that remedies that if may t may be transferred u i, to me requeding the in applicable federal at is if a paper version c igns, may verify or re-	a, successors and assistepresentation of this it have made on the ted pursuant to this up acc, (4) all statements sorts or assigns may removally rely on the inside change prior to diver relating to such the such as the condition of the condition of the condition of the condition of the supplication were relified to the supplication were relief to the supplication were relief to the supplication which is the supplication which is the supplication of the supplication of the supplication which is the supplication of t	igns and agrees at a sufformation core is application, air is application, die "Le made in dius application (the "Le made in dius application (de "Le made in dius application delinqueixy, iep y be required by its diffing attale and e delivered contained in this	ad acknowledges that and an interpretable for an errormant personal persona
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l k o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l k o from t) In of the undersigned specifically represent automation provided in this application is yesulf in eivel limited to, fine or imprisentating, but not limited to, fine or imprisentating, and to limited to the property depose of obstanting a residential mortgage to be application, whether or not the Loan is nobligated to amend and/or supplement if ments on the Loan become delimpsent, the tomation to one or more occusioner reporting the agents, brokers, insurers, servicers, as issuession of this application as an "electromic transmission of this application consistere". Each of the undersigned simulation or death failing to the Loan, for a moved degenerating to the Loan, for a moved degenerating to the Loan, for a moved degenerating to the Loan.	ats to Lender and to s true and correct as tary dunages, to an ament or both under to scribed in this apply approved, (7) the 1st approved, (7) the 1st property approved, (7) the 1st pro	1 Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in be If "Yes," complete question in be If "Yes," complete question in be If the you lind an ownership in (1) What type of property discount of the date set forth opposite my again the provisions of Title 18, Unsted States cation. (3) the property will not be use the provisions of Title 18, Unsted States cation. (3) the property will not be use the provisions of Title 18, Unsted States cation. (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as undicated in this appender and its agents, brokers, misures, a ded in this application of any of the nat s, successors or assigns may, in addition tensing of the Loan and/or administrate sing my "electronic signature," as thos of my signature, shall be as effective, e as that any owner of the Loan, its service as purpose through any source, including Date PORNATION FOR GOVER	in property as your priction. If you own – principal is estiment property (IP)? the home – solely by y SP), or jointly with an interest in a fact and that any interest or reliance upon any faced. See 1001, et a ed for any illegal or publication, (5) the lende servicers, sincressors, and for any illegal or publication, (5) the lende servicers, sincressors, and for heavy other rights are an of the Loein account and of the Loein account in the servicers are defined a suffered between the servicers and set of the servicers are defined a suffered belonger to the servicers and set of the servicers and assign a sounce manded in the servicers Signature.	the last three years? estdence (PR), ourself (S), other person(O)? FEMENT asys, usturers, services intend or negligent in misrepresentation th q, (2) the loan require orbibited purpose or u , its servicers, success and assigns may contingeresented herein she it remedies that it may terresented herein she it nemedies that it may terresented federal at as if a paper version of igns, may verify or re- us application or a con- gnature. ORING PURPOORING	s, successors and assisterpresentation of this of have made on the first part of the present to this up acc, (4) all statements occur as assisted to the same and of the same prior to of have relating to such the such audio notice as many property or the conditudes state fews (excliding the same property of the conditudes state fews) (excliding the same property of the conditudes at the same property of the conditudes are successful to the same property of the conditudes are successful to the same property of the conditudes are successful to the same property of the same	gens and agrees are is information over a spiniorimation of the "Lo made in this application (the "Lo made in this application of the Loan delanquency, rep to the Loan delanquency, rep to the contained in the delivered contained in this contained in this contained in the contained in the contained in the contained in this contained in the conta	ad acknowledges that and a this application are made made an allowance and a section and a section are made an electronic and a section are made an electronic and in the application. (8) in the event the ord my cause and a law, (10) mether 1 is property, and (1) wideo recordings), among my original of application or obtaining my original of application or obtaining my original of the property and (1) application or obtaining my original of a polymer and a pol
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j. k. l. k. o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j. k. l. k. o from t) A o from t) The of the undersigned specifically represent unformation provided in this application is yresult in cival hisbility, including monethading, but not limited to, time or impresentings or deed of unst on the property depose of obstanting a residential mortgage in a obligated to amend and/or supplement if ments on the Loan become delurquest, thomation to comend and/or supplement in transition or or ment consumer reports it is agenta, brokers, insurers, servicers, as minimum or delurgation of this application or sustained to the subtraction or delurgation of the undersigned or matter or delurgation or delurgation or delurgation or delurgation or delurgation of the Loan. For a mower resignature Following information is requested by the stagge disclosure laws. You are not require choose to furnish it. If you furnish the air takense to furnish it. If you furnish the air takense is female as required to note the	ats to Lender and to strue and correct as rary damages, to an unrit or both under tarry approved, (7) the tenders of the information provided the information provided the information of the information of the information of the information, a faculty of the information, and information, please per the formation, please per information on the information of the in	i Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in be second home (SH), or my (2) How this yout polar the second home (SH), or my (2) How this yout polar to joundly wall your spouse (I. CKNOWLEDGEN Lender's actual or potential agents, brol of the date set forth opposite my agen person who may suffer any loss doe he provisions of Title IR, United States catton. (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as undirated in this ap- reader and its agents, brokers, assurers, is actual. (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as undirated in this ap- reader and its agents, brokers, assurers, is, successors or assigns may, in addition as into the complete of the last and the administrate has made any representation or warran ing my "electronic signature," as thos of my signature, shall be as effective, e as their my owner of the Loun, its service as purpose through any source, suclaulin Date Date FOR INTION FOR GOVER If or certain lypes of loans related to a omnation, but are encouraged to do so wide both ethnicity and race. For race, sass of visual observation and summuse	property as your prictow. It is a property in a property in the low in the rest in a property (IP)? the home — solely by y SP), or jointly with an SP), or jointly with an It is respective and that ary inter to relistance typin any Code. Sec. 1001, et as different typin any Code. Sec. 1001, et as different construction and that ary internal facts that I have in to any other rights an one of the Loem accounty, express or implied terms are defined in unforceable and visited as a some enamed and in the community, express or implied to terms are defined in unforceable and visited as a some enamed and in the law provides that you may check more!	the last three years? estdence (PR), oraself (S), other person(O)? FEMENT says, unsurers, services thoral or negligent or misrepresentation the q. (2) the loan reques individual purpose or u r, its servicest, success di assigns may contine temperature the remarkered v to the regarding the asplicable federal as as if a paper version or igns, may verify or re- us applicable federal as as if a paper version or orange. ORIN, PURPO owner the lender's con a lender may not disc ham one designation application in person	s, successors and assisterpresentation of the state of the second of the	igns and agrees are sufformation or supplication (the "Lo made in this person of the Loan delanquistry, reputation of the Loan delanquistry, reputation or value of the Loan delanquistry, reputation or value of the Loan delanquistry, reputation or contained in this contained and the delivered contained in this contained in this contained in the contained of the loan of the loa	ad acknowledges that and in this application are made entirely will be secure licetion; are made and/or an electronic off in the application and/or an electronic off in the application (8) in the experience of the application of the property; and (1) wides recordings), name any original values and application or obtaining the property of the application or obtaining the application or obtaining the application or obtaining the application or obtaining the application or on whell sex, under Federal antient, please check and the application of the application
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) the of the undersigned specifically represent automation provided in this application is young to be undersigned to be undersigned in the property depress of obtaining a residential mortgage is this application, whether or not the Loan is obligated to amend and/or supplement the ments on the Loan become delinquest, the committee of the town in obligated to amend and/or supplement in a new paper of the supplement of this application to one or more consumer reporting it is agerta, beckers, incurrent, servicers, as insmission of this application of this application as an "electrosmile transmission of this application or as a release summer to be undersigned formation or dystallating to the Loan force of the committee of the supplication in the supplication, the supplication, the supplication, the supplication, the supplication, the supplication, the supplication of the s	is to Lender and to strue and correct and correct any demapes, to an ment or both under tarribed in this apply approved, (7) the 10-le more to the information of the information of the information attaining, a faces under the information of the information on the information, please per information, please information, please information on the information of the informat	i Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in be second home (SH), or my (2) How this yout polar the second home (SH), or my (2) How this yout polar to joundly wall your spouse (I. CKNOWLEDGEN Lender's actual or potential agents, brol of the date set forth opposite my agen person who may suffer any loss doe he provisions of Title IR, United States catton. (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as undirated in this ap- reader and its agents, brokers, assurers, is actual. (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as undirated in this ap- reader and its agents, brokers, assurers, is, successors or assigns may, in addition as into the complete of the last and the administrate has made any representation or warran ing my "electronic signature," as thos of my signature, shall be as effective, e as their my owner of the Loun, its service as purpose through any source, suclaulin Date Date FOR INTION FOR GOVER If or certain lypes of loans related to a omnation, but are encouraged to do so wide both ethnicity and race. For race, sass of visual observation and summuse	property as your prictow. It is a property in a property in the same property (IP)? The lawner of t	the last three years? estdence (PR), oraself (S), other person(O)? FEMENT says, unsurers, services thoral or negligent or misrepresentation the q. (2) the loan reques individual purpose or u r, its servicest, success di assigns may contine temperature the remarkered v to the regarding the asplicable federal as as if a paper version or igns, may verify or re- us applicable federal as as if a paper version or orange. ORIN, PURPO owner the lender's con a lender may not disc ham one designation application in person	a, successors and assistepresentation of this of I have made on the ted pursuant to thus up ace, (4) all statements sors or assigns may remove you have relating to such that auch notice as may property or the conditable of this auch notice as may property or the conditable of this application were rerify any information were rerify any information were assured to the property of the conditable of the supplication were reporting agent in the property of the conditable of the property of the	igns and agrees are information costs and agrees are information (the "Le made in this application (the Loan delinquency, rep to required by the required by the required to the delivered contained in this cy. Date Date A part of this information of this information is affected to the information of this information in the information of the information in the informaticular type of let.	ad acknowledges that and in this application are made entirely will be secure licetion; are made and/or an electronic off in the application and/or an electronic off in the application (8) in the experience of the application of the property; and (1) wides recordings), name any original values and application or obtaining the property of the application or obtaining the application or obtaining the application or obtaining the application or obtaining the application or on whell sex, under Federal antient, please check and the application of the application
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) the of the undersigned specifically represent automatace provided in this application is yresult in evel inhibity, including moute hading, but not limited to, fine or impresent page or deed of must on the property depress of obsuming a residential mortgage to this application, whether or not the Loon is in obligated to amend and/or supplement thyments on the Loon become oblimpent, the ornation to one or more consumer reports in a segral, brokers, insurens, servicers, as memission of this application as an "electrical sunite transmission of this application consistency with the control of the supplementation of the supplem	is to Lender and to strue and correct as farry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, (3) the property approved, (7) the I be information proce to Lender. It is serviced page and a sarry approved, (7) the I be information processive or assigns rouse record "contain attaining, a facsimalle hereby expression length of the processive of the processive of the information of the I sarry and the I sarry and	1 Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in be in Have you led an ownership in Have you led an ownership in the young of the complete question in be second home (SH), or my (2) How did you hold title to journly wall your spouse (1). **CNOW EDITION** Lender's a netted or potential agents, brol of the date set forth opposite my signar person who may suffer any less of the provincing of Title IR, United States cattom. (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as undirected in this appender and its agents, brokers, misures, and be made any representation or warranting my "electronic signature," as the samed any representation or warranting my "electronic signature," as the samed any representation or warranting my "electronic signature," as the samed any representation or warranting my "electronic signature," as the same of my signature, shall be as effective, east list any owner of the Loan, its services purpose through any source, including the property of the propert	interest in a property in a property in a property in a property (IP)? The home — solely by y SP), or jointly with an interest in a property (IP)? The home — solely by y SP), or jointly with an interest in the arrow of the and that any interest to reliance upon any placation. (5) the lends servicers, successors, and for any illegal or pulcation, (5) the lends servicers, successors, and for any illegal or pulcation, (5) the lends servicers, successors and for any illegal or pulcation, (5) the lends servicers, successors and asset as the servicers are defuned in the pulcation of the local accounts are defuned in the servicers are defuned in the ser	the last three years? estdence (PR), ourself (S), other person(O)? FEMENT bys. unsurers, services intonal or negligent or misrepresentation th q. (2) the loan requise columbited purpose or u q, its servicens, success contained to the large of the columbited purpose or u q, its servicens, success to a special propose or u q, its servicens, success to a paper derivent of the columbited purpose to a paper derivent or a paper derivent or a core contained to the columbited purpose the columbited purpose to a special purpose to a paper version or a core contained to the columbited purpose to a lender may not disc the columbited purpose to the lender's con a lender may not disc the columbited purpose and the columbited purpose to the columbi	s, successors and assistepresentation of this if have made on the first particular to this up act, (4) all statements sors or assigns may removely rely on the in under the conduction and the successor of the conduction and	gens and agrees are in information core is information or is application. The made in this application (the "Le made in this application (the "Le made in this application of the Loan delanquency, rep typion or value of the inding andio and the delivered contains of the contained in this cy. Date Date defit opportunity, roces or furnish the informaticular type of lecture the contained in the	ad acknowledges that and a this applies at a command per an army will be secure incation are made and a secure and a secur
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) the of the undersigned specifically represent information provided in this application is yesself in evel hability, including incure hading, but not limited to, fine or impresentage or deed of unst on the property de proses of obstaining a residential mortgage is this application, whether or not the Loan is nobligated to amend and/or supplement it will be applicated to a mend and/or supplement the transition to one or more consumer reportion its agents, biotecter, insurent, servicers, as insurasion of this application as an "electromic transmission of this application or electromic transmission of this application of the indicator of the undersigned consistence of the supplication of the transmission of the undersigned consistence of the undersigned cons	is to Lender and to strue and correct as farry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, (3) the property approved, (7) the I be information proce to Lender. It is serviced page and a sarry approved, (7) the I be information processive or assigns rouse record "contain attaining, a facsimalle hereby expression length of the processive of the processive of the information of the I sarry and the I sarry and	i Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in b in Have you lind an ownership in (1) What type of property dis second home (SH), or my (2) How did you hold title to jointly wall your spouse (in the property of the property of the property of the date set forth opposite my signs person; who may suffer any loss does the provisions of Title 18, United States cation. (3) the property will not be use the provisions of Title 18, United States cation. (3) the property will not be used in this application of any of the mat s, successors or assigns may, in addition that made any representation or warran ring my "electronic signature," as thos of my signature, shall be as offective, o that my owner of the Loan, its service as that my owner of the Loan, its service as that my owner of the Loan, its service to the contain types of loans related to a to owned both ethicity and race. For race, assis of visual observator and sumanie the checlosures setting all requirements	interest in a property in production. If you own — principal is estiment property (IP)? the home — solely by y SP), or jointly with an interest in a given product of the following product of the following product of the following placetion. (6) the lends environs, successors, and for any illegal or pulcation. (6) the lends environs, successors in the Louis account of the Louis accoun	the last three years? estdence (PR), orarself (S), other person(O)? FEMENT asys, usturers, services intend or negligent or misrepresentation th (a, (2) the loan require represented herein she interpresented herein she interpresented herein she it may be transferred w it may be transferred w it no me regarding the applicable federal at as if a paper version or igns, may verify or re- us application or a core gnature. ORINI, PURPO onle rite lender's don able to de designation application in persen abbeet under applical R do not wish to fispanic or Latino unerican Indiani or lunder load.	s, successors and assistepresentation of this if have made on the first particular to this up act, (4) all statements sors or assigns may removely rely on the in under the conduction and the successor of the conduction and	igns and agrees are sufformation or supplication (the "Lo made in this application (the "Lo made in this application (the "Lo made in this application of the Loan delanqueries, tep be required by the or value of the delayered contained in this company. The contained in this company to the contained in the contained i	ad acknowledges that and a this applies at a command per an army will be secure incation are made and a secure and a secur
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j. k. A o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j. k. A o from t) A o from t) A o from the subtract specifically represent information provided in this application in yield habitity, including insured hading, but not limited to, time or impresent stages or deed of unstending the or mortal mortgage or deed of unstending the commentation of the property depose of obsaming a residential mortgage is this application on subtraction on mend and/or supplement it ments on the Loan become delinquest, the ornation to one or more consumer reporting its agents, brokers, maurers, servicers, as insumasion of this application as an "electromation of the supplication of	is to Lender and to strue and correct as farry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, (3) the property approved, (7) the I be information proce to Lender. It is serviced page and a sarry approved, (7) the I be information processive or assigns rouse record "contain attaining, a facsimalle hereby expression length of the processive of the processive of the information of the I sarry and the I sarry and	1 Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in be in Have you led an ownership in Have you led an ownership in the young of the complete question in be second home (SH), or my (2) How did you hold title to journly wall your spouse (1). **CNOW EDITION** Lender's a netted or potential agents, brol of the date set forth opposite my signar person who may suffer any less of the provincing of Title IR, United States cattom. (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as undirected in this appender and its agents, brokers, misures, and be made any representation or warranting my "electronic signature," as the samed any representation or warranting my "electronic signature," as the samed any representation or warranting my "electronic signature," as the samed any representation or warranting my "electronic signature," as the same of my signature, shall be as effective, east list any owner of the Loan, its services purpose through any source, including the property of the propert	property as your prictow. It is a property in a property in the lower property (IP)? The lower provides that you may check more if you have made this to which the lender is the	the last three years? estdence (PR), orarself (S), other person(O)? FEMINT bays, unurers, services intonal or negligent or misrepresentation th q, (2) the loan requise orbibited purpose or u , its servicers, success and assigns may conticepresented hereis at tempersented hereis at tempersented hereis at it may be transferred u , to me regarding the applicable federal at as if a paper version or igns, may verify or re- us application or a core grature ORING PURPO onter the lender's con- the federal continued in the con- paper of the lender's con- the federal continued in the con- transplication in person subject under applical R	s. successors and assistepresentation of this of I have made on the ted pursuant to thus up ace, (4) all statements sors or assigns may remove you he in the destroy of the second adders state lows (excl) of the second adders state lows (excl) of the supplication were sometimed to the supplication with equal or immatte either on the lifyou do not furnish. If you do not furnish If you do not wish to be state law for the performant this information. Not Haspanic or I what the supplication of I was a supplication of I when the supplication of I what the supplication is the supplication of I was a supplication	igns and agrees at a information cost application (the "Le made in this corp of the Loan delinquency, rep be required by tion or value of the delivered contained in this cy." Date Date Date Section (the machine) contained in this cy. Date of this information of the afformation of the machine of the	ad acknowledges that and an it is application at many application are made learning to the application are made active an electronic ed in the application and a law, (10) mention is property, and (9 video recordings), armag my original video application or obtaining any original video recordings.) In a publication or obtaining any original video recordings and homation, or on wheth sex, under Federal antion, please an applied for i
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) A o from t) A o from t) A o from t) A o from the suddersigned specifically represent automation provided in this application is information provided in this application in tigage or deed of unit on the property de proces of obtaining a residential mortgage in this application, whether or not the Loan is mobigated to amend and/or supplement the mobigated to amend and/or supplement in the property of the process of obtaining a residential mortgage in this application or constitute or in the Loan become delumpent, the orientation of or or or more consumer reports in the agenta, brokers, insurers, servicers, an assussion of this application as an "electrismile transmission of this application or nature time to the application or constitute the supplication of the supplic	is to Lender and to strue and correct as farry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, (3) the property approved, (7) the I be information proce to Lender. It is serviced page and a sarry approved, (7) the I be information processive or assigns rouse record "contain attaining, a facsimalle hereby expression length of the processive of the processive of the information of the I sarry and the I sarry and	1 Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in be in Have you led an ownership in Have you led an ownership in the young of the complete question in be second home (SH), or my (2) How did you hold title to journly wall your spouse (1). **CNOW EDITION** Lender's a netted or potential agents, brol of the date set forth opposite my signar person who may suffer any less of the provincing of Title IR, United States cattom. (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as undirected in this appender and its agents, brokers, misures, and be made any representation or warranting my "electronic signature," as the samed any representation or warranting my "electronic signature," as the samed any representation or warranting my "electronic signature," as the samed any representation or warranting my "electronic signature," as the same of my signature, shall be as effective, east list any owner of the Loan, its services purpose through any source, including the property of the propert	interest in a property in production. If you own — principal is estiment property (IP)? the home — solely by y SP), or jointly with an interest in a given product and that any interest time and for any illegal or puplication. (6) the lende servicers, successors, and for any illegal or puplication, (6) the lende servicers, successors or interest and facts that I have in to any other rights are not of the Loein account of the control of the Loein accounts are of the Loein accou	the last three years? estdence (PR), orarself (S), other person(O)? FEMINT bays, unurers, services intonal or negligent or misrepresentation th q, (2) the loan requise orbibited purpose or u , its servicers, success and assigns may conticepresented hereis at tempersented hereis at tempersented hereis at it may be transferred u , to me regarding the applicable federal at as if a paper version or igns, may verify or re- us application or a core grature ORING PURPO onter the lender's con- the federal continued in the con- paper of the lender's con- the federal continued in the con- transplication in person subject under applical R	a. successors and assistepresentation of the three made on the ted pursuant to thus up ace, (4) all statements sors or assigns may removely rely on the model have relating to such the successors of the condition of the successor of the condition of the conditio	gans and agrees are sufformation or supplication (the "Lo made in this application of the Loan delanquency, rep to required by him or value of the lading and/or and editorial and and and the contained in this cy. Date Date Date defit opportunity, bases of this information the afformation of	ad acknowledges that the distribution of the same and a law of the same and t
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l R o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l R o from t) Che five undersigned specifically represent automation provided in this application is yread in evol limbility, including incure heading, but not limited to, fine or impresent pages or deed of unst on the property de physics of obsuming a residential mortgage is this application, whether or not the Loan is mobilizated to amend and/or supplement if yments on the Loan become delurquent, the tornation to one or more consumer reports in a segral, buckers, insurent, servicers, as means soon of this application as an relect simile transmission of this application as an relect simile transmission of this application as an relect simile transmission of this application or consistence. Same leads to the undersigned formation or dead hatting to the Loan. I for a property of the control of the supplication of the sup	is to Lender and to strue and correct as farry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, (3) the property approved, (7) the I be information proce to Lender. It is serviced page and a sarry approved, (7) the I be information processive or assigns rouse record "contain attaining, a facsimalle hereby expression length of the processive of the processive of the information of the I sarry and the I sarry and	i Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in be If "Yes," complete question in be If "Yes," complete question in be Bave you lind an ownership in (1) What type of property did second home (SH), or my (2) How did you hold fille to joundly wall your spouse (1) Lender's actual or potential agents, brol of the date set forth opposite my agen person who may fuffer any loss doe he provisions of Title IR, United States cattom. (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as indicated in this ap- reader and its agents, brokers, misurer, i. s, successors or assigns may, in addition ded in this application of any of the mat s, successors or assigns may, in addition that make any representation or warran ing my "electronic signature," as thos of my signature, shall be as offective, o as that any owner of the Loan, its service se purpose through any source, includin Date Date Date FORMATION FOR GOVER If for certain types of loans related to a o connation, but are encouraged to do so wide both elluricity and race. For more, suss of visual observation and armanue the chaclosures satisfy all requirements the chaclosures satisfy all requirements Interviporer s, Name (print) or typ Interviporer s, Name (print) or typ	property as your prictow. It is a property in a property in the lower principal restment property (IP)? the home — solely by y SP), or jointly with any SP), or jointly with any It is restricted and that ary interest for the and that ary interest for selection to reliance typin any (Code, Sec. 1001, et as different property in the any illegal or property in the any illegal or property in the any other rights as most fits became accounty, express or implied to terms are defined a uniforceable and valid a series, successors and assign a some enamed in the series, successors and assign a some enamed in the series of the series	the last three years? estdence (PR), orarself (S), other person(O)? FEMINT bays, unurers, services intonal or negligent or misrepresentation th q, (2) the loan requise orbibited purpose or u , its servicers, success and assigns may conticepresented hereis at tempersented hereis at tempersented hereis at it may be transferred u , to me regarding the applicable federal at as if a paper version or igns, may verify or re- us application or a core grature ORING PURPO onter the lender's con- the federal continued in the con- paper of the lender's con- the federal continued in the con- transplication in person subject under applical R	a. successors and assistepresentation of the three made on the ted pursuant to thus up ace, (4) all statements sors or assigns may removely rely on the model have relating to such the successors of the condition of the successor of the condition of the conditio	gans and agrees are sufformation or supplication (the "Lo made in this application of the Loan delanquency, rep to required by him or value of the lading and/or and editorial and and and the contained in this cy. Date Date Date defit opportunity, bases of this information the afformation of	ad acknowledges that the distribution of the same and a law of the same and t
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) Che of the undersigned specifically represent a information provided in this application is ay result in earl limbility, including incure belonding, but not limited to, fine or imprison officially a subtract of the property de proce of obtaining a residential mortigage is this application, whether or not the Loan is in obligated to amend and/or supplement if yournests on the Loan become oblimpent, the formation to one or more consumer reports of its agents, brokers, insurents, servicers, as insurasion of this application as an "electriciant of the supplement of the application of the application of this application of con- proved the supplement. Each of the undersigned formation or close to having to the Loan. For a percent of the supplement of the control of the control of the supplement of the control of the proved Segurative Control of the supplement of the control of the total of the supplement of the control of the total of the supplement of the supplementation of the supple	is to Lender and to strue and correct as farry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, (3) the property approved, (7) the I be information proce to Lender. It is serviced page and a sarry approved, (7) the I be information processive or assigns rouse record "contain attaining, a facsimalle hereby expression length of the processive of the processive of the information of the I sarry and the I sarry and	i Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in be in Have you lind an ownership in Have you lind an ownership in the second home (SH), or my (2) How did you hold title to joundly wall your spouse (in the second home of the date set forth opposite my again yerson; who may suffer any loss does he provisione of Title 18, United States eation. (3) the property will not be use he provisione of Title 18, United States eation. (3) the property will not be use he provisione of Title 18, United States eation. (3) the property will not be use he provisione of Title 18, United States eation. (3) the property will not be use he provisione of Title 18, United States eation. (3) the property will not be use suffered in this application of any of the mats, successors or assigns may, in addition has made any representation or warranting my "electromic signature," as those made any representation or warranting my "electromic signature," as those site any owner of the Loan, its services in the any owner of the Loan, its services as their any owner of the Loan, its services purpose through any source, including the first through any source, including the first transfer of the Loan and sumanue. Date Date FORMATION FOR GOVER if for certain types of loans related to a so owide both ethnicity and race. For race, assis of visual observators and sumanue the chieclosures senting all requirements the chieclosures senting all requirements.	interest in a property in production. If you own — principal is estiment property (IP)? the home — solely by y SP), or jointly with an interest in a given product and that any interest time and for any illegal or puplication. (6) the lende servicers, successors, and for any illegal or puplication, (6) the lende servicers, successors or interest and facts that I have in to any other rights are not of the Loein account of the control of the Loein accounts are of the Loein accou	the last three years? estdence (PR), orarself (S), other person(O)? FEMINT bays, unurers, services intonal or negligent or misrepresentation th q, (2) the loan requise orbibited purpose or u , its servicers, success and assigns may conticepresented hereis at tempersented hereis at tempersented hereis at it may be transferred u , to me regarding the applicable federal at as if a paper version or igns, may verify or re- us application or a core grature ORING PURPO onter the lender's con- the federal continued in the con- paper of the lender's con- the federal continued in the con- transplication in person subject under applical R	a. successors and assistepresentation of the three made on the ted pursuant to thus up ace, (4) all statements sors or assigns may removely rely on the model have relating to such the successors of the condition of the successor of the condition of the conditio	gens and agrees are sufformation consumers and agrees are sufformation. The supplemental control of the Loan delanquency, reput to the container contained and the delivered contains added to the container of the Loan delanquency. The container of the Loan delivered contains added to contained in this contained in the contained of the medium of the contained of the conta	ad acknowledges that the desired in this applier is committed by the secure heatron are made and/or an electronic ed in the application (8) in the event of the property, and (1) incline 1 is property, and (1) wides recordings), aming my original or application or of the fact that the property and (1) incline 1 is property, and (1) incline 1 is property, and (1) incline 1 is property, and (1) incline 1 i
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l R o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l R o from t) Che five undersigned specifically represent automation provided in this application is yread in evol limbility, including moute hading, but not limited to, fine or impression of the more provided in the property de process of obsuming a residential mortgage is this application, whether or not the Loan is in obligated to amend and/or supplement if yoursets on the Loan become obligated, the formation to one or more consumer reports in a segral, biotekers, insurens, servicers, as means on of this application as an relectriciant of the supplementation of the application as an relectriciant transmission of this application of constitute. Example degenent is back of the undersigned formation or deal of lating to the Loan. For a service of the supplementation of the control of the supplementation of the su	is to Lender and to strue and correct as farry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, (3) the property approved, (7) the I be information proce to Lender. It is serviced page and a sarry approved, (7) the I be information processive or assigns rouse record "contain attaining, a facsimalle hereby expression length of the processive of the processive of the information of the I sarry and the I sarry and	i Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in be If "Yes," complete question in be If "Yes," complete question in be Bave you lind an ownership in (1) What type of property did second home (SH), or my (2) How did you hold fille to joundly wall your spouse (1) Lender's actual or potential agents, brol of the date set forth opposite my agen person who may fuffer any loss doe he provisions of Title IR, United States cattom. (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as indicated in this ap- reader and its agents, brokers, misurer, i. s, successors or assigns may, in addition ded in this application of any of the mat s, successors or assigns may, in addition that make any representation or warran ing my "electronic signature," as thos of my signature, shall be as offective, o as that any owner of the Loan, its service se purpose through any source, includin Date Date Date FORMATION FOR GOVER If for certain types of loans related to a o connation, but are encouraged to do so wide both elluricity and race. For more, suss of visual observation and armanue the chaclosures satisfy all requirements the chaclosures satisfy all requirements Interviporer s, Name (print) or typ Interviporer s, Name (print) or typ	interest in a property in procedure. If you own — principal is estiment property (IP)? the home — solely by y SP), or jointly with an interest in a property of the form — solely by y SP), or jointly with an interest or reliance topon and for any illegal or pulcation. (5) the lends envirors, sincressors, and for any illegal or pulcation, (5) the lends envirors, sincressors, and for any illegal or pulcation, (5) the lends envirors, sincressors, and for any illegal or pulcation, (6) the lends envirors, sincressors and active and of the Loen account of the control of the c	the last three years? estdence (PR), orarself (S), other person(O)? FEMINT bays, unurers, services intonal or negligent or misrepresentation th q, (2) the loan requise orbibited purpose or u , its servicers, success and assigns may conticepresented hereis at tempersented hereis at tempersented hereis at it may be transferred u , to me regarding the applicable federal at as if a paper version or igns, may verify or re- us application or a core grature ORING PURPO onter the lender's con- the federal continued in the con- paper of the lender's con- the federal continued in the con- transplication in person subject under applical R	a. successors and assistepresentation of the three made on the ted pursuant to thus up ace, (4) all statements sors or assigns may removely rely on the model have relating to such the successors of the condition of the successor of the condition of the conditio	gens and agrees are sufformation consumers and agrees are sufformation. The supplemental control of the Loan delanquency, reput to the container contained and the delivered contains added to the container of the Loan delanquency. The container of the Loan delivered contains added to contained in this contained in the contained of the medium of the contained of the conta	ad acknowledges that the distribution of the same and a law of the same and t
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) A o from t) the of the undersigned specifically represent automation provided in this application is yresult in evel limbility, including mouet shading, but not limited to, fine or impresent pages of deed of must on the property de proses of obsuming a residential mortgage is this application, whether or not the Loan is no obligated to amend and/or supplement it ments on the Loan become delinquest, the formation to one or more consumer reports it as agenta, brokers, insurers, servicers, as manusion of this application as an "electrosmile transmission of this application as an "electrosmile transmission of this application of ormatical committee of the supplication of dear blatting to the Loan formation or dear blatting to the Loan formation of dear blatting to the Loan formation, the supplication, this lender is required to note the schow. (Lender must review the above in choose to furnish it. If you furnish the sugnition, this lender is required to note the schow. (Lender must review the above in choose to furnish it. If you furnish the sugnitations, this lender is required to note the schow. (Lender must review the above in Chender links and the supplication of the supplication of the supplication of the supplication was taken by supplication was taken by supplication was taken by supplication was taken by supplication and supplication and supplication and supplication and supplication was taken by supplication and supplic	is to Lender and to strue and correct as farry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, (3) the property approved, (7) the I be information proce to Lender. It is serviced page and a sarry approved, (7) the I be information processive or assigns rouse record "contain attaining, a facsimalle hereby expression length of the processive of the processive of the information of the I sarry and the I sarry and	i Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in be second home (SH), or my (2) How did you hold title to joundly wall your spouse (IN. ACK DAY EDGIN Lender's actual or potential agents, brol of the date set forth opposite my agen person; who may suffer any loss doe he provisions of Title 18, United States catton. (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as undiracted in this ap- nearly person; who may suffer any loss from he provisions of Title 18, United States catton. (3) the property will not be use ded in this application of any for the not a, successors or assigns may, in addition has made any representation or warran ring my "electronic signature," as thes as their any owner of the Loun, its service as their any owner of the Loun, its service as their any owner of the Loun, its service as their any owner of the Loun, its service as purpose through any source, includin Date TORNATION FOR GOVER If for certain types of loans related to do so owide both ethnicity and race. For race, susses of virual observation and summine the chaclosures sensity all requirements Interviewer's Signature. Interviewer's Signature.	interest in a property in interest in the intere	the last three years? estdence (PR), orarself (S), other person(O)? FEMINT bays, unurers, services intonal or negligent or misrepresentation th q, (2) the loan requise orbibited purpose or u , its servicers, success and assigns may conticepresented hereis at tempersented hereis at tempersented hereis at it may be transferred u , to me regarding the applicable federal at as if a paper version or igns, may verify or re- us application or a core grature ORING PURPO onter the lender's con- the federal continued in the con- paper of the lender's con- the federal continued in the con- transplication in person subject under applical R	a, successors and assistencessentation of the statements at I have made on the ted presume to this apace, (4) all statements of the same relating to such the same relating to such adder state lows (excil of this application were same reporting agency of the same reporting agen	gens and agrees are sufformation consumers and agrees are sufformation. The supplemental control of the Loan delanquency, reput to the container contained and the delivered contains added to the container of the Loan delanquency. The container of the Loan delivered contains added to contained in this contained in the contained of the medium of the contained of the conta	ad acknowledges that the desired in this applier is committed by the secure heatron are made and/or an electronic ed in the application (8) in the event of the property, and (1) incline 1 is property, and (1) wides recordings), aming my original or application or of the fact that the property and (1) incline 1 is property, and (1) incline 1 is property, and (1) incline 1 is property, and (1) incline 1 i
Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) Cash from to Borrower (subtract j, k, l & o from t) the of the undersigned specifically represent information provided in this application is yesself in evel hisbitty, including moute hisbitty, including moute hisbitty, including an extending the first of the property deposes of obsuming a residential mortgage is this application, whether or not the Loan is in obligated to amend and/or supplement it without the continuous times the total become oblimpent, the origination to one or more consumer reports it is agent, biotecter, insurent, servicers, as insurasion of this application as an "electromic transmission of this application as an "electromic transmission of this application or or electromic transmission of this application or distribution or distribution of the Loan force insure. Samwledgement Bach of the undersigned origination or distribution to the Loan force insure. Samwledgement Bach of the undersigned origination or distribution to the Loan force insure. Samwledgement Bach of the undersigned origination or distribution to the Loan force insure the supplication was force in factors to furnish the substantian, this lender is required to note the chelow. If ender must review the above in Chadre must review the above in Cha	is to Lender and to strue and correct as farry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, to an immit or both under I sarry damages, (3) the property approved, (7) the I be information proce to Lender. It is serviced pages and the information processives or assigns rouse record "contantataring, a facsimalle hereby expressive ledge my legitumble basined to farmish this information, please prinformation please prinformation on the I staterial to assure that has information. Not Hispanic or Latt	i Do you intend to occupy the If "Yes," complete question in be second home (SH), or my (2) How did you hold title to joundly wall your spouse (IN. ACK DAY EDGIN Lender's actual or potential agents, brol of the date set forth opposite my agen person; who may suffer any loss doe he provisions of Title 18, United States catton. (3) the property will not be use will be occupied as undiracted in this ap- nearly person; who may suffer any loss from he provisions of Title 18, United States catton. (3) the property will not be use ded in this application of any for the not a, successors or assigns may, in addition has made any representation or warran ring my "electronic signature," as thes as their any owner of the Loun, its service as their any owner of the Loun, its service as their any owner of the Loun, its service as their any owner of the Loun, its service as purpose through any source, includin Date TORNATION FOR GOVER If for certain types of loans related to do so owide both ethnicity and race. For race, susses of virual observation and summine the chaclosures sensity all requirements Interviewer's Signature. Interviewer's Signature.	interest in a property in procedure. If you own — principal is estiment property (IP)? the home — solely by y SP), or jointly with an interest in a property of the form — solely by y SP), or jointly with an interest or reliance topon and for any illegal or pulcation. (5) the lends envirors, sincressors, and for any illegal or pulcation, (5) the lends envirors, sincressors, and for any illegal or pulcation, (5) the lends envirors, sincressors, and for any illegal or pulcation, (6) the lends envirors, sincressors and active and of the Loen account of the control of the c	the last three years? estdence (PR), orarself (S), other person(O)? FEMINT bays, unurers, services intonal or negligent or misrepresentation th q, (2) the loan requise orbibited purpose or u , its servicers, success and assigns may conticepresented hereis at tempersented hereis at tempersented hereis at it may be transferred u , to me regarding the applicable federal at as if a paper version or igns, may verify or re- us application or a core grature ORING PURPO onter the lender's con- the federal continued in the con- paper of the lender's con- the federal continued in the con- tempersent continued in the con- tempersent con- tempersen	a. successors and assistepresentation of the three made on the ted pursuant to thus up ace, (4) all statements sors or assigns may removely rely on the model have relating to such the successors of the condition of the successor of the condition of the conditio	gens and agrees are sufformation consumers and agrees are sufformation. The supplemental control of the Loan delanquency, reput to the container contained and the delivered contains added to the container of the Loan delanquency. The container of the Loan delivered contains added to contained in this contained in the contained of the medium of the contained of the conta	ad acknowledges that the desired in this applier is committed by the secure heatron are made and/or an electronic ed in the application (8) in the event of the property, and (1) incline 1 is property, and (1) wides recordings), aming my original or application or of the fact that the property and (1) incline 1 is property, and (1) incline 1 is property, and (1) incline 1 is property, and (1) incline 1 i

	CONTINUATION SHEET/RESIDENTIAL LOAN APPUICA	1105
Use this continuation sheet if you need incre space to complete the Residential Loan Application Mark B for Borrower or	Borrower.	Agency Case Number:
C for Co-Borrower	Co-Borrower:	Lender Case Number
$f \setminus f u$		MAN STATE OF

Tund un - To be paid

+ velves.

[merge 15/15- To he paid

We halfy enderstand that it is a Federal crune punishable by fine or a Voited States Code, Section 1001, et seq	inprisonment, or both, to knowingly	y make any make statements	concerning any of the above facts as applicable	e under the provisions of Title 18.
Borrower's Signature	Date	Co-Borrower's Signatu	ee e	Date
[X /	{	$\mathbf{I} \times \mathbf{J}$		

Case 1:10-cv-09280-LGSMERIDGE HOME CAPITAL UZ/15/12 Page 190 of 210 Uniform Residential Loan Application

"Borrower" or "Co-B income or assets of the income or as a basis for loan of porsuent to applicab or the Borrower is re If his is an application	orrower", as a a person of assets of the li- gralification, but the law and Bo lying on other and or joint cra	applicable, her than t Borrower's ut his or h rrower res property l	Co-Borrower in the Borrower (s spouse or other liabilities of the lides in a commo cated in a corver and Co-Borrower and Co-Bo	information lincluding the ner person v ust be consi- nunity prope mmunity pro- rrower each	must also be proper Borrower's solved has commundered because the state, the solverty state as a	ovided (and the pouse) will be inity property ri- the spouse or of educity property a basis for repay	appropriate be used as a be ghts pursuant other person ha y is located in yment of the lo	asis for loan qualification to state law will not be us as community property rig a community property states.	the or sed ghts
Barrower		1.		Borrower IORTGAG	E AND TERM	AS OF LOAN	ı .		
Mortgage VA		nventiona	Oth	er:(explain)	Agency Case N	lumber	Lender Ca	se Number	_
Applied for: X FH.	· Ho	DA/Rural busing Serv			374-460020		0307	6514	
Amount \$ 364250.00	Interes 6.25		a. of Months	Amortizatio Type:	n X Fixed Ra	Other	(explain):		
\$ 304230.00	0.23	- 10		FORMATI	ON AND PUI				
Subject Property Add								No. af Un	its
37 NEWARK AV		V-31-01, 41-11-20-11		LIDELANDIO LIPERA A LIDELAND	10302			Year Built	-
DISTRICT: SECT	TION: BLO								
Purpose of Loan: X	= -	=		Other (expl	ain):		erty will be: Primary	Secondary investme	
Commission this fire	Refinance	=	uction-Perman	10,7000		X	Residence	Residence	mille
	e <i>if constru</i> nal Cost		<i>constructioi</i> Existing Liens		ant Ioan. Value of Lot	(b) Cost of Im	provements	Total (a + b)	
Acquired		s	1222	\$		\$		s	
Year Origin	e <i>if this is a</i> al Cost		ce loan. Existing Liens	Purpose o	f Refinance	Describe In	nµrovements [nade to be ma	ide
- Addanso		s				Control			
Title will be held in w			A PARTIE AND A PAR	Mar	ner in which Ti	Cost: S tle will be held		Estate will be held i	in:
LEONARDO ANI					nt Tenancy			X Fee Simple	
Source of Down Payer SAVINGS, NEHII					cing (explain)			Leasehold	
							12	(show expiration da	ste)
Borrower's Name (inc				ORROWE	Co-Borrower's	TON (s Name (include	O-Borrower Jr. or Sr. if a		
Social Security Number Hor			B (MM/DD/YYYY)	Yrs. School	Social Security Nur	mber Home Phine	(incl. area cude)	DOB (MM/DD/YYYY) Yes, Sch.	lool
	718-234-14		5/15/1978	16					
X Married Unma divorce Separated	rried (include single ed, widowed)	no. 2	ages 16, 201		Marriad Separated	Unmarried (including divorced, wirlaw	kle single. Depend ved) no.	dents (not listen by Borrower) ages	
Present Address (stre 72-19 19TH AVE BROOKLYN, NY	NUE	ZIP)	Own X Rent	No. Yrs: IYR 10M		ess (street, city,	, state, ZIP)	Own Rent No. Yrs	-
Mailing Address, if dif		esent Add	ress	- 10/19	Mailing Addres	ss, if different f	rom Present A	ddress	_
					1.				
If residing at pres	ent address	for less	than two v	ears, com	plete the foli	lowina:		-	_
Former Address (stree	t, city, state,	ZIP)	Own X Rent	No. Yrs:		ss (street, city,	state, ZIP)	Ovin Rent No. Yrs:	
BROOKLYN, NY	Contraction of the Contraction o								
Name & Address of Er		ower Self Emplo			T INFORMA		o-Borrower		
HAVAS NORTH A ATTN: HUMAN R 359 HUDSON STI NEW YORK, NY	AMERICA ESOURCE: REET		8Y2M	yed in this line	Name & Addre	as of Employer	Self Em	ployed Yes on this ob Yes on this ob Yes on played in this of whileprofession	ine
osition/Title/Type of I			Business Phone (in: 212-886-39		Position/Title/T	ype of Busines	s	Bosiness Phone (and area roote	+1
									_
Name & Address of En		Self Emplo			Name & Addre			, complete the following	g:
	ш				Traine & Addre	as or Employer	Sen cini	oloyed Cate. (from - to)	
			Monthly Inc	сати	ž.			Munthly Income S	
Position/Title/Type of E	Business	_	Business Phone (inc	l. area code)	Position/Title/T	ype of Business	i	Business Phone (incl. area code)	-
Name & Address of Em	priouse T s	olf F	.a.d 5						_
The G Address of Ell	ipit/yei S	elf Employ	/ed Dates (from	. (0)	Name & Addres	ss of Employer	Self Emp	oloyed Dates (from - to)	
			Monthly Inc	от				Monthly Income	
			s					s	
osition/Title/Type of B	usiness		Business Phone (inc	, area code)	Position/Title/T	ype of Business		Business Phone (incl. ansa roce)	7
reddie Mac Furm 65 07/05 003PG1 10/05				Page	1 of 4			Fannie Mae Form 1003 07/0)5

Gross Monthly Income	Borrower	Co-Borrower		Combined Monthly	Present	Proposed
				Housing Expense		
Base Empl. Income *	3625.7) \$	\$ 3625.70	1	\$ 1300.00	s 2242
Overtime Bonuses	- 7	-		First Mortgage (P&I) Other Financing (P&I)		2242
Cummissions				Hazard Insurance	1	96
Dividends/Interest	2	1		Real Estate Taxes		125
Net Rental Income				Mortgage Insurance		148
Other (before completing see the notice in "describe				Homeowner Assn. Dues		
other income," below)				Other:		
* Self Employed Borrower(s: ma		ide additional docume s: Alimony, child sup	port, or separate maintenance		s 1300.00	Monthly Ameun
						+
			VII ACCETE AND	LIADUCTICO		
on be meaningfully and fairly p	resented on a combin	ed basis; otherwise, s	separate Statements and Sche	mmarried Co-Borrowers if their a dules are required. If the Co-Bo		
ther person, this Statement and	si pporting schedules	must be completed a	bout that spruse or other pers	son also.	Completed	Jantly X Not Jo
ASSETS Lish deposit toward purchase he	eld by: \$	Cash or Marke Value	Liabilities and Pledged An automobile loans, revolu- continuation sheet, if nec- upon relinancing of the s	sets. List the creditor's name, a ving charge accounts, real est essary. Indicate by (*) those fial ubject property.	iddress and account number for ate founs, alimony, child sum philites, which will be satisfied u	all outstanding debts, in port, stock piedges, at- consale of real estate ox
SELLER'S ATTORN			LI	ABILITIES	Monthly Payment & Months Left to Pay	Unpaid Baland
ist checking and saving		w	Name and address of Cor	прапу	S Payment/Months	5
lame and address of Bank, S&L, COMMERCE BANK	or Credit Union		TARGET		*132.00 37	*4882
			Acct. no. 435237	670435		
ect. № 7920782666	Is	4240.24	Name and address of Con	прапу	\$ Payment/Months	S
isme and address of Bank, S&L,		1246.21	GEMB/PCR		*58.00 34	*1954
			Acct. no. 601917	032577		1
			Name and address of Com		5 Payment/Months	s
ect. no.	or Credit Union		PC RICH/GEMB		*52.00	*1739
			Acct. (6). 601917	032217	34	
			Name and address of Corr		S Payment/Months	s
.cl. no.			CHASE			
ime and address of Bank, S&L,	s Credit Union				*20.00 40	*796
			Acct. no. 1820000	000918	7	
			Name and address of Com		S Payment/Months	s
			ZALES / CBSD			S.
ct, no.	İs		-		*20.00	
cks & Bonds (Company name/ rescription)					*20.00 24	*476
			Acct. no. 6035251 Name and address of Com-		\$ Payment/Months	S
			MACYS	porty	a Fayment/Months	S
	1		MACIS			
insurance net cash value	s		-		*7.00 20	*137
btotal Liquid Assets	s	1246.21	Acci. np. 485960		-	
estate owned (enter market va	ilue (S	1240.21	Name and address of Comp See Sch Of Liabilit		S Payment/Muntilis	s
n schedule of real estate owned ted interest in retirement fund	s		- See Con Or Liabilit	100	15.00	808.
worth of business(es) owned	5	<u> </u>				
ach financial statement)			Arct. no. Alimony/Child Support/Sepa	arate Maintugance	t	
omobiles owned (make and yea	s s		Payments Owed tu:	a die maintenance		
er Assets (itemize)	s		Job-Related Expense (child o	care, union dues, etc.)	S	
			Total Monthly Payme	ents	s 304.00	
	ssets a. \$	1246.21	Net Worth	T	5 004.00	

Case 1:10-cv-09280-L COMBRIDGE HONGE AFTIGH U2915/12 Page 192 of 210

		1	I. ASS	ETS AND L	IABILITIES (cont.]			
Schedule of Real Estate Owned (if addition	of properties	are owne	d, use cont	(muation sheet.)			2:		
Property Address (enter S if sold, PS if pending so or R if rental being held for income)	la	Type of Property		Present irket Value	Amount Martgages &		Mortgag e Payment	Insurance, e Maintenance, s Taxes & Misc.	Net Rental Income
77/97			\$	***	s	s	s	s	\$
			-						
			-						
]							
<u> </u>	18								
		Totals	s		s	\$	s	5	s
List any additional names under which of	redit has p	previou	sly been	Creditor Nar		ppropriate credito		nd account numb	er(s):
	-							CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	
		-0.9							
VII. DETAILS OF TRANS	ACTION					VIII. DEC	ARATIONS		
a. Purchase price	\$	3700	00.00	If you answ use continu	ver "Yes" to lation sheet	any questions a for explanation.	through i, ple	ease Borowe	Co-Borrower
b. Alterations, improvements, repairs								Yes N	-1
Land (if acquired separately)		- 1	_			judgments against you		밀밀	
d. Refinance (incl. debts to be paid off)		20	252.42			ankrupt within the past			
Estimated prepaid items	 -		352.13	issu there	of in the last 7 y		little or deed in		
f Estimated closing costs		22.	36.08		party to a laws:				
g. PMI, MIP. Funding Fee		-	33.50					resulted in foreclosure, s home mortgage loans	
n Discount (if Borrower will pay)		2055	104 74	improvem	ent loans, educ	otional loons, manufa	ictured [mobile)	isome loans, any m luding date, name and	ortgage, financial
Total costs (add items a through h)		3954	21.71	FHA or V	A case number, if	any, and ressons for a	ne action.)	lucing date, name and	andress of Lender,
Subordinate financing Borrower's closing costs paid by Seller		107	16 60			nt or in default on any			لـــا لـــا ك
Differ Credits (explain)		101	46.69			oligation, bond, or toan preceding guestion.	guarantee? If "Y	'es,' give	
NEHIEMIAH		112	25.00	g. Are you o	bligated to pay a	anony, child suspent, u	r separate maint		
down payment		10	00.00		of the down par co-maker or end	oment borrowed?			
down payment		10	00.00		U.S. ritizen?		· · · · · · · · · · ·		
					permanent reside	nt alien?			
				I. Do you in	tend to occupy t	e property as your prin	nary residence?		
m. Lian amount texclude PMI, MIP, Funding Fee financed)		3589	00.00	If "Yes,"	complete question	n in below.		X	
n. PMI, MIP, Funding Fee finances: 1.5		53	50.00	three year	s?	hip interest at a propa			
Loan amount (add in & n)			50.00	(1) What (PR)	type of property	did yng own principa did yng own principa	al residence		
p Cash from " to Borrower			3	(2) How 0	lid you hold title	to the home solely is e (SP), or jointly with a	v virurself (S).		
(subtract j, k, l & u from i)			0.02	(0)?		- Committee of the comm	HOLLIER PERSON.		<u>-i</u>
Each of the undersigned specifically represents to Li arknowledges that: (1) the Information provided in the information contained in this application may result in in- this application, endore in criminal predicts including, in pursuant to this application (the "Luan") will be secured or use: (4) els statements made in this application are in- servicers, successors or assigns into retain the original inconsistes and assigns may continuously rely on the in- material facts that have represented herein should cha- may, in adultion to any other rights and camedies the contention of the Long and the state of the	ivit liability, in list not limited by a mortgag nade for the part and/or elect of and/or elect formation co- nge prior to clot till may have	Lender's is true a notuding made to, fine age or deed purpose of tronic recentained in osing of time religions.	actual or nd correct constary do or imprisor of trust or obtaining and of this the applica-	potential agent as of the date amages, to any ment or both a a the property of a residential ma- application, we ation, and I am by in the event	set forth apposi- person who mai- inder the provisi- described in this proper ham, 15, hether or not the obligated to am- that my payment	essors, atturneys, cos- ite my signature and it waffer any loss due to any of Title 18, United application; (3) the pro- the orderny will be on tuan is approved, 7 and and/or supplement is on the Loso become o	hat any intention reliance upon as States Code. Se perty will not be couped as indica 1) the Lender and the information delinquent, the Lender.	nal or negligent misreping misrepresentation the control of the sequence of the control of the	resentation of this at I have made on the loan requested purpose (6) the Lender, its insurers, servicers, ston if any of the ressors or assigns.
ownership of the Loan and/or administration of the Li- successions or assigns has maile any representation or we are "electronic record" containing my "electronic signatu- application containing a facsimile of my signature, chall <u>Acknowledgement</u> . Each of the undersigned hareby ack <u>posture</u> of the properties of the properties of the pro- clusted and information or data relations as the case.	arranty, expr re," as those se as effective	nay be in ess or imp terms are e, enforce	lied, to me defined in able and w	with such notice regarding the page applicable face and as if a page	e as may be recorded and or state or st	(time? by law: [10] m andition or value of the aws (excluding audio a multication were deliver	either Lender nor property; and (1 and video recording ad containing my	of the agents, prokers, in 11) my transmission of ngst, or my facsimite to conginal written signature	surers, servicers, this application as mamission of this re.
The state of the selecting to the coals, to a	ny legitimata	DUSINGSS	Surpose th	rough any source	e, including a so	urce named in this appl	ication or a cons	umer reporting agency.	tres application or
Borrofiel's Signatura WOVAL		Dat			Berrower's Sign			Date	
· Cour	X. INFOR	MATIO	N FOR	0 ,	NT MONITO	RING PURPOSES			
The following information is requested by the Federal G	overnment to	r certain I	unas of his	nos calmend to a	shoulling in some		's rigmoliance w	th equal credit	nity fair became
information, or on whether you choose to furnish it. If withhickly, race, or sex, under Federal regulations, this let wish to furnish the information, please chack the box has									
wish to furnish the information, please check the box be state low for the particular type of iron applied for.)	ow. (Lender	must revi	w the abo	ova material to a	ssure that the di	sciosures satisfy all req	uirements to wh	ich the lend« is subject	under applicable
BORROWER Loss not wesh to furnish this	nformation.			CO	BORROWER	Like not wish	to furnish this of	(i) mation.	
Ethnicity X Hispanic or Latino	Not Hispanic	or Latino		Eth	nicity	X Hispanic of La	tino N	ot Hispanic or Latino	
Race: American Indian or Alaska Native	Asian	Blac Afri	k or can Americ	can Rac	e:	American India Alaska Native	en ur A	sian Bla	ck or icon American
Sex: Frimale X	White		-	Sex		Other Parific I	slander	hite	
To be Completed by Interviewer Interviewer		or type			1	Name and Address of		ale	
Disc audication was taken to	H LAPID			/ 0	HIMIM				
X Face-to-face Interview Literviewer;	/	00	/		H NO 1	CAMBRIDGE H			
Mail		/			Date	80 CUTTERMIL		IE #408	
Telephone Interviewer	s Phane Numb	/	(40)			GREAT NECK,	NY 11021		
	329-570		rea cod»)			1			
reddie Mac 65 07/05				Pane 3 of					

1003PG3 10/05 LEONARDO MORALES

Continuation Sheet/Residential Loan Application

Use this continuation sheet if you need more space to complete the Residential Loan	Burrower: LEONARDO MORALES	Agency Case Number: 374-4600200
Application: Mark 8 for Borrower or C for Co-Borrower.		Unider Case Number 03076514

TERRE	TEMPE	ADDESTRIBA
LIABI	LITIES	ADDENDUM

Creditor's Name Address/City/State/Zipcode	Account Number	Payment M	onths Left To Pay	Balance
CAPTITAL ONE BANK	51780523	*15.00	4	*59.00
AFNI COLLECTION ACCOUNT	101174	0.00	0	*749.00
	TOTAL:	15.00	_	808.00

Page 4 of 4
Printed by The Loan Handler from Ellie Man, Inc. ~ www.elliemae.com Fannis Mae Form 1003 07/05

Post Clasin Agreeners	
Pobl Clasing Agreenent 94-51 116 Street RICLAMOND LAIL, NY 11419	
R100 mand 1411 , 1196 11419	
JUNE 14, 2007	
This agreenent made between Mitchell	
Cahen and Clarence Marie al Michelle	
Johnson is made to Induce Clarine Maire al	
Muhelle Valman to Clase andre purchese	
of the above reducined Renition Accordingly	
wan widen the next of days to the	
work widher the next of days to the	
Sales for fire of Mr. Moore of Mr. Throws	
i) Replace Kither.	
a) Sand and refusion wheel floors	
3 Clean out after	
4) Renove washing Marche and Telephon	
5) Keper window Lys front of house	
2) Repair beader from roug, 2) Refer brown backwarm and replace	
2) Lefer bon ballroom and replace	
Missing Snawer Knob.	
This agreement drall be personally	
granefled by Wark Wolf Esq.	
Agreed:	
and the state of t	
Clarence Vale Vibralla Stratan	
Market of In I Wall Rea	
PHOLOU CONON VERY WAY, C.J.	

			1261
BU	A HOME LLC		œ ÷
	8 6	DATE 6/15	100 50-791/214
PAY TO THE ORDER OF	rence MOORE		1\$6500
SHOW	a ciri amound	-//	DOLLARS @
	orth Fork Bank	1111.	# # # #
FOR	9951.1168+	000	м
. u•C	01261 1021407912:002	064m04358m3#	

Uniform Residential Loan Application



This application is designed to be completed by the applicant(s) with the Lender's assistance. Applicants should complete this form as "Borrower' or "Co-Borrower." as applicable. Co-Borrower information must also be provided (and the appropriate box checked) when the income or assets of a person other than the Borrower (including the Borrower's spouse) will be used as a basis for loan qualification or the income or assets of the Borrower's spouse or other person who has community property rights pursuant to state law will not be used as a basis for loan qualification, but his or her liabilities must be considered because the spouse or other person bas community property rights pursuant to applicable law and Borrower resides in a community property state, or the Borrower is relying on other property located in a community property state as a hasis for repayment of the loan.

If this is an application for joint credit, Borrower and Co-Borrower each agree that we intend to apply for joint credit (sign below):

Barrower		o-Borrower	E AND TERMS OF LOAN		
		Other (explain).	Agency Case Nu	mber Lende	er Case Number
	DA/Rural ising Service				
Amount Interest	2000h /	io of Months Am	ortization Type: Trived Rate	Other (explain)	
5 760 150	<i>EB</i> 6 %	300	GPM	ARM (type)	
Subject Property Address (street, city, state & ZIP		OPERTY INFORMATI	ON AND PURPOSE OF LOAN	1	No of Units
1 6 M 14Y	'st. "	South Ozine	Juk M		No of ones
Legal Description of Subject Property (attach des	eription if necessary)				Year Built
☐ Refinance ☐	Construction-Permane	Other (explain). nt	Property will be	☐ Secondary Resid	ence Investment
Year Lot Original Cost		xisting Liens (a) Prese	nt Value of Lnt (b)	Cost of Improvements	Total (a+h)
Acquired	Amount	cisting Liens (a) Heach	it value of Lik	Cost of Improvenents	Total (11-11)
<u>s</u>	5	5	<u>S</u>		\$
Complete this line if this is a refinance loon. Year Acquired Original Cost	Amount E	xisting Liens Purpose of		scribe Improvements st: \$	made to be made
Title will be held in what Name(s)		7 1 5		Title will be bold	Estate will be held in:
Uninante seten 19h	Gen wish	+ Indra 1/2	·, 17 k	int/-1	☐ Fee-6imple
Source of Down Payment, Settlement Liberges, an	d'or Subordinate Fina	eing (explain)			Leasehold (show exputation date)
Jaury L Nihema	h+	ciller lesc	1111		
Borrower		III. BORROWER	INFORMATION	Co-Bor	rower
Borrower's Name (include Jr. or Sr if applicable)	1		Co-Bofrower's Name (include Jr. or Si	. if applicable)	
HOMMUNIC JULI	co.		your reach		
Social Security Number Home Phone (incl. area code		d/yyyyı Yrs. School	(in	el. area code)	m'(d/yy ₃ y) Yrs School
19-94-)26L 607-04		/19.		69-2426. 11426	
☐ Married Unmarried (include ☐ Separated single, divorced, widowed)	no	red by Co-Borrower)	☐ Married ☐ Uninarried (inch ☐ Separated single, divorced,		listed by Horrower)
Present Address (street, city, state, Z[P)	Own	MA VIS	Presum Address Intreet, city state, ZIP		Rent 7 No Yis En L
95-1x 15 51 K	11.1	Mulaya	17) F-105 St.	, A	Al Ilus
Mailing Address, if different from Present Addres	4 * pro- C 11)1.	1 / 1 / / / / / · · ·	Mailing Address, if different from Pres	en Address	19 1045
If residing at present address for less than two ye		wing:			
Former Address (street, city, state, ZIP)	Own [Rent No Yis.	Former Address (street, eity, state, ZIP)	Rent No. Yrs.
Drawn an		IV EATBLOVAIES	TINEODALETION	Co Pau	
Name & Address of Employer	☐ Self Employed	Yrs, on this job	Name & Address of Employer 1	Co-Bor ☐ Self Employed	
15/TES.		2	Int. Alson		1/2
160 Cland Ave		Yrs. employed in this line	The Market	A . N	Yrs. employed in this line
17 - 4 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5 - 5		of work/profession	331 7 7 7	Ave. #1820.	of work/profession
Pysition/Title/Type of Business	Husiness F	hone (incl. area code)	Position/Title/Type of Business	(O)).	s Phone (incl. area code)
C + (2)	7 17	-524 VCV1	Tingue 11	Busines	s runne (mer area cope)
If employed in current position for less than two	years or if currently er	uployed in more than one post	ion, complete the following:	2/	1.964-066.
Name & Address of Employer	☐ Self Employed	Dates (from - to)	Name & Address of Employer	☐ Self Employed	Dates (from = 10)
		Monthly Income			Monthly Income
		\$			5
Poxition/Title/Type of Business	Business P	hone (incl. area code)	Position/Tule/Type of Business	Husines	s Phone (incl. area code)
Name & Address of Employer	Self Employed	Dates (from - to)	Name & Address of Employer	☐ Self Employed	Dates (from - to)
		Monthly Income			Monthly Income
		5			s
Position/Title/Type of Business	Business P	hone (inel. area code)	Position/Title/Type of Business	Business	s Phone (incl. area code)





Case 1:10-cv-09280-LGS Document 96 Filed 02/15/12 Page 200 of 210

Gross		MONTHLY IN	COME AN	ND COMBINED HO		SE INFORMATI	ON	
Monthly Income	Borrower	Со-Во	rrower	Total		ing Expense	Presen	r Proposed
Base Empl. Income*	2 (23)	5 311	5	5 yml	Rent		s yel	
Overtime					First Mortgage	e (P&1)		
Bonnses					Other Financia	ıg (P&1)		
Commissions					Hazard Instra	nec		
Dividends/Interest					Real Estate To	ixes		
Net Rental Income					Mortgage Insu	rance		
Other (before completing, see					Honteuwner A	issn Dues		
the notice in "describe other income," below)					Other.			
Total	15/15	5 2/1	5	simi	Total		s	s
A CALCARIA			, , , , , , , , ,	1770				
* Sell Employed Borro	ower(s) may be require	d to provide addit	ional docume	entation such as tax retur	ns and linancial sta	atements.		
Describe Other Income				imony, child support, or				
				the Borrower (B) or Co-E r repaying this loan.	sorrower (C) does	not encose to have it co	nxidered	
B/C								Monthly Amount
								5
			1/2	ASSETS AND LIA	on rrive			
	ted on a combined bases	otherwise, separat	e Statements	and Schedules are require		wer section was colleple		joined so that the Statement can be opplicant spouse or other person, this v \square Not Jointly
ASSETS		Cash or	Liabilitie	s and Pledged Assets.	List the creditor's	nnine, address, and a	account number 1	for all outstanding debts, including
		rket Value	automobil	le loans, revolving charge	accounts, real estat	e Joans, alimony, child	support, stock ple	siges, e.e. Use continuation sheet if d or upon refinancing of the subject
Description			property	. Indicate by (*) those ha	bilities, which will	ne sansired upon sale t	n real estate owne	a or upon remaining of the subject
Cash deposit toward Purchase held by:	8							
				LIABILITIES		Monthly Pay	ment &	Ungaid Balance
List checking and savings accor	unts below					Months Lef		
Name and address of Bank, S&I	L, or Credit Umon		Name and	l address of Company		S Payment/Months	.	5
			HU	\/		15		5)/
			1 '	11/				
			1/1	nital Une				3.6
Acci. no.	5		Acct. no.			/5_		150.
Name and address of Bank, S&L	., or Credit Union		Name and	address of Company		\$ Payment/Morains		5
							}	
Acet, nu.	\$		Acct. no					
Name and address of Bank, S&L	., or Credit Union		Name and	address of Company		5 Payment/Menths		8
			+-			-		
Acct. no.	S Conduitibles		Acct. no	**************************************		6 Down world and		-
Name and address of bank, Sei.	/		Name and	address of Company		\$ Payment/Months		\$
640 1/16	/ ((M/1))	1	1			(
- v 1 4	•		J			J	J	
Acet Po			Acat			-		
Acct. no. Stocks & Bonds (Company name	S S		Acct. no.	nddress of Company		5 Payment/Months		s
number & description)	,		, vame and	induress of Company		5 Fayment/Months		3
						4		
			Acet. no.					
Life insurance net cash value	\$		Name and	address of Company		5 Payment/Menths		5
Face amount: \$						1		
Subtotal Liquid Assets	s		1]		
Real estate owned (enter marker val			1					
from schedule of real estate au ned)			-					
Vested interest in retirement fund	s					ļ		
Net worth of husiness(es) owned (attach financial statement)	2		Acet no					
Antomobiles owned (make and	S			hild Support/Separate		\$		
year)			Maintenan	ce Payments Owed to:]	
Other Assets (ite,nize)	3		Job-Refator	d Expense (child care, unio	n dues, etc.)	s		
اد ا	14.				-	[
1/2/2/)h	46,11.							
10,00	,		Total Man	this Paymon.		5		
Total Assets	a. S			thly Payments		-	Liabilities b.	
TOTAL ASSETS			Net Worth	1 5		lota	r.rabintees b.	3

VI. ASSETS AND LIABILITIES (cont'd)

Schedule of Real Estate Owned (If additional properties are owned, use continuation sheet)

Property Address (enter S if sold, PS il pending sale or R i rental being held for income)	Type of Property	Present Market Value	Amount of Mortgages & Liens	Gross Rental Income	Mongage Payments	Insurance, Maintenance, Taxes & Misc,	Net Rental Income
		2	S	\$	\$	S	S
	Totals	s	s	s	s	5	s

List any additional names under which credit has previously been received and indicate appropriate creditor name(s) and account number(s):

Alexandra Maria

Carlon Name

Account Number

VII. DETAILS OF TRANS	ACTION	VIII. DECLARATIONS	š				
a. Purchase price	S 1 -	If you answer "Yes" to any questions a through i,	Borr	0 % ¢r	Co-Borrower_		
	17/120	please use continuation sheet for explanation.	Yes	No	Yes	No	
b. Alterations, improvements, repairs	,)	a Are there any judgments against you?		-63-			
c. Land (if acquired separately)		b. Have you been declared bankrupt within the past 7 years?		-	D	4	
d Refinance (incl debts to be paid off)		Have you had property forcelosed upon or given ritle or deed in Neu thereof in the last 7 years.		8		<u></u>	
e. Estimated prepaid items		d. Are you a party to a lawsuit?				10	
f. Estimated closing costs		e. Have you directly or indirectly been obligated on any loan which resulted in fereclosure, transfer of title	D	Q		-	
g. PMI. MlP, Funding Fee		in lieu of foreclosure, or judgment"	0	per .			
h. Discount (if Borrower will pay)		(This would include such loans as home mortgage loans, SBA toans, home improvement loans, educational loans, manufactured (mobile) home loans, any					
Total costs (add steins a through h)		impringage, financial obligation, bond, or loan guarantee. If "Yes," provide details, including date, name, and address of Lender, FHA or VA case number, if any, and reasons for the action.)					
j Subordinate financing		 Are you presently defining or in default on any Pederal debt or any other loan, mortgage, financial obligation, band, or loan gnationize? [1""Yes, "eve details as deserthed in the preceding question. 				4	
k Bortower's closing costs paid by Seller		g. Are you obligated to pay alimony, child support, or separate maintenance?		10			
k Honower velosing eosis paid by Seller		b. Is any part of the down payment borrowed?		4		4	
l. Other Credits (explain)		i. Are you a co-maker or endorser on a note?	D				
m. Loan amount (exclude PMI, MIP,				_	_	_	
Funding Fee financed)	VUNT	j. Are you a U S. citizen?		D			
	ار (عد	k. Ave you a permanent resident alien?					
n. PMI, MIP, Funding Fee financed	544						
	, -	Do you intend to occupy the property as your primary residence? If "Yes," complete question in below	4		-		
o. Loan amount (add m & n)	365712	m. Have you had an ownership interest in a property in the last three years."		<u> </u>	_ 🗆	-	
p. Cash from to Borrower (subtract j. k. 1 & o from it		(1) What type of property did you nwn – pruncipal residence (PR), second home (SH), or investment property (IP)* (2) How did you hold tall to the home – solley by yourself (S), jointly with your sporse (SP), or jointly with another person(O)?					

IX. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT AND AGREEMENT

Each of the undersigned specifically represents to Lender and to I ender's actual or potential agents, brokers, processors, autorecys, marriers, envices, successors and assigns and agrees and acknowledges that: (1) the information provided in this application is true and correct as of the date set forth opposite my signature and that any integrational or negliging interpresentation of this information concined in this application may result in civil liability, including monetary damages, to any person who may suffer any loss due to reliance upon any inserpresentation that I have made on this application, and/or in cuminal penalties including, but not limited to, fine or improponement or both under the provisions of Title 18, United States Code, 1001, et sqc, 1001, et s

Acknowledgement: Each of the undersigned hereby acknowledges that any owner of the Loan, its servicers, successors and assigns, may verify or reverify any minormation contained in this application or obtain any information or data relating to the I oan, for any legitimate business purpose through any source, including a source named in this application or n consumer reporting agency.

x Hay man at e Sos deen 1/15/67	Co-Borrover's Signature Date 1 Date
	NMENT MONITORING PURPOSES
The following information is requested by the Federal Government for certain types of loans related to a comongage disclosure laws. You are not required to firmish this information, but are encouraged to do so. It you forms to furnish it. If you forms the information, please provide both ethnicity and race. For nece, yingulations, this lender is required to note the information on the basis of visual observation and sumanic box below. (Londer must review the above material to assure that the disclosures satisfy all requirements if	The law pravides that a fender may not discummate either on the basis of this information, or on whether our may check more than one designation. If you do not formall eithnicity, race, on sex, under Federal From bave made this application in person. If you do not wish to turned the information, please check the
BORROWER	CO-BORROWER tdo and wish to furnish this information
Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino	Ethnicity: Hispanic or Latino Not Hispanic or Latino
Race: American Indian or Asian Black or African American Alaska Native Native Howmian of White	Race: American Indiaa or Asias Native Native Hawson or State Hawson or Asias Native Cl White
Other Pacific Islander	Other Pacific Islander
Sex:	≸ex: \ ☑ Female
To be Completed by Interviewer This Application was taken by Pace-to-face interview	CAMBRIDGE HOME CAPITAL, LLC 80 CUTTERMILL ROAD, SUITE 408
Telephone	ECEIVE MECK, N.Y. 11021
Freddie Mac Form 65 7/05 Residential Loan Application RA 05A LEG Rev. 3/06	APR 1 3 2007 Fannic Mac Form 1003 7/05

Case 1:10-cv-09280-LGS Document 96 Filed 02/15/12 Page 202 of 210

CONTÍNUATION SHEET/RESIDENTIAL LOAN APPLICATION Agency Case Number Use this continuation sheet if you need more space to complete the Residential Loan Application. Mark B for Borrowet or C for Co-Borrower. Borrower: Lender Case Number Co-Borrower.

BO CULTURE A THE ACT OF SOUTH A CAMBBELL PERSONAL IL

t/We fully understand that it is a Federal crime punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, to knowingly make any false statements concerning any of the above facts as applicable under the provisions of Title 18.

United States Code, Section 1001, et seq.

Borrower's Signature

Date

Co-Borrower's Signature

Date

Co-Borrower's Signature X \mathbf{X}

~ ~ ~ ~

Uniform Residential Loan Application

This application is designed to be completed by the applicant(s) with the Londer's assistance. Applicants should complete this form as "Borrower" or "Co-Borrower", as applicable. Co-Borrower information must also be provided (and the appropriate box checked) when \(\frac{\text{X}}{\text{X}} \) the income or assets of a person other than the Borrower (including the Borrower's spouse) will be used as a basis for loan qualification or the income or assets of the Borrower's spouse or other person who has community property rights pursuant to state law will not be used as a basis for loan qualification, but his or her liabilities must be considered because the spouse or other person has community property rights pursuant to applicable law and Borrower resides in a community property state, the security property is located in a community property state as a basis for repayment of the loan. If this is an application for joint credit, Borrower and Co-Borrower each agree that we intend to apply for joint credit (sign below):

Borrower	TYPE OF N	-Borrower	E AND TERM	MS.UE I	OANT				
			Agency Case N			nder Ca	se Numb	ber	
Mortgage Applied for: X FHA USDA/Rural Housing Ser			374-460391	-6-703		040	76532		
		Amortization Type:	X Fixed Ra	ite 🔲	Other (explain	n):			
s 461750.00 6.000 %	360 OPERTY INI		GPM		ARM (type):			_	
Subject Property Address (street, city, state		OnWATE		nr USE	OF LOAN			N	lo. of Units
424 BEACH 46TH STREET, FAR RO			NY 11691						2
Legal Description of Subject Property (attach DISTRICT: SECTION: BLOCK: 15		, ,			:			Year B	luilt
Durane of 1 and —	ruction	Other (expla	in);		Property wi				
	ruction-Perman		,		X Primar Reside	nce 🗆	□Second Reside		Investmen
Complete this line if construction or Year Lot Original Cost Amount	construction Existing Liens			/h) Cost	of Improvem	onte l	Total /a	4 b)	
Acquired S	Existing Ciens	(a) Tresent	Value of Lot	\$	i improven	iejjis	¢	+ 0)	
Complete this line if this is a refinance	ce loait.	19		Ψ			3		
Year Original Cost Amount Acquired	Existing Liens	Purpose of	Refinance	Descr	ribe Improver	nents	mad	de 🔃 1	to be made
\$ \$				Cost:	\$				
Fitle will be held in what Name(s)			ner in which Ti	tle will be	held 1		E	state will	be held in:
HAYMAWATIE SOODEEN, SHARO Source of Down Payment, Settlement Charges							[[X Fee S	imple
SAVINGS.NEHIEMAH & SELLER O			ing (explain)		'			Lease	hold
,					'			{show e	xp-ration date)
Borrower's Name (include Jr. or Sr. if applica		ORROWER	Co-Borrower's		Co-Bo				
HAYMAWATIE SOODEEN	ulej .		SHARON		FREN		ipplicable	71	
	0/25/1959	Yrs. School	Social Security Nur 124-60-564		Phone (incl. area	code)	DOB (MM	/DD/YYYY)	Yrs. School
Married V Unmarried (Include single, Dependent	s (not fisted by Co-I		Married	Unmarri	ed (include single	Depen	dents (not ti	isted by Borre	ower)
Separated sivorced, wildowed)	ages		Separated	givorced	I, widowed)	no.	ages		
Present Address (street, city, state, ZIP)		No. Yre:	Present Addre	ss (street	, city, state,	ZIP)	Own	Rent	No. Yrs;
95-28 115TH STREET SOUTH RICHMOND HILL, NY 11419									
Mailing Address, if different from Present Add	-		Mailing Addres	no if diffe	rent from Pr	neant /	Adraea		
noming Address, it different from Present Add	1033		mailing Addi G	35, II umi		oooni /	1001005		
,			,						
f residing at present address for less		ears, com			:				
ormer Address (street, city, state, ZIP)	Own Rent	No. Yre:	Former Addres	ss (street,	city, state,	ZIP)	Own [Rent	No. Yrs.
Borrower	IV FMI	PLOYMEN	TINFORMA	TION	Co-Bor	rower	,		
lame & Address of Employer Self Employer			Name & Addre				nployed	Yrs. on this	s Job
Scarlett IBIS Restauraunt	2 wee	ks eyed in this line			-			Yrs. emplo	yed in this line
ATTN: HUMAN RESOURCES	of work/pr	refession						of work/pr	ofession
180-05 Jamaica Avenue Jamaica, NY 11432	. 10				:				
osition/Title/Type of Business	Business Phone (in	cl. area code)	Position/Title/1	Type of B	usiness		Busine	ss Phone (inc	ci area code)
Head chef	718-526-01	65							
employed in current position for less th	an two years	or if curren	ntly employed	in more	than one p	ositio	n, comp	lete the	following
lame & Address of Employer Self Emplo			Name & Addre				ployed	Dates (from	
Pentagon Protection	Monthly In	scome emase						Monthly In	come
160 Schroeders Avenue	\$							\$	
Brooklyn, NY 11239					:				
osition/Title/Type of Business	Business Phone (in	cl. area code)	Position/Title/T	ype of B	usiness		Busine	ss Phone (inc	il. area code)
	212-534-85	43							
ame & Address of Employer Self Emplo	yed Dates (from	m - to)	Name & Addre	ss of Em	ployer 📑	Self En	ployed	Dates (fron	n (o)
	Monthly In	come						Monthly in	come
	s				;			\$	
osition/Title/Type of Business	Business Phone (in	d. area code)	Position/Title/T	ype of B	usiness	_	Busine	ss Phone (inc	d. area code)
reddie Mac Farm 85 07/05	Printed by The Loan		1 of 4 ie Mee, Inc www	,elliemae.cor	. :		Fani	nie Mae Form	1003 07/05

CAMBRIDGE HOME CAPITAL LLC

111		V. M	ONTHLY INCOM	E AND COMBINED H	OUSING EXPENSE INFO	RMATION	
Gross Manthly Income	Borrowe		Co-Barrower	Total	Combined Monthly Housing Expense	Present	Prapased
Base Empi. Income * S	2600	0.00	\$	\$ 2600.00		\$ 800.00	
Overtime					First Mortgage (P&I)	1	\$ 2768.42
Bonuses Commissions		\neg			Other Financing (P&I) Hazerd Insurance	<u>:</u>	225.00
Dividends/Interest					Resi Estate Taxes		223.00
Net Rental Income	4000	. = 0		1000 50	Mortgage Insurance		188.50
Other (before completing see the notice in "describe other income," below)	1062	2.50	<u>. </u>	1062.50	Homeowner Assn. Dues Other		103.67
Total \$			\$	\$ 3662.50	Total	\$ 800.00	
* Self Employed Borrower(s) may						1	
B/C Describe 0	ther Income	Notice: A	Alimony, child support Borrower (B) or Co-B	, or separate miintenance in errower (C) does not choose	come need not be revealed if the to have it considered for repaying	this foan.	Monthly Amount
B Gross rental in	ncome fr	om s	ublect prope	rty is \$1250 X 85	%		1062.50
		_					
. *				VI. ASSETS AND L	IABILITIES	1	
This Statement and any applicable can be meaningfully and fairly pre-							
other person, this Statement and s							Jointly Not Jointly
ASSETS		C	Cash or Market Value	automobile loans revolvis	ets. List the creditor's name, adding charge accounts, real estate	logos alimony child supp	oort stock pierfoes etc. Use
Description Cash deposit toward purchase held	d by:	s	vaide	continuation sheet, if necessions of the sub-	stary. Indicate by (*) those liabilit	tres, which will be satisfied up	on sale of real estate owned or
SELLER'S ATTORNE	=y		1000.00	LIA	BILITIES	Monthly Payment & Months Left to Pay	Unpaid Balance
List checking and savings		elow	1000.00	Name and address of Comp	pany	S Payment/Months	s
Name and address of Bank, S&L, o	r Credit Union			HSBC NV		: 45.00	224.00
						15.00	331.00
				Acct. no. 5215318 Name and address of Comp		\$ Payment/Months	s
Acct. no.		\$		CAPITAL ONE BAN			
Name and address of Bank, S&L, o	r Credit Union			OA! IIAE ONE DA!	•••	15.00	290.00
						20	
				Acct. no. 4862362		1	
				Name and address of Comp		\$ Payment/Months	\$
Acct. no.		s		1		;	
Name and address of Bank, S&L, o	r Credit Union					1 :	
						,	
				Aget, no.	-	\$ Payment/Months	
				Name and address of Comp	any	y cymenomorum	
Acct. no.		\$]			
Name and address of Bank, S&L, o	Credit Union						
				Acct. no.		1 :	
				Name and address of Comp	eny	S Payment/Months	s
Acct. no.		5]			,
Stocks & Bonds (Company name/n & description)	umber	5					
				Name and address of Comp	•••	\$ Payment/Months	Is .
				value and address of Comp	gy		
				j			
Life Insurance net cash value	-	\$					
Face amount: \$				Acct no.		i .	
Subtotal Liquid Assets		\$	1000.00	Name and address of Comp	any	\$ Payment/Months	s
Real estate owned (enter market va from schedule of real sstate owned		s					
				-		:	
Vested interest in retrement fund		.					
Net worth of business(es) owned (attach financial statement)		\$		Acct no. Atimony/Cruld Support/Sess	valy Maintenance	s	_
Automobiles owned (make and year	n !	3		Payments Owed to:	state maintenance		
Other Assets (itemize)		6	40000 00	Job-Related Expense (child of	care, union dues, etc.)	ls ;	
PERSONAL ITEMIZE			40000.00				
				Total Monthly Payme	ents	\$. 30.00	
Total A	Assets a.	\$	41000.00	Net Worth (a minus b)	s 40379.00	Total Liabilities b.	s 621.00

Freddie Mac 65 07/05 1003PG2 10/05 HAYMAWATIE

SOODEEN

Page 2 of 4
Printed by The Loan Handler from Ellie Mae, Inc. – www.elliemee.cum

لمبرز

CAMBRIDGE	HOME	CAPITAL	LLC

Property Add	Real Estete Owned (If addi	tional propertie	s are awher	d, use con	tinuation sheet 1				_	_
	dress (enter S if sold, PS if pending R if rental being held for income)		Type of		Present	Amount of	Gross	Mortgage	Insurance, Maintenance,	Net
	rt il rental being neld for income)		Property	Т м	rket Value	Mortgages & Lien	s Rental Income	Payinents	Taxes & Misc.	Rental Incor
		.		\$		\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
		\longrightarrow		<u> </u>			<u>.</u>			
			İ				1		1	
			+	-						
				s		\$		_		_
List any addit	tional names under which	credit has	Totals previous	-	received ar	-	S priate creditor	s name(s) and	\$ account number	prist:
	Atternate Name		,		Creditor Nam				Number	.,,,,,
							<u> </u>			
							_ '			
	VII. DETAILS OF TRAN	SACTION					VIII. DECLA	RATIONS		_
9. Purchase pric	ce	\$	4690	00.00	If you answ use continu	er "Yes" to any ation sheet for e	questions a thr		e Borrower	Co-Borre
	mprovements, repairs	+					;		Yes No	-
	ired separately) cl. debts to be paid off)	_				any outstanding judgr been declared benkru	ments against you? pt within the past 7 y	ears?		
e. Estimated pre			74	63.81	c. Have you	had property foreclos	ed upon or given title		금융	
. Estimated clo	nsing costs		214	40.68		f in the last 7 years? party to a lawsuit?				
PMI, MIP, Fur				3.95			een obligated on any (This would include			
	dorrower will pay) add items a through h)	1	4979	08.44	improveme	nt toans, education	al loans, manufactul tee. If "Yes," provide	red (inobile) hor	me loans, any m	stgage, fina
Subordinate fi			4010	00:	FHA or VA	case number, if any,	and reasons for the a	ction.)		
	osing costs paid by Seller		190	86.46	laan, inartg	age, financial obligati	in default on any Fed on, bond, or loan goar			11
Otner Credits			140	70 00		escribed in the preceding alimony	ing question. r, child support, or,se	parate maintenan	**, X	
CHICKA	GIFT		140	70.00		of the down payment				ᆌᆉ
OWN PAY	YMENT		(100	0.00		o-inaker or endorser				
			,		j. Are you a U	.S. citizen?	;	• • • • • • • • •	🗆 🗓	
				-		ermanent resident alie	,		X	
n. Loan amount		1	45.40	20.00	If "Yes," co	and to occupy the pro emplets question in b	perty as your primary clow.	residence?	X	
(exclude PMI, I	MIP, Funding Fee financed)		4549		in. Have you t	nad an Ownership in	erest in a property	in the last		
	iding Fee financed 1.5	+		20.00	three years?		u own principal re-		L_ X	1
. Cash from / to			46175	50.00	(2) How die	yoo hold title to the	nvestmen) property (I home - solely by yo	ursell (S),		
(subtract j, k, l			300	1.98	jointly v (O)7	vith your spouse (SP)	, or jointly with anoth	er person		l
	4		IX. AC	KNOW	LEDGMENT	AND AGREEME	NT			
ormation contains a application, and revent to this applicate use; (4) all state vicers, successor coessors and assi	(1) The information provided in add in this application may result in d/or in criminal panalities including, olication (the "Loan") will be securiments made in this application are its or assigns may retain the origingon may continuously rely on the have represented herein should choor of their criminal interesting the area of their criminal interests. It can and/or administration of the instance of the presented may representation or the instance of the contraction of the contraction.	civil liability, it but not limite and by a mortga anada for the information of ange prior to c ast it may hav Loan account warranty, expr	ncluding mode to, fine or age or deed purpose of stronic record ontained in tooling of the retating to may be trained.	onetary da r unprison of trust or obtaining a rd of this the applica e Loan; (8 o such da nsferred v	mages, to any p ment or both un the property die e residential mor application, who stion, and I am in blin the event the linquancy, repor- vith such notice	ierson who may suffer der the provisions of iscribed in this applica- tigage loan; (6) The p- ther or not the Loan obligated to amend a at my payments on to to my name and accu- as may be required	ir any loss due to reli- Title 18, United Statistion; (3) the properti- reperty will be occup in a approved; (7) the advantage of the Loan become defin- pant information to o- by law; (10) neither	ance upon any in- tes Code, Sec. III y will not be used ied as indicated it information prov- quent, the Lender one or more cons or Lender nor its	stepresentation than DO1, at seq.; (2) till for any illegal or partition; (a agents, brokers, incided in this application; (a agents, brokers, surtumer credit reports agents, brokers, in	t I have made to foan requer rombited purp 6) the Lender, surers, sofvio tion if any of essors or assi- ng agencies;
y, in addition to nership of the Lo cassors or assign "electronic record	d" containing my "electronic signa ng a facsimile of my signature, sha				applicable feder	el and/or state laws (excluding audio and v		or my facsimile tra	his application
sy, in addition to mership of the Ex- coassors or assign "alectronic record prication containing knowledgament.	ng a facsimite of my signature, sha Each of the undansigned hereby ac	ll be as effectiv knowladges th	e. enforced lat any own	ble and va er of the l	applicable feder lid as if a paper oan, its services	of and/or state laws (version of this applica s, successors and ass	excluding audio and v ition were delivered o iigns, may verify or re	ontaining my urig evenify any inform	or my facsimile tra inal written signatu- iation containeb in t	his application numission of a
sy, in addition to vership of the Lo contacts or assign felectronic record plication containing knowledgement, tain any information	ng a facsimite of my signature, sha Each of the undarsigned hereby a ion or data relating to the Loan, for	ll be as effectiv knowladges th	e. enforced lat any own	ble and va er of the l	appicable feder lid as if a paper can, its services ough any source	of and/or state laws (version of this applica s, successors and ass	excluding audio and v ition were delivered o iigns, may verify or re	ontaining my urig evenify any inform	or my facsimile tra inal written signatu- iation containeb in t	his application numission of c
sy, in addition to vership of the Lo contacts or assign felectronic record plication containing knowledgement, tain any information	ng a facsimite of my signature, sha Each of the undarsigned hereby a ion or data relating to the Loan, for	ll be as effectiv knowladges th	e, enforced lat any own business p	ble and va er of the l	appicable feder lid as if a paper can, its services ough any source	el and/or state laws (version of this applica s, successors and ass , including a source of	excluding audio and v ition were delivered o iigns, may verify or re	ontaining my urig evenify any inform	or my facsimile tra inal written signatu- iation contained in t reporting agency	his application numission of a
sy, in addition to renership of the La coassors or assign "alectronic recorr prication containin knowledgement, tain any information rrower's Signatur	ng a facsimite of my signature, sha Each of the undarsigned hereby a ion or data relating to the Loan, for	Il be as effective cknowledges the any legitimate	e, enforces nat any own Duniness pr	ble and valuer of the lurpose thr	appicable feder lid as if a paper coan, its servicer ough any source Co-6	el and/or state laws (version of this applica s, successors and ass , including a source of	excluding audio and vition were delivered or iigns, may verify or re amed in this applicate i	ontaining my urig evenify any inform	or my facsimile tra inal written signatu- iation contained in t reporting agency	his application numission of a
ny, in addition to more thing of the La constraint of the La constraint of assign "electronic recording the constraint containing knowledgement. It is any information of the constraint of the containing the containin	ng a facilimate of my signature, shall be seen of the undersigned hereby a cinor or data relating to the Loan, for the Loan for the Loa	It be as effectively be as effectively be as effectively by the same legitimate any legitimate and legitimate a	RMATION	ble and value of the liurpose thr	appicable feder lid as if a paper coan, its services ough any source Co-6 X SOVERNMEN as related to a common source	of and/or state laws (version of this applica, s, successors and asso- certification of the source of orrower's Signature IT MONITORING twelling in order to in	excluding audin and vitton were delivered or irigns, may verify or re amed in this application G PURPOSES contion the lender's or	ontaining my urig eventy any inform on or a consumer	or my facsimile tra unal written signatu iation containeb list i reporting agency Daile qual credit opportion	his application remission of c his application
by, in addition to vocassors or assignate vocassors or vocassors v	ng a facsimite of my signature, shall be seen of the undersigned hereby a cinor or data relating to the Loan, for	X., INFOR Government Injuried to formal in your furnishment of your furnish ander is require.	RMATION or certain ty sh this informat at to note I	ble and verer of the lurpose thrule of the lurpose thrule of the lurpose thrule of the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reactions, but the lurpose of los reactions, but the lurpose of los reactions o	app icable feder lid as if a paper coan, its servicer cough any source Co-B X SOVERNMEN ns related to a cultural announce out are ancourage e provide both se	of and/or state laws (version of this applice is, successors and one producing a source of corrower's Signature IT MONITORING twelling in order to need to do so. The law threaty and race. F. is of visual observation	excluding audio and vition were delivared or tipns, may verify or re- armed in this applicate G PURPOSES sonitor the lender's or vicrovides that a limit race, you may che in and surname if you	ontaining my urig everify any inform on or a consumer ompliance with e- der may not dis- ck indre then on- indre made this	or my facsimile trainal written signaturation contained in treporting agency. Date: Qual credit opportor. June 1 credit opportor. June 1 credit opportor. June 1 credit opportor. June 1 credit opportor. June 1 credit opportor.	his application survission of a his application with a pplication with the basis of a to do not furn a. If you do a
ny, in addition to morethip of the Lossessor of assign all the content of the Lossessor of assign all the content of the conte	ng a lacarimde of my signature, shall be been of the undersigned hereby a mon or data relating to the Loan, for the Loan f	X., INFOR Government Injuried to formal in your furnishment of your furnish ander is require.	RMATION or certain ty sh this informat at to note I	ble and verer of the lurpose thrule of the lurpose thrule of the lurpose thrule of the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reactions, but the lurpose of los reactions, but the lurpose of los reactions o	appicable federlind as if a paper complete services ough any source Co-B X SOVERNMEN ms related to a cut are ancourage e provide both a cut are betton on the basive material to as	al and/or state laws (version of the applica- version of the applica- version and any including a source or orrower's Signature. IT MONITORING twelling in order to re- did to do so. The la- thinisty and race. If so of visual observation sure that the disclose	excluding audio and vition were delivared or tipns, may verify or re- armed in this applicate G PURPOSES sonitor the lender's or vicrovides that a limit race, you may che in and surname if you	ontaining my urig everify any inform on or a consumer ompliance with e- der may not dis- ck indre then on- indre made this	or my facsimile trainal written signaturation contained in treporting agency. Date: Qual credit opportor. June 1 credit opportor. June 1 credit opportor. June 1 credit opportor. June 1 credit opportor. June 1 credit opportor.	his application of a his application of a his application of the basis of a do not furn a, If you do a.
ny, in addition to uncerthip of the Locassors or assign alectronic record solication containing knowledgement. Lain any information of the containing and the contain	ng a facsimite of my signature, shall Each of the undersigned hereby a rion or data relating to the Loan, for the	X INFOR Government Is quired to furnish to ender is required. Lender Weeken Williams Comment Is to furnish to ender is required to furnish to ender is required.	RMATION or certain ty sh this informat at to note I	ble and verer of the lurpose thrule of the lurpose thrule of the lurpose thrule of the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reactions, but the lurpose of los reactions, but the lurpose of los reactions o	appicable federlind as if a paper complete services ough any source Co-B X SOVERNMEN ms related to a cut are ancourage e provide both a cut are betton on the basive material to as	of and/or state laws (version of this applice is, successors and one producing a source of corrower's Signature IT MONITORING twelling in order to need to do so. The law threaty and race. F. is of visual observation	excluding audio and vition were delivared or tipns, may verify or re- armed in this applicate G PURPOSES sonitor the lender's or vicrovides that a limit race, you may che in and surname if you	ontaining my uriginatery any informion or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer of the consum	or my facilime training main written signatu in attention portion against reporting against pate to the state of the state	his application survission of a his application with a pplication with the basis of a to do not furn a. If you do a
by, in addition to concerning of the Locassors or assign all concerning and the Locassors or assign all containing the containing and the containi	ng a facsimite of my signature, shall be fact the undersigned hereby a long or data relating to the Loan, for the	X INFOR Government Is quired to furnish to ender is required. Lender Weeken Williams Comment Is to furnish to ender is required to furnish to ender is required.	RMATION or certain ty shift informat ad to note 1 must review	ble and verer of the lurpose thrule of the lurpose thrule of the lurpose thrule of the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reaction, but the lurpose of los reactions, but the lurpose of los reactions, but the lurpose of los reactions o	appicable federlind as if a paper complete services ough any source Co-B X SOVERNMEN ms related to a cut are ancourage e provide both a cut are betton on the basive material to as	all and/or state laws (version of the applica, s, successions and as s, including a source in orrower's Signature IT MONITORING welling in order to in edition to as. The law and or or or or or or or or or or or	excluding audio and tion were delivered or digns, may verily or re- amed in the audional G PURPOSES continued the lender's or provides that a line or provides that a line or and surrame if you was satisfy all require	ontaining my uriginately any informion or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer of the consum	or my facilime training main written signatu in attention portion against reporting against pate to the state of the state	his application maintenance of the his application of the his application of the basis of the do not furnal, if you do not for the history of
ny, in addition to uncerthip of the Locassors or assign all occassors or assign all occassors or assign all occasions or assign all occasions or assign all occasions and all occasions are all occasions and all occasions are all occasions and all occasions are all occasions and all occasions are all	ng a facsimite of my signature, shall be seen of the undersigned hereby a cino or data relating to the Loan, for t	Il be as effective in own legitimate in the control of the control	e, enforces at any own burness program and any own burness program and the same and	ble and value of the lurpose the lurpose the lurpose of loan matter, bless he inform with about	appicable feder idia as if a paper coan, its servicer ough any source Z X SOVERNMEN ns related to a cultural announce out are announce stron on the basive material to as CO-I	all and/or state laws (version of this applice, s, successing and as purcers pur	excluding audio and titlen were delivered to digns, may verify or reamed in this audiocal and in this audiocal and in the audiocal and in the leader's condition the leader's condition the leader's condition the leader's condition and surname if you may the mean surname if you may suffer a solid surname if you may also an and surname if you may suffer a solid year.	ontaining my uriginate on or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer of the consu	or my facialmet ra main written signatu in a main written signatu in atom contained in the proporting agency. Date Date Qual credit opportion diministrate either on designation, if you application in personal personal proportion in personal pe	his application of a chick physical or a chick physical or a chick physical of the chick physical of the chick physical of the chick physical of the chick physical of the chick physical physic
ny, in addition to uncerthip of the Locassors or assign all occassors or assign all occassors or assign all occasions or assign all occasions or assign all occasions and all occasions are all occasions and all occasions are all occasions and all occasions are all occasions and all occasions are all	ng a lacarimée of my signature, shall be a casimée of the undensigned hereby at on or data relating to the Loan, for the undensigned hereby at one or data relating to the Loan, for the undersigned the lacarimeter of the undersigned hereby at the lacarimeter of the undersigned hereby at the undersigned h	Il be as effective the service of th	e, enforces at any own burness program and any own burness program and the same and	ble and verser of the turpose the turpose the turpose the turpose of the turpose of loans to turpose of the turpose of tu	appicable feder idia as if a paper coan, its servicer ough any source Z X SOVERNMEN ns related to a cultural announce out are announce stron on the basive material to as CO-I	all and/or state laws (version of this applice, s, successing and as purcers pur	excluding audio and titlen were delivered to dispose, may verify or reamed in this audional series of the series o	ontaining my uriginate on or a consumer or a	or my facialmet ra main written signatu in a main written signatu in atom contained in the proporting agency. Date Date Qual credit opportion diministrate either on designation, if you application in personal personal proportion in personal pe	his application of a superior of the application of a superior of the application of the
ny, in addition to more this point the lacessary or assign alectronic record solication containing knowledgement. Isin any information of the lacestand of the	ng a facaimite of my signature, shall be facaimite of the undersigned hereby at one or data relating to the Loan, for the undersigned hereby at the loan of the Loan, for the loan of the	Il be as effective the strength of the strengt	e, enforces at any own burness program and any own burness program and the same and	ble and verser of the turpose the turpose the turpose the turpose of the turpose of loans to turpose of the turpose of tu	appicable feder idia as if a paper coan, its servicer ough any source Z X SOVERNMEN ns related to a cultural announce out are announce stron on the basive material to as CO-I	all and/or state laws (virtision of the applica, s, successors and as s, including a source in orrower's Signature IT MONITORING twelling in order to in did to do so. The law thinstsy and rate. If thinstsy and rate so of visual observation source that the disdost BORROWER licity	excluding audio and titlen were delivered to digns, may verily or reamed in this audional series of the series of	ontaining my uriginate on or a consumer or a	or my facialmet ra main written signatu in a main written signatu in atom contained in the proporting agency. Date Date Qual credit opportion diministrate either on designation, if you application in personal personal proportion in personal pe	his application of a chick application of a chick application of the basis of the b
by, in addition to more thing of the Locassary or assign "electronic record relectronic record relectronic record relectronic record relectronic record relectronic record relectronic record r	ng a facsimite of my signature, shall be continued the substitute of the undersigned hereby a close or data relating to the Loan, forether Loan, for the Loan, for the Loan, for the Loan, for the Loa	Il be as effective the strength of the strengt	RMATION RMATION RECORD TO THE STATE OF THE	ble and verser of the turpose the turpose the turpose the turpose of the turpose of loans to turpose of the turpose of tu	appicable feder idia as if a paper coan, its servicer ough any source Co-B X SOVERNMEN ns related to a cui are annouses annouses expressed to a cour are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to as CO-I Ethn Race	all and/or state laws (virtision of the applica s, successors and as ource in orrower's Signature IT MONITORING twelling in order to in did to do so. The law thinsty and race. First s of visual observation source that the discloss BORROWER Icity C	excluding audio and tition were delivered to digns, may verily or reamed in this audional and in this audional and in the audional and in the audional and in the lender's or crowides that a limit or crowides that a limit or area, you may the or crowides that a limit of a construction and surname if you are solistly all require a solistly all require a solistly all require a solistly all require a solistly all require a solistly all require a solistly all require a solistly all require a solistly all require a solistly all require a solistly all require a solistly all require a solistly all require a solistly all requires a solistly all requires a solistly all requires a solistly all requires a solistly all requires a solistly all requires a solistly all requires a solid	ontaining my unique verify any inform on or a consumer or	or my facilimeter remains signature agranture	his application of a superior of the application of a superior of the application of the
ay, in addition to working of the Locassors or assignalectoric recording for alectronic formal for alectronic formal f	ng a lacaimse of my signature, shall be an of the undersigned hereby a loon or data relating to the Loan, for the undersigned hereby at loon or data relating to the Loan, for the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of the loan of loan oppied for. I do not wish to furnish the loan of loan of loan oppied for. I do not wish to furnish the loan of loan of loan oppied for. American Indian or loans of loan of loan of loan of loan of loan of loan of loan of loan oppied for. X Female loan of loan	Il be as effective the strength of the strengt	RMATION REPRESENTE STATE REP	ble and verser of the turpose the turpose the turpose the turpose of the turpose of loans to turpose of the turpose of tu	appicable feder idia as if a paper coan, its servicer ough any source Co-B X SOVERNMEN ns related to a cui are annouses annouses expressed to a cour are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to as CO-I Ethn Race	all and/or state laws (version of the applica s, successions and assure in orrower's Signature IT MONITORING twelling in order to in did to do so. The law thinsts and rece. First soft visual observation source that the disclose BORROWER Icity Nati	excluding audio and titlen were delivered to digns, may verily or rearmed in this audional in this audional in the audional in the audional in the lender's or crowleds that a len or race, you may the need surround in and surround if you may be need surround in the first and surround in the first and surround in the first and surround and sur	ontaining my unique very any informon or a consumer or a c	or my facilimite training in main written signatu in attention continues in a reporting agency. Date	his application of a superior of the application of a superior of the application of the
y, in addition to more this point of the Locassors or assign all of the Locassors or assign all of the Locassors or assign all of the Locassors or assign all of the Locassors or assign all of the Locassors or assign all of the Locassors or assign all of the Locassors or an all of the Locaso	ng a facsimite of my signature, shall be seen to the undersigned hereby at one or data relating to the Loan, for the undersigned hereby at one or data relating to the Loan, for the loan of loan of loan	Il be as effective the property of the propert	RMATION REPRESENTE STATE REP	ble and verser of the turpose the turpose the turpose the turpose of the turpose of loans to turpose of the turpose of tu	appicable feder idia as if a paper coan, its servicer ough any source Co-B X SOVERNMEN ns related to a cui are annouses annouses expressed to a cour are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to as CO-I Ethn Race	all and/or state laws (written of the applice, s, successing and assure in arrower's Signature IT MONITORING tiveling in order to in dit to do so. The thinking and scene in a of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation of the location of the observation of the observation of the observation of the observation observati	excluding nucleu and objects of the second o	ontaining my usignouserly any informon or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer of the consumer or a consumer or	or my facilimite training written signatul mail written signatul wation continued in treporting agency. Date Dat	his application of a chick physical or a chick physical or a chick physical of the chick physical of the chick physical of the chick physical of the chick physical of the chick physical physic
ay, in addition to waterith of the Lacossors of easign of the Lacossors of easign of the Lacossors of easign of the Lacossors of easign of the Lacossors of easign of the Lacossors of easign of the Lacossors of easign of the Lacossors of the Lac	ng a lacaimide of my signature, shall be an of the undersigned hereby at one or data relating to the Loan, for the undersigned hereby at one or data relating to the Loan, for the loan of	Il be as effective the strength of the strengt	RMATION RMATION Cortain type Cortain ble and ve wr of the le wrong t	appicable feder idia as if a paper coan, its servicer ough any source Co-B X SOVERNMEN ns related to a cui are annouses annouses expressed to a cour are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to as CO-I Ethn Race	all and/or state laws (written of the applice, s, successing and assure in arrower's Signature IT MONITORING tiveling in order to in dit to do so. The thinking and scene in a of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation of the location of the observation of the observation of the observation of the observation observati	B PURPOSES B PURPOSES B PURPOSES B PURPOSES Continue the lender'n or or or or or or or or or or or or or	ontaining my usignouserly any informon or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer of the consumer or a consumer or	or my facilimite training written signatul mail written signatul wation continued in treporting agency. Date Dat	nis application of its chi-s application ity, fair housing the basis of it u do not furn y, if you do under applicat	
y, in addition to more this point of the Locassors or assign all of the Locassors or assign all of the Locassors or assign all of the Locassors or assign all of the Locassors or assign all of the Locassors or assign all of the Locassors or assign all of the Locassors or an all of the Locaso	ng a lacarimée of my signature, shall be a casimée of the undensigned hereby a cino or data relating to the Loan, for the undensigned hereby as control of the Loan, for t	Il be as effective the control of th	RMATION RMATION Contains and contains and	ble and ve wr of the le wrong t	appicable feder idia as if a paper coan, its servicer ough any source Co-B X SOVERNMEN ns related to a cui are annouses annouses expressed to a cour are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to a cui are annouses contained to as CO-I Ethn Race	all and/or state laws (written of the applice, s, successing and assure in arrower's Signature IT MONITORING tiveling in order to in dit to do so. The thinking and scene in a of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation so of visual observation of the location of the observation of the observation of the observation of the observation observati	excluding nucleu and objects of the second o	ontaining my usignouserly any informon or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer or a consumer of the consumer or a consumer or	or my facilimite training written signatul mail written signatul wation continued in treporting agency. Date Dat	his application of a chick physical or a chick physical or a chick physical of the chick physical of the chick physical of the chick physical of the chick physical of the chick physical physic

CONFIDENTIAL CAMBRIDGE 5.28.10 015182

Case 1:10-cv-09280-LGS Document 96 Filed 02/15/42 Page 206 of 210

Continuation Sheet/Residential Loan Application

Use this continuation sheet if you need more space to complete the Residential Loan		Agency Case Number. 374-460391-6-703
Application. Mark B for Borrower or C for Co-Borrower.		Lenkler Case Number
	SHARON FRENCH	04076532

EMPLOYMENT ADDENDUM

SCARLETT IBIS RESTAURANT

Borrower

Term'd:

180-05 JAMAICA AVENUE JAMAICA, NY 11432

Hired: Position/Title:

718-526-0165

Gross Monthly Income:

		4.			
I/We fully understand that it is a Federal or provisions of Title 1B, United States Code, S.	ime punishable by fine or imprisection 1001, at seq	onmant, or both, to kno		s concerning any of the above f	
Borrower's Signature	1	Date	Co-Borrower 4 Signature		Date
x flagmandie	Sordeen	3/05/17	x		
Freddie Mac Perm 65 07/05			4 0 4		Fannie Mae Form 1003 07/05
1003PG4 10/05	Penntard but	The Loan Hundler from E	He Mae, Inc. ~ www.eliemae.com		

Printed by The Loan Handler from Ellie Mae, Inc. ~ www.elliemae.com



Cambridge Home Capital, LLC 80 Cuttermill Road. Suite 408. Great Neck, NY 11021 • 516-829-5700 • fax 516-829-5777

0: <u>60006PG</u> AX#: 576-535-3932 E:	5. WE 6	76/67	SHEET
AX#: 576-535-3932 E: ROM: JULL DEWELL his transmission consists of pages, including this cover page. If you have not	DATE: 5	NACOL	
E: ROM: JULLE BEWILL his transmission consists of pages, including this cover page. If you have not	TO:(Q)	JUDUN 702	
his transmission consists of pages, including this cover page. If you have not	FAX#: 5/6	-535-393	_
his transmission consists of pages, including this cover page. If you have not	RE:		
his transmission consists of pages, including this cover page. If you have not excived the entire transmission in a satisfactory manner, please call (516) 829-5700. Contract A Sall (N) 31 NOWAH (AU) Alway A Correct (M) ASAM. CLOSING MO	FROM: Ja	Ree Devel	l
his transmission consists of pages, including this cover page. If you have not exceived the entire transmission in a satisfactory manner, please call (516) 829-5700. Contract A Sall M 31 NGWAHC AW Plust E Mail Correct MS ASAM. CLOSING MO			
Ash. Corrections this cover page. If you have not excived the entire transmission in a satisfactory manner, please call (516) 829-5700. Contract Ash. Corrections Ash. Corrections Ash. Corrections	T1 /		
Contract of Sale on 31 NEW HAR THE Plase E-Mail CORRECTIONS CONTRACTIONS WARRENT MOC	I his transmission co received the entire tr	nsists of pages, inch ansmission in a satisfactory	nding this cover page. If you have not manner, please call (516) 829-5700.
Contract of Sale in 31 NOWAYK The Alexander of Sale in			
Dlesse E-Mail CORRECTIONS BLASH. CLOSING NOC	Apata	AN C	1 (1)
Dease E-Mail CORRECTIONS BE ASAP. CLOSING NOC		11011111	
Please E-Mail CORRECTIONS (SE ASAP. CLOSSING NOC Thanks I	31	NEW HAL	the
Please E-Mail CORRECTIONS EL ASAR. CLOSING NOC MANUALI.			
Please E-Mail CORRECTIONS ASAP. CLOSING NOC	01	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ASAP. CLOSING NOC	1/1/1/10	9-11011	CODRETIMIS
ASAP. CLOSING NOC	- Dunc	1- Marc	when a constant
MANUALI.		1011)	M175/10/10/10/10
Thanks 1.		NOMV.	ULOSING NO
Thanks 1.			
Thanks I			
The way to be a second of the			11.
The state of the s		- Flan	
1 WIMUL			1 WIMU
THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION	THE INFORMATION CON	TAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS PI	RIVLEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL, INFORMATION
ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY NAMED ABOVE. IF THE READER OF THIS MESSAGE IS NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION, OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS	NOT THE INTENDED REC	PIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED	THAT ANY DISSEMINATION DISTRIBUTION OF I

LICENSED MORTGAGE BANKER NYS BANKING DEPARTMENT

USE AT THE ADDRESS VIA U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. WE WILL GLADLY REIMBURSE YOU FOR YOUR EXPENSE.

JJG REAL ESTATE APPRAISAL SERVICES

FHA #374-4611153

	Sma	Il Residential	Income Pr	operty A	ppraisal	Report	File No.	31-NewarkAVe	
Th	e purpose of this summary appraisal report i	s to provide the lander.							
	Property Address 31 NEWARK AVENUE			STATEN IS				Zip Code 10302	
ı	Borrower WILLIAMS		of Public Record Pl	EARSAL AVE	BUILDERS	C	ounty RIC	HMOND	_
W	Legal Description SECTION: 5 BLOCK		NAT -	2005/00	inc			TALALICIANIALL	_
H	Assessor's Parcel # SAME AS ABOVE LE	GAL DESCRIPTIC		Year 2005/20				UNKNOWN	-
5	Neighborhood Name PORT RICHMOND	punta		n Reference 31-		UD HOAS N	ensus Tract		T-1
	Occupant Owner Tenant X Vacant		Assessments \$ N/.	A		UD HUAS	WA.	Ther year	per month
3		Refinance Transaction	7	, -	*				
N	Lender/Client CAMBRIDGE HOME CAPI	TAL I.C. Address	BO CUTTERN	ALL ROAD	SUITE 408	GREAT NE	CK NY	11201	_
Ü	Is the subject property currently affered for sale or t								
ı	Report data source(s) used, offering price(s), and d.	ate(s). SEE ATTACH	HED ADDEND	UM.					
Į,									
	X did did not analyze the contract for sale								
	THE SALES CONTRACT WAS NOT	MADE AVAILABL	E TO THE API	PRAISER AT	THE TIME	OF INSPEC	TION-SE	EE ADDENDA	1
ā		2)	-						
		tract PENDING	is the property selle						ACHED
ğ	Is there any financial assistance (loan charges, sale							Yes No	W. 1101.1
Ö	If Yes, report the total dollar amount and describe the							INT OF 6%, W	
	HAS BEEN INCLUDED IN THE FINA	AL SALES PRICE	JF \$450,000 A	S NOTED B	Y THE LEN	JER, CA			M
	Note: Race and the racial composition of the nei	subschood on and annes	(cal factors			9	13/9	300	
M	Note: Race and the racial composition of the nei	gravitaçõe se nurappra	2-4 Unit Housi	ng Trends	aggrafiet in govern	2-4 Unit Hou	sing	Present Land	Uso Sh
1	Location X Urban Suburban Rural	Property Values	Increasing	X Stable	Dectining	PRICE		One-Unit	35 %
W		r 25% Demand/Supply	Shortage	X In Balance	Over Supply	\$(000)		2-4 Unit	53 %
	Growth Rapid X Stable Stow	Marketing Time		X 3-6 mtrs	Over 6 mths	375 Law		Multi-Family	5 %
8	Neignborhood Boundaries SEE ADDENDA					550 High		Commercial	5 %
ä						450 Pred	10	Other MISC	2 %
ġ	Neighborhood Description SEE ADDENDA								
8									
Ξ.				_					
				- Arrange Control					
Ø	Market Conditions (including support for the above	conclusions) SEE ADI	DENDA			,			
H									_
H									-
-	Dimensions 24 X 100 SUBJECT TO SU	RVEY Area 2,40	O Co Et	- Pi	RECTANGL	II A PI	in Di	CONTACTION	_
	Specific Zoning Classification R3-2		piption GENERA				VIEW PC	ESIDENTIAL	-
		conforming (Grandfathered			(describe) N/A			-	_
iii.	Is the highest and best use of the subject property a					Yes No	If Nin, does	ribe. N/A	_
N		a not a see the man to the bear	a har branz mie obra	constitution are pro		1103 []10	m rvo, dead	MUE. THIT	
W	Utilities Public Other (describe)		Public 0	Other (describe)		Off-site Improv	rements—T	ype Public	Private
=	Electricity X 100 AMP C/	3 Water	X	NYC		Street MACA		[X]	
Ñ.	Gas X GAS	Sanitary Sewe		NYC		Alley NONE			
ı	FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area You X I		ZONE X		360497008	TC FE	MA Map Da	■ NOT AVAIL	ABLE
	Are the utilities and off-site improvements typical for		Yes No If N	o, describe. N/A	١ ,				
ĸ	Are there any adverse site conditions or external fac UTILITY EASEMENT	tors (easements, encroact	nments, environment	tal conditions, land	t uses, etc.)?	Yes X No	If Yes, d	lescribe. TYPIC	AL
H	OTILITT EASEWENT				_				
ř	GENERAL DESCRIPTION	FOUND	ATION	EXTERIOR DES	COUNTION	CONTRACTOR OF THE			
ı	Units X Two Three Four	X Concrete Stab	Crawl Space	Foundation Wall		RETE/NEW		and the second s	
	Accessory Unit (describe below)	Full Basement	Partial Basement	Exterior Walls	VINYL		SALES OF THE SALES	TILE/HDW	
I	# of Stories 3 # of blags, 1	Basement Area	United States	Roof Surface		LT/NEW	Walls Trim/Cinis	DRYWAL	
	Type Det. Att. X S-Det Find Unit	Basement Finish	N/A%		couts ALUMII		Trim/Finish Bath Floor		
	X Existing Proposed Under Const.			Window Type		E/NEW		scot CERAMIC	
L	Design (Style) SEMI / COLONIAL	Evidence of Infester			ated VINYL/		- A CONTRACT	Car Storage	THE VV
	Year Built 2007		ettlement	Screens		NUM/NEW	None		
	Effective Age (Yrs) O YEARS	Heating/Co	17	Same Allen	Amenities	127,000	X Drivew	ray # of Cars	2
H	Attic X None	FWA HWB		Fireplace(s)		dStove(s) #		Surface CONCR	
H	Drop Stair Stairs		el GAS	X Patio/Deck C	ONS X Fen	cc CHAIN	Garage	e # of Cars	
4	Floor Scuttle	X Central Ar Conditioni	ng	Post	Porc	th	Carpor	rt # of Cars	
1	Finished Heated	Individual Other	In the	Other			Att	Det.	Built-in
-	# of Appliances Refrigerator 2 Range/Oven Unit # 1 contains: 2 Rooms	2 Dishwasher D Bedroom(s)		Microwave	Washer/Dryer		scribe) N/	A	
	Jnit # 2 contains: 7 Rooms	3 Bedroom(s)	1 Bath(s)	336	Square leet of t	Gross Living Area			
	Juit # 3 contains: Rooms	Segroom(s)	1.5 Bath(s) Bath(s)	1,332		Gross Living Area			
	Init # 4 contains: Rooms	Bedroom(s)	Bath(s)			Gross Living Area			
It~	Additional features (special energy efficient items, etc		Datin5		Square reet of C	Gross Living Area			
1	37	The same of the same of							
					- Advances				
1	Describe the condition of the property (including need	ted repairs, deterioration,	renovations, remode	ling, etc.). SEI	E ADDENDI	JM			
L				J J. J		ant.			
L									
L									
L									
H	April 1975 - April								
det :	Mac Form 77 March 2005				3,000				
10	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT	Total at	nd many all weavon, rongs	LACE RIVER & EVENT COM				Former Man Fermi 1972	5 March 7005

JJG REAL ESTATE APPRAISAL SERVICES

Small Residential	Income	Property	Appraisal	Report
OUTOUR LEGISLACITEDE	HILLOUING	LODGILA	Applead	Kennii

FHA #374-4611153 File No. 31-NowarkAVe

Are there any physic ATTACHED AL	al delicien ODEND	cics or adv IUM.	erse canditi	ions th	hat af	fect the	Evability,	soundne	ss, or stru	ctural	integrity o	of the pro	perty	7 Ves	X)Na	If Yes, de	scribe	SEE	101
Does the property go	nerally co	nform to to	e neighbort	boor ((funct	ional uti	lity, štyle	, condition	1, ú5C, COI	nstruc	tion, etc.)1	X	Yes	□No If	No, desc	ribe N/	Α		
Is the property subject	a to rent c	cntrol?	Yes (Jrac	1 18.5	res, des	ctille						Ckm	Had (Telad) of State of the s					
The following propert market root for the s	ias repres	ent the mo	st current, s	sim:lar	r, and	proxim	ate comp	arable re	ntal prope	rties t	o the subj	oct propo	orty. 1	This analysis is l	ntended	to suppor	t the op	nion c	of the
FEATURE		SUBJE	CT					NTAL NO	1, 1					TAL NO. 2		СОМРА			
31 NEWARK A Address STATE							S AVE	NUE			VAN P			NUE		VALKE VTEN IS			T
Proximity to Subject Current Monthly Ren	5	Archerson,	ACANT	0.3	31 m	iles M	IE S		2,500	_	i0 miles			4.000	0.50) miles	SSE		
	Rent/Gross Bldg. Area S 0.00 sq. ft.						s 1.39 sq. ft.					9	1,200 0.65 sq. ft.						
Rent Control Data Source(s)		PECTION	No No		Yes	L BRO	No					X No	-		Y		No		
Date of Lease(s)		NTHLY					MON	TH	-		CAL BE			1		NTH TO			
Location Actual Age	RE O Y	SIDENT	IAL	1		ENTI	AL				SIDEN	TIAL			RES	SIDENT			
Condition		RY GOO	DD		RS						RS				AVE	RAGE		-	
Gross Building Area		94 sq.ft.	I es			Sg.Ft.		T =		_	36 Sq.I				1,31	0 Sq.F	1		
Unit Breakdown Unit # 1	Tot	Br Ba	5ize 5a. FL 336	Tot 3	Br 1	Ba 1	Size Sq. Ft.		Ny Rent	Tot 5		-	32	Monthly Rent	Tat	Br Ba	Size Sq. F	t '	Monthly Ren
Unit # 2		3 1.5				_	1,200	2 (1,600		2 1		18	S OWNER		1 1	60	8 \$	1,00
Unit # 3 Unit # 4					\forall	-		s					_	5				5	
Utilities Included		TER, S	ÉWER	WA	ATÉ	R, SE	WER,		AĞE	WA	TER, S	EWE		SARBAGE	WA'	TER, SI	WEF		ARBAGE
Analysis of rental data AFTER CONSII OPINION THAT	DERING THE N appraiser	G THE L	OFFERE FRENT	FOF	ES R TH	BETV IE SL	VEEN JBJEC	THE S	UBJEC	S IN	NITS A	ED BE	HE (COMPARAE	in the s	LENTAL	DAT.	A, 1T	IS OUR
Differ to District		ase Date	(200) in 10,	100		- Contract	Per	- Actu Unit	ial Rents	T	Tota	24.75.	100		Opinion r Unit	n Of Mark	et Rent	226	-13/10 ¹⁰
	n Date		End Date		_	Infurnis	hed	Fur	nished		Rent	g		Unfurnished	1 8	Furnished	9.1	-0-	Total Rents
1 VACAN 2 VACAN		OWN			5		0	5		\$		0	3	1,600			5	U.	1,600
3 4	_									1								~	
Comment on lease da					Total	Actual	Monthly	Runt		5		0	Tati	al Gross Monthly	Rent		S		2,400
INFORMATION THE OWNER.	WAS	CONFIR	MED BY	_			ly Incom- Monthly	(itemize)	5		0		er Monthly Incor			S		
Utilities included in est			Electric	X	Wate	r X	Sewer	Gas	Oil	-	Cable			ection 0					2:400
Comments on actual of APPEARS TO E ARE BASED OF	BE WIT	HIN AV	ERAGE	REI	NTA	L RA	TES F	OR TH	erty) <u>T</u> E NEIG ROPER	HE HB	RENT	FOR T	HE	PROJECTE	ED RE RENT ALS,	NTAL 'S FOR	APAR SUB.	TME	NTS UNITS
X did did n	ot research	h the sale o	or transfer h	istory	of th	e subjec	t proper	ly and cor	npurable :	sales.	li not, exp	lain <u>N</u> /	Ά		_	_			
My research X did	die e	int repeal s	ny pejor cal	00.00	Irone	fore of the	na subi-	y ores 1	a lagate a st					e date of this ap		1			
Data source(s) PUE My research [X] did Data source(s) PUE	LIC RE did n	CORD, lot reveal a CORD,	MLS, G ny prior sal MLS, G	es or	DAT	FA lers of ti	ne comp	rable sal	es for the	year r	ariar to the	date of	sale	of the comparab	le sale.				
Report the results of the	e researci	h and analy	sis of the p	rior sa UFCT	ale hi	story of	the subje	on proper	ty and cor	npara	ble sales								WAS SERVED
Date of Prior Sale/Tran			3/2002	VI. 01					PRIOR					PRIOR	_	NO SA			E NO. 3
Price of Prior Sale/Tran Data Source(s)	ster	\$325	,000 LIC REC	OP	ח			ARS	CORD		3	YEAR	S			3 YEAF	RS		
ffective Date of Data !		5/16/	2007				5/16	/2007				16/20		CORD		PUBLIC 5/16/20		ORI)
knalysis of prior sale hi	stery for ti	ne subject (oroperty an	d com	nparal	ble sale	s SE	E ADD	ENDU	M.									
												7	_	11-10-					
Mars Fearm 72 March 2005							Froduced to	hn) ACI suffer	ou, Éclieta	121 mm	acteuticus			1			Fareno Ma	Form	1075 March 200